

for uniqueness Gelfand Mazur's theorem, proved last time except (1)

Theorem If B is a division algebra (every nonzero element is invertible) then there is a unique isometric isomorphism between B and \mathbb{C} (\mathbb{C} seen as an algebra over \mathbb{C})

uniqueness. If ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are isomorphisms, $B \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathbb{C}$
 then $F = \phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1}$ is an isometric automorphism of \mathbb{C} .

as a Banach algebra over \mathbb{C} . Since $F(1x) =$

$$= F(1) F(x) = F(x) \text{ then } F(1) = 1. \quad F(\alpha) = F(\alpha \cdot 1) =$$

$$= \alpha F(1) = \alpha \Rightarrow F \text{ is the identity}$$

□

Quotient algebras let B be a Banach algebra

and assume \mathcal{J} is a closed 2 sided ideal of B . In particular, \mathcal{J} is a closed subspace of B

and B/\mathcal{J} is an algebra ($[g] \in B/\mathcal{J}$)

if $[g] = \{g+k \mid k \in \mathcal{J}\}$, and it is a Banach

algebra. Indeed, by the definition of B/\mathcal{J} as a

Banach space $\| [g] \| = \inf_{k \in \mathcal{J}} \| g+k \|$

Now, $\| [1] \| = \inf_{k \in \mathcal{J}} \| 1+k \| \geq 1$ (otherwise k would be invertible and could not be in \mathcal{J})

(2)

Since $0 \in \mathcal{I}$, $\| [1] \| = 1$

Now, $\| [f] [g] \| = \| [fg] \| = \inf_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \| fg + k \| \leq$

$$\leq \inf_{k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{I}} \| (f + k_1)(g + k_2) \| \leq \inf_{k_1, k_2} \| f + k_1 \| \| g + k_2 \|$$

$$= \left(\inf_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \| f + k \| \right) \left(\inf_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \| g + k \| \right) = \| [f] \| \| [g] \|$$

Proposition If \mathcal{B} is a commutative Banach algebra, then the set \mathcal{M} of linear multiplicative functionals on \mathcal{B} is in a 1-1 correspondence with the set of maximal ideals in \mathcal{B} .

Proof Let first $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\ker \varphi \equiv \mathcal{I}_\varphi$ is a two sided maximal ideal, \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{B} :
 if $f \in \mathcal{M}$ then of course $fg, gf \in \mathcal{M}$
 $\forall g \in \mathcal{B}$.

To show it is maximal, take $h \notin \mathcal{I} \equiv \ker \varphi$. Then, by definition $\varphi(h) = \alpha \neq 0$. We can then assume w.l.o.g. $\varphi(h) = 1$ since $\frac{h}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ also

But then, $1 = 1 - h + h = k + h$ $k \in \mathcal{I}$
 shows that the span of \mathcal{I} and $h = \mathcal{B}$

(3)

In the opposite direction, let \mathcal{J} be a maximal ideal of B and $h \in \mathcal{J}$; then of course h is noninvertible, otherwise $\mathcal{J} = B$.

But then $\|1+h\| \geq 1$. This inequality shows that $\overline{\mathcal{J}}$ is also a maximal ideal since $1 \notin \overline{\mathcal{J}}$. Therefore, by maximality, $\mathcal{J} = \overline{\mathcal{J}}$.

Then, as we saw, B/\mathcal{J} is an algebra. We claim it is a division algebra.

Indeed, $g \notin \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \exists \alpha \neq 0$ st $\alpha g + k = 1$ for some $k \in \mathcal{J}$. Hence $[\alpha][g] = [1] = 1$

and g is invertible

Hence $B/\mathcal{J} \overset{\text{isomorph}}{\sim} \overset{\text{isometric}}{\mathbb{C}}$

Let $\psi : B \rightarrow B/\mathcal{J}$ and $\phi : B/\mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the isomorphism. $\psi(g) = [g]$

Then $\phi \circ \psi$ is a linear multiplicative functional.

We check that $\mathcal{J} = \ker \phi$. Indeed $k \in \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \phi(k) = 0$ and this

$\Rightarrow [k] = 0 \Rightarrow \phi(k) = 0$ and this is already a maximal ideal $\Rightarrow \boxed{\ker \phi = \mathcal{J}}$

(4)

It remains to show that the correspondence
 $\phi \rightarrow \ker \phi$ is 1-1

Indeed if $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2 \Rightarrow \exists f \quad \phi_1(f) \neq \phi_2(f)$

Note that for any f , $f - \phi_1(f) \in \ker \phi_{1,2}$

and thus $\psi = f - \phi_1(f) - (f - \phi_2(f)) \in \ker \phi_{1,2}$

However $\phi_2(\psi) = 0 = \phi_2(f) - \phi_1(f)$ contradiction.

Commutativity was only used in the last step to ensure that \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{I} is a commutative algebra

Theorem (Gelfand) Let \mathcal{B} be a commutative Banach algebra, M the set of its maximal ideals and $P: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow C(M)$ be the Gelfand transform

Then

1. M is nonempty

2. P is an algebra homomorphism

3. $\|Pf\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$

4. f is invertible in \mathcal{B} iff $P(f)$ is invertible in $C(M)$

Note. The fact that invertibility in $C(M)$ and not just in $P(\mathcal{B})$ is key to many applications.

We showed already 2, 3. For (1)
 note that $\forall f \in B \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \lambda \in \sigma(f)$,
 that is, $(f - \lambda)$ is not invertible. But
 then $\{g(f - \lambda) \mid g \in B\}$ is an ideal,
 which is always contained in a maximal ideal.

(4) Assume f^{-1} exists in B and let
 $H = \Gamma(f^{-1})$. Obviously $H \Gamma(f) = 1$ and thus
 Γ is invertible in (CM) .

Assume now that f is not invertible
 in B . Then,
 $\mathcal{I}_1 = \{fg \mid g \in B\}$ is an ideal, and

it is proper since $1 \notin \mathcal{I}_1$. Then \mathcal{I}_1 is
 contained in a maximal ideal \mathcal{I} and
 there is a φ s.t. $\text{ker } \varphi = \mathcal{I}$.
 Then $\varphi(f) = 0$ by construction. But
 then $\Gamma_f(\varphi) = \varphi(f) = 0$ and Γ_f is
 not invertible in (CM) .

Corollary If B is a commutative Banach
 algebra and $f \in B$ then $\sigma(f) = \text{range}(\Gamma_f)$
 and $r(f) = \|\Gamma_f\|_\infty$.
 ↑ spectral radius

⑥

Proof $\lambda \in \sigma(f)$ iff $P_{f-\lambda} = P_{f-\lambda}$

is not invertible in $C(M)$. But then

$\lambda \in \text{range}(P_f)$ Now $\max_{\lambda \in \sigma(f)} |\lambda| =$

$$= \max_{\lambda \in \text{ran}(f)} |\lambda| = \|f\|_{\infty}.$$