CORRECTION TO "THE TOPOLOGY AT INFINITY OF COXETER GROUPS AND BUILDINGS"

MICHAEL W. DAVIS AND JOHN MEIER

The paper referred to in the title concerns the algebraic topology at infinity of geometric realizations of Coxeter groups and of buildings. For Coxeter groups, the arguments are correct; however, for buildings, they are not. Jan Dymara and Damian Osajda pointed out to us some serious problems with Section 5, where the results for buildings are given (see [4]). In particular, Lemmas 5.3 and 5.7 are wrong. This leads to gaps in the proofs of Theorems 5.8, 5.12 and 5.13. Nevertheless, we believe that the theorems in Section 5 remain true. (More precisely, Theorems 5.12 and 5.13 should hold as stated and a version of Theorem 5.8 should be true.)

The basic mistake in Section 5 is this. Suppose C is (the set of chambers of) a building and $X \subset C$ is a subset which is starlike with respect to a base chamber c_0. Let c be an extreme chamber in X and put $\check{X} := C - X$. Implicit in both Lemmas 5.3 and 5.7 is the assumption that

$$|\check{X}| \cap |c| = |c|^{I_{\uparrow}(X,c)}. \tag{0.1}$$

In other words, the intersection on the left is a certain union of mirrors of $|c|$ indexed by $I_{\uparrow}(X,c)$, where $I_{\uparrow}(X,c)$ denotes the set of $i \in I$ such that $\check{X}$ contains a chamber i-adjacent to c. In fact, the intersection in (0.1) need not be a union of mirrors. For example, it can be the union of $|c|^{I_{\uparrow}(X,c)}$ with a lower dimensional face. (This can be seen even in the case of thick, right-angled, spherical buildings of rank ≥ 2.)

In the calculation of $H^*_c(|C|)$ in Theorem 5.8, one starts by ordering C, $c_0, c_1, \dots,$ so that $l(\delta(c_0, c_{k+1})) \geq l(\delta(c_0, c_k))$, where $\delta(\ ,\ )$ is the W-valued distance on C and $l(\ )$ is word length on W. If $X_m := \{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_m\}$, then one wants formula (0.1) to hold with $X = X_m$ and $c = c_m$. There is considerable freedom in choosing the ordering of C and not all choices work. To see this, suppose R is a spherical residue in C and $d_R \in R$ is its chamber closest to c_0. Let L_R be the set of chambers in R which are furthest from d_R. (L_R is the set of chambers in R opposite to d_R.) Since the elements of L_R all have the same W-valued distance from c_0, when choosing the ordering of C, L_R can be ordered arbitrarily. Most choices of orderings will not satisfy (0.1). In particular, if L_R is not gallery-connected, no choice will work.

When C is right-angled the situation can be remedied. For in this case, L_R is a spherical building of the same type (W_J, J) as R (at least when R is thick). Order the elements of L_R using the W_J-distance on L_R. (It may be necessary to apply this step repeatedly.) The conclusion is that for right-angled buildings there is an ordering of C satisfying (0.1). Hence, Theorems 5.8, 5.12 and 5.13 hold for right-angled buildings and Corollary 5.14 is true as stated. A different proof of Theorem 5.8 for right-angled buildings is given in [2]. It also uses the fact that L_R is a building of type (W_J, J). In the right-angled case, Theorems 5.12 and 5.13, as well as, Corollary 5.11 also follow from the results in [1].

References

[1] N. Brady, J. McCammond and J. Meier, Local-to-asymptotic topology for cocompact CAT(0) complexes, *Topology Appl.*, **131** (2003), 177–188.

[2] M.W. Davis, J. Dymara, T. Januszkiewicz and B. Okun, Cohomology of Coxeter groups with group ring coefficients: II, *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, **6** (2006), 1289–1318.

[3] M.W. Davis and J. Meier, The topology at infinity of Coxeter groups and buildings, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **77** (2002), 746–766.

[4] J. Dymara and D. Osajda, Boundaries of right-angled hyperbolic buildings, preprint, 2005.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 231 W. 18th Ave, Columbus, OH 43210

E-mail address: mdavis@math.ohio-state.edu

Department of Mathematics, Lafayette College, Easton, PA 18042

E-mail address: meierj@lafayette.edu