

REVIEW OF SERIES

0.1. Introduction. As vector spaces, \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R}^2 are isomorphic; we can think of $z = x + iy$ as a notation for (x, y) . (What sets aside \mathbb{C} is the extra structure we endow it with, coming from multiplication.) In particular, we have $|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ the same as the Euclidian norm. Likewise, from a topological point of view we can identify the space of complex functions $f(z)$ with \mathbb{R}^2 valued function $u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ defined on a domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . A sequence of complex numbers $z_n = x_n + iy_n$ converges to $z = x + iy$ if, by definition, the sequence (x_n, y_n) converges to (x, y) .

A sequence of functions $f_n = u_n + iv_n$ defined on a set $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ converges pointwise to $f = u + iv$ if the sequence of vector functions (u_n, v_n) converges pointwise to (u, v) .

It converges uniformly on S if $\sup_{z \in S} |f_n(z) - f(z)| \rightarrow 0$. Continuity of $f(z)$ is defined in the same way as continuity of $(u(x, y), v(x, y))$.

Things become more interesting when we introduce multiplication on \mathbb{R}^2 this is a vector valued function of two vector variables $(x, y) \cdot (s, t) = (xs - yt, xt + ys)$. Of course, this is the same operation but certainly less intuitive than saying that zw is calculated by “usual” multiplication of $x + iy$ and $s + it$ with the convention $i^2 = -1$. Direct calculation shows that

$$(1) \quad |zw| = |z||w|$$

It is clear from (1) that multiplication is a continuous function from $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ into \mathbb{C} . Inductively, the function z^n is shown to be continuous, and so is any polynomial.

At this stage, we can introduce complex conjugation $\bar{z} = \overline{x + iy} = x - iy$ and then $|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$.

A sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent **iff** it is Cauchy. We know this from the \mathbb{R}^2 convergence. A finite power series is a polynomial

$$(2) \quad S = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k z^k; \quad \text{or, also,} \quad \sum_{k=0}^n c_k (z - z_0)^k;$$

and a “true” power series is an expression of the form

$$(3) \quad S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^m c_k z^k$$

is the limit exists. If the limit does not exist, or we have not yet been proven to exist, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ is viewed as an abstract notation and we most often start from it and say that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ converges if the limit in (3) exists. By the

Cauchy criterion,

$$(4) \quad S \text{ is convergent if } \lim_{n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{n_1}^{n_2} c_k z^k \right| = 0$$

uniformly in n_1, n_2 as they go to infinity.

Note 1. *if* the series of nonnegative numbers

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k| |z|^k$$

converges, then it is Cauchy,

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n_1, n_2 \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n_1}^{n_2} |c_k| |z|^k = 0$$

uniformly in n_1, n_2 as they go to infinity, and then by the triangle inequality, $\lim_{n_1, n_2} \left| \sum_{n_1}^{n_2} c_k z^k \right| = 0$ and thus $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ converges as well. A series for which (6) holds is called *absolutely convergent*.

We have thus shown:

Proposition 2. *If the series S converges absolutely, then it converges.*

We know that, for series of real numbers of the form

$$(7) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_n t^n, \quad c_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

The domain of convergence is a symmetric interval around 0. This can be $\langle -a, a \rangle$ where \langle, \rangle can be closed or open parentheses. Here the maximal $a \in [0, \infty]$ is the *radius of convergence*; it can be anything from 0 ($[0, 0] = \{0\}$) or to ∞ , $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$. We know from series over \mathbb{R} that if $a \in (0, \infty)$, then the series (7) converges absolutely and uniformly for $|t| < a$ while for $|t| > a$ the sequence $|c_k| |t|^k$ is unbounded, in particular S cannot be Cauchy nor therefore convergent.

0.2. Uniform convergence. There are several equivalent ways to define uniform convergence. We'll use the following: the function sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $f_n : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ converges uniformly to f , $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$ if

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in S} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$$

Proposition 3. *Assume f_n are continuous in S and $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$. Then f is continuous in S .*

Proof. Let a be any point in S . To show continuity at a , let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose n s.t. $\sup_{x \in S} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/3$. Since f_n is continuous, let δ be s.t. $|f_n(x) - f_n(a)| < \varepsilon$ for all x with $|x - a| < \delta$. Then, for $|x - a| < \delta$ we have

$$(9) \quad |f(x) - f(a)| \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(a)| + |f_n(a) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$$

■

Proposition 4. Assume $f_n : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are continuous and the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly Cauchy in S , that is

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \geq n, x \in S} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| = 0$$

Then there is a (unique) function f s.t. $f_n \xrightarrow{u} f$, and f is continuous.

Proof. The fact that $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly Cauchy in S implies in particular that $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is Cauchy for any $x \in S$ and thus $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for any x . Choose any $\varepsilon > 0$ and let n be large enough s.t. for any $m > n$ we have $\sup_{x \in S} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \varepsilon$. Now

$$(11) \quad |f(x) - f_n(x)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon$$

and the rest follows from Proposition 3. ■

0.3. Convergence of integrals.

Proposition 5. Assume $S \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is Jordan measurable (in particular, bounded) and let $\{f_k(x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of integrable functions converging uniformly in S to f , assumed to be integrable. Then

$$(12) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_S \cdots \int_S f_k(s) d^n s = \int_S \cdots \int_S f(s) d^n s$$

Proof. Choose any $\varepsilon > 0$ and let k_0 be large enough s.t. $\sup_{x \in S} |f_j(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon / \text{Vol}_n(S)$ for all $j > k_0$. Then,

$$(13) \quad \left| \int_S \cdots \int_S f_k(s) d^n s - \int_S \cdots \int_S f(s) d^n s \right| = \int_S \cdots \int_S |f_k(s) - f(s)| d^n s \leq \varepsilon$$

■

Proposition 6. Assume $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are C^1 , $f'_n \xrightarrow{u} g$ and for some $c \in [a, b]$ we have $f_n(c) \rightarrow a$. Then $f_n \rightarrow^u f$ where $f \in C^1$ and $f' = g$.

Proof. The proof can be done component by component and thus we can assume without loss of generality that $m = 1$. We have, using Proposition 5,

$$(14) \quad f_n(x) = f_n(c) + \int_c^x f'_n(s) ds \rightarrow a + \int_c^x g(s) ds \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and the rest is straightforward. ■

Proposition 7. Assume that the series $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ with $z \in \mathbb{C}$ converges for some $z_0 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then f , and, for any m , the series of derivatives $f^{(m)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k (z^k)^{(m)}$, converge uniformly in any disk of the form $\mathbb{D}_a := \{z : |z| < a\}$ if $a < z_0$.

Proof. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z_0^k$ is a convergent series, the partial sums $S_m := \sum_{k=1}^m c_k z_0^k$ converge (by definition) and thus $\{S_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. In particular, $S_m - S_{m-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and thus

$$(15) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |c_m| |z_0^m| = 0 \Rightarrow \sup_{m \geq 1} |c_m| |z_0^m| = M < \infty$$

Let $\rho = a/|z_0| < 1$; for $|z| < a$ we have

$$(16) \quad \sum_{j=k}^l |c_j| |z|^j < \sum_{j=k}^l |c_j| |z_0|^j \rho^j \leq M \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \rho^j = \frac{M \rho^k}{1 - \rho} \rightarrow 0$$

and thus $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j| |z|^j$ is uniformly Cauchy; the rest of the proof for f is straightforward. As for $f^{(m)}$, it suffices to prove the result for $m = 1$ since the result for general m follows by induction. Since $\rho < 1$ there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $\rho_1 = \rho(1 + \varepsilon) < 1$. Clearly $j(1 + \varepsilon)^{-j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and thus $\sup_j j(1 + \varepsilon)^{-j} = M_1 < \infty$. Then, for some $M_3 > 0$ and $|z| < a$ we have

$$(17) \quad \sum_{j=k}^l |c_j| |j| |z|^{j-1} \leq M_3 \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \rho_1^j = \frac{M_3 \rho_1^k}{1 - \rho_1} \rightarrow 0$$

■

Corollary 8. *There are three possibilities for a series $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j| |z|^j$: (a) f converges for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$; (b) f converges only for $z = 0$; (c) there is an $r \in (0, \infty)$ s.t. f converges for z in any disk \mathbb{D}_a , $a < r$ and it diverges if $|z| > R$; furthermore the sequence $|c_k R^k|$ is unbounded for any $R > r$.*

Proof. Assume f converges for some $z_0 > 0$. Let $r = \sup\{r' > 0 : \sup_k |c_k| r'^k < \infty\}$. As in the proof of Proposition 7, we must have $r \geq |z_0|$. The same proof shows that f converges uniformly and absolutely in any disk \mathbb{D}_a if $a < r'$. The rest is immediate. ■

Example 9. Check that

$$(18) \quad e^z := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad \cos z = 1 - \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^4}{4!} + \dots, \quad \sin z = z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} + \dots$$

are entire, and the function

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{1 + z^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^{2k}$$

is analytic in \mathbb{D}_1 and the series diverges at $z = \pm i$. This example shows how the function $1/(1 + x^2)$ which is smooth on \mathbb{R} fails to have a convergent power series in \mathbb{R} .