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#### Abstract

The tame subgroup $I_{t}$ of the Iwahori subgroup $I$ and the tame Hecke algebra $H_{t}=C_{c}\left(I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$ are introduced. It is shown that the tame algebra has a presentation by means of generators and relations, similar to that of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H=C_{c}(I \backslash G / I)$. From this it is deduced that each of the generators of the tame algebra is invertible. This has an application concerning an irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of an unramified reductive $p$-adic group $G: \pi$ has a nonzero vector fixed by the tame group, and the Iwahori subgroup $I$ acts on this vector by a character $\chi$, iff $\pi$ is a constituent of the representation induced from a character of the minimal parabolic subgroup, denoted $\chi_{A}$, which extends $\chi$. The proof is an extension to the tame context of an unpublished argument of Bernstein, which he used to prove the following. An irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of a quasisplit reductive $p$-adic group has a nonzero Iwahori-fixed vector iff it is a constituent of a representation induced from an unramified character of the minimal parabolic subgroup. The invertibility of each generator of $H_{t}$ is finally used to give a Bernstein-type presentation of $H_{t}$, also by means of generators and relations, as an extension of an algebra with generators indexed by the finite Weyl group, by a finite index maximal commutative subalgebra, reflecting more naturally the structure of $G$ and its maximally split torus. Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: Primary 11F70; Secondary 22E35, 22E50. Key Words and Phrases: Tame algebra, Iwahori-Hecke Algebra, induced representations.


## 1. Introduction

The Iwahori, or Hecke, algebra $H$ of a reductive connected split group $G$ over a $p$-adic field has an explicit presentation by generators and relations (see [IM]), and a presentation - due to Bernstein (see [L], [HKP]) - exhibiting a commutative subalgebra of finite index. It proved to be useful in the study of the admissible representations of $G$, especially those which have a nonzero vector fixed by the Iwahori subgroup $I$, see, e.g., [KL], [L], [Re]. These representations are constituents of representations induced from unramified characters of the Borel subgroup [Bo], and have uses e.g. in the study of automorphic representations by means of the trace formula.

A purpose of this paper is to extend the study to constituents of representations parabolically induced from characters which are tamely ramified. We are then led to introducing the tame subgroup $I_{t}$ of the Iwahori subgroup $I$ and the tame Hecke algebra $H_{t}=C_{c}\left(I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$. This tame algebra is an extension of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H=C_{c}(I \backslash G / I)$ by a finite commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[I / I_{t}\right]$, and we show that it has a presentation by means of generators and relations, similar to that of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra $H$, but in which the relation $T^{2}=q I+(q-1) T$ ramifies. From this we deduce that each of these generators of the tame algebra is invertible, as in the case of $H$.

This has the following application concerning an irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of an unramified reductive $p$-adic group $G: \pi$ has a nonzero vector fixed by the tame group $I_{t}$, so that the Iwahori subgroup $I$ acts on this vector by a character, denoted $\chi$, iff $\pi$ is a constituent of the representation induced from a tame character of the minimal parabolic subgroup, denoted $\chi_{A}$, which extends $\chi$. The proof is an extension to the tame context of an unpublished argument of Bernstein, which he used to prove the following result, also known to Borel [Bo]. An irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of a quasisplit reductive $p$-adic group has a nonzero Iwahori-fixed vector iff it is a constituent of a representation induced from an unramified character of the minimal parabolic subgroup.

The invertibility of each of the generators of the tame algebra $H_{t}$ is what is needed to give a Bernstein-type presentation of $H_{t}$, also by means of generators and relations, as an extension of the finite tame Hecke algebra $H_{f, t}=C\left(I_{t} \backslash K / I_{t}\right)$, with generators indexed by the finite tame Weyl group $W_{f, t}$, by a finite index maximal commutative subalgebra $R_{t}=C_{c}\left(A / A_{t}(O)\right)$, reflecting more naturally the structure of $G$ and its maximally split torus $A$. Our proof of this is natural, being based on an isomorphism of $H_{t}$ with the universal tame principal series module $M_{t}$, in analogy with Bernstein's proof of the isomorphism of the Hecke algebra $H$ with the universal principal series module $M$ (see [HKP]). We do not use Lusztig's explicit yet partial description [L] in the Iwahori case, which would require constructing the tame Weyl group $W_{t}$ as an abstract extension of the extended Weyl group $\widetilde{W}$ by the finite torus $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. See Vignéras [V] where applications to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$-representations are given. A detailed exposition of this approach is in Schmidt's thesis [Sch]. E. Große-Klönne informed me of [V] and [Sch] after my talk on this work at HU Berlin, December 2009. For a potential extension of [DF] to representations with tamely ramified principal series components - as considered in this paper - we need a complete and easily verifiable proof, as given in this paper. In analogy with the Hecke case, we present generators indexed by torus elements in $A / A_{t}(O)$ as a difference of dominant elements. Our presentation takes the form (see Theorem 4.5): The tame algebra $H_{t}$ is the tensor product $R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t}\left(R_{f, t}=C\left(A(O) / A_{t}(O)\right)\right)$ subject to the relations (in the localization $R^{\prime} \otimes_{R} H_{t}$, where $R^{\prime}$ is the fraction field of the integral domain $R=C_{c}(A / A(O))$ and $\left.R_{t}=R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C_{c}\left(A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)\right)$

$$
T\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \circ a=s_{\alpha}(a) \circ T\left(s_{\alpha}\right)+\left(s_{\alpha}(a)-a\right) \frac{\sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{\alpha}^{\times}} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi})}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})}
$$

for all $a \in A / A_{t}(O)$ and all simple roots $\alpha$. Finally we compute the center $Z\left(H_{t}\right)$
of $H_{t}$ to be $R_{t}^{W_{f, t}}$ and conclude that $H_{t}$ is a module of finite rank over $Z\left(H_{t}\right)$.
I am deeply indebted to J. Bernstein for his invaluable help in the preparation of this work.

## 2. The tame group and tame representations

Let $F$ be a local field, $O$ its ring of integers, $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ a generator of the maximal ideal in $O$. The residue field $\mathbb{F}_{q}=O / \pi$ has cardinality $q=p^{f}$ where $p$ is the residual characteristic. Let $G^{\prime}$ be an unramified (quasisplit and split over an unramified extension of $F$ ) reductive connected group defined over $F$. Let $x$ be a hyperspecial point in the building of $G^{\prime}$. Let $G_{x}^{\prime}$ be the stabilizer $\operatorname{Stab}_{G(F)}(x)$ of $x$. The BruhatTits theory ([T], 3.4.1; [La]) produces a unique affine connected smooth group scheme $G=G_{x}$ over $O$ whose generic fiber is $G^{\prime}$, for which $G\left(O_{L}\right)=\operatorname{Stab}_{G(L)}(x)$ for any unramified extension $L$ of $F$, where $O_{L}$ is the ring of integers in $L$. Write $K$ for the hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup $G(O)$ of $G(F)$.

Let $I$ be an Iwahori subgroup of $K$. Then $G$ has a minimal parabolic subgroup scheme $B$ over $O$ such that $I$ is the pullback under reduction mod $\pi$ of $B\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. The group $B$ has Levi decomposition $B=A U$ where $U$ is the unipotent radical and $A$ is a Levi subgroup. Both $A$ and $U$ are group schemes over $O$. Denote by $B_{-}$the opposite parabolic, thus $B_{-} \cap B=A$ and $B_{-}=A U_{-}$.

The Iwahori group $I$ has the decomposition $I=I_{-} A(O) I_{+}=I_{+} A(O) I_{-}$, where $I_{+}=I \cap U, I_{-}=I \cap U_{-}, A(O)=I \cap A$. We introduce the tame subgroup $I_{t}$ of $I$ to be the pullback of $U\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ under reduction $\bmod \boldsymbol{\pi}$. Then $I_{t}=I_{-} A_{t}(O) I_{+}=$ $I_{+} A_{t}(O) I_{-}$where $A_{t}(O)=I_{t} \cap A(O)$. Note that the decomposition of an element of $I$ according to $I_{-} A(O) I_{+}$and according to $I_{+} A(O) I_{-}$is unique. We say that $g$ in $G(F)$ is prounipotent if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{p^{n}}=1$. Each $g \in I_{t}$ is clearly prounipotent. Conversely, any prounipotent $g$ in $I$ lies in $I_{t}$ (since a prounipotent $a$ in $O^{\times}$must lie in $1+\pi O$ ). Thus $I_{t}$ can be defined to be the group of prounipotent elements in $I$. We assume that $G$ is unramified, namely that $G$ is quasisplit, thus that $A$ is a torus, and that $A$ splits over an unramified extension of $F$. Then the quotient $I / I_{t}=A(O) / A_{t}(O)$ is isomorphic to the torus $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, a finite abelian group consisting of elements of order prime to $p$.

Let $\pi$ be an admissible irreducible representation of $G(F)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ ([BZ], [B]). Denote by $\pi^{I_{t}}$ the space of $I_{t}$-invariant vectors in $\pi$. It is finite dimensional since $\pi$ is admissible. The representation $\pi$ is called tamely ramified if $\pi^{I_{t}} \neq 0$. The group $I$ acts on $\pi^{I_{t}}$ since $I_{t}$ is normal in $I$. Since $I / I_{t}$ is a finite abelian group, the finite dimensional space $\pi^{I_{t}}$ splits as the direct sum of the eigenspaces

$$
\pi^{I, \chi}=\left\{v \in \pi^{I_{t}} ; g v=\chi(g) v, g \in I\right\}
$$

over the characters $\chi$ of the finite abelian group $I / I_{t}=A(O) / A_{t}(O)=A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Any such $\chi$ can be viewed as a character of $I$ trivial on $I_{+}$and $I_{-}$, or of $A(O)$, and it extends (not uniquely) to a character $\chi_{A}$ of $A(F)$ since $A(F) / A(O)$ is a finitely generated discrete group.

We can now characterize the tame representations.
Theorem 2.1. The space $\pi^{I, \chi}$ is nonzero iff $\pi$ embedsin $I\left(\chi_{A}\right)$ for some character $\chi_{A}$ of $A(F)$ whose restriction to $A(O)$ is $\chi$.

Here $I\left(\chi_{A}\right)$ signifies the representation of $G(F)$ parabolically and normalizedly induced from the character $\chi_{A}$ of $A(F)$ extended to $B(F)$ trivially on $U(F)$.
Corollary 2.2. An irreducible admissible representation $\pi$ of $G(F)$ is tamely ramified, thus has $\pi^{I_{t}} \neq 0$, iff it is a constituent of an induced $I\left(\chi_{A}\right)$ from a tamely ramified $\chi_{A}$, thus the restriction of $\chi_{A}$ to $A_{t}(O)$ is trivial.
Remark 1. (1) The analogous statement for the congruence subgroup $I_{1}$ ( $=\{g \in$ $I ; g \bmod \boldsymbol{\pi}=1\}$ ) is false. There are cuspidal representations (in particular they are not constituents of any induced representations) with vectors $\neq 0$ fixed by $I_{1}$.
(2) Of course a proof of Theorem 2.1 based on the complicated theory of types can be extracted from [Ro]. Our proof is simple.
(3) The representations of the theorem can be parametrized by extending ([Re]) the Kazhdan-Lusztig ([KL]) parametrization to our tamely ramified context.

Let $\Lambda$ be a lattice in $A(F)$, thus it is a finitely generated commutative discrete subgroup of $A(F)$ with $A(F)=\Lambda A(O)$. Denote by $\Lambda^{+}$the cone of $\lambda$ in $\Lambda$ such that $\operatorname{Int}(\lambda)(U(O)) \subset U(O), \operatorname{Int}(\lambda) I_{+} \subset I_{+}, \operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-1}\right) I_{-} \subset I_{-}$, and $\operatorname{Int}(\lambda) A(O)=A(O)$. Denote by $\Lambda^{++}$the subcone of $\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}$with

$$
\cap_{n \gg 0} \operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{n}\right)(U(O))=\{1\}, \quad \operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-n}\right) I_{+} \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-m}\right) I_{+} \quad \text { if } \quad n<m
$$

and $\cup_{n \gg 0} \operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-n}\right)\left(I_{+}\right)=U(F)$. Here the examples of GL $(n)$ and the classical groups may help elucidate the definition.

Denote by $h_{\lambda}$ a constant measure supported on the double coset $I_{t} \lambda I_{t}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}$. The volume of $I_{t}$ is normalized to be 1 .
Lemma 2.3. The $h_{\lambda}$ are multiplicative on $\Lambda^{+}$withrespect to convolution, namely $h_{\lambda} h_{\mu}=h_{\lambda \mu}$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^{+}$.

Proof. To see this it suffices to consider the set $I_{t} \lambda I_{t} \mu I_{t}=I_{t} \lambda I_{+} A_{t}(O) I_{-} \mu I_{t}$, and note that $\lambda I_{+} \lambda^{-1} \subset I_{+}$and $\mu^{-1} I_{-} \mu \subset I_{-}$for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda^{+}$. Of course $\lambda A_{t}(O) \lambda^{-1}=A_{t}(O)$.

Remark 2. Here we used only the decomposition $I_{t}=I_{+} A_{t}(O) I_{-}$and its properties, and not the fact that $I$ is Iwahori.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let us consider a vector $v$ in $\pi^{I_{t}}$, and $h_{\lambda}^{n} v=h_{\lambda^{n} v}(=$ image of $v$ under the action of $h_{\lambda^{n}}$ ) for $\lambda \in \Lambda^{++}$and $n \gg 0$. Then

$$
h_{\lambda}^{n} v=h_{\lambda^{n}} v=I_{t} \lambda^{n} I_{t} v=I_{+} A_{t}(O) I_{-} \lambda^{n} v=I_{+} \lambda^{n} v=\lambda^{n} \cdot\left(\operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-n}\right) I_{+}\right) v
$$

up to a scalar depending on the measure, where we write the set (e.g. $I_{t} \lambda^{n} I_{t}$ ) for its characteristic function, and multiplication for convolution. We used here $\lambda^{-n} I_{-} \lambda^{n} \subset I_{-}$and $\lambda^{-n} A(O) \lambda^{n} \subset A(O)$. Now $I_{+}$is an open compact subgroup of $U(F)$, and $\operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-n}\right)$ acts on $I_{+}$by expanding it, thus $\operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-n}\right) I_{+} \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-m}\right) I_{+}$if $n<m$ and $\cup_{n \gg 0} \operatorname{Int}\left(\lambda^{-n}\right) I_{+}=U(F)$. Here we use the assumption that $\lambda \in \Lambda^{++}$.

Lemma 2.33 of [BZ1], p. 25, asserts that a vector $v \in \pi$ lies in the span $\langle\pi(u) b-b ; u \in U(F), b \in V\rangle$ iff there exists a compact subgroup $S$ in $U(F)$ with $\int_{S} \pi(u) v d u=0$. We conclude that for $v$ in $\pi^{I_{t}}$, we have that $h_{\lambda}^{n} v=0$ for $n \gg 0$ iff $v$ lies in the kernel of the map $\pi \mapsto \pi_{U}$ sending $\pi$ to its module of coinvariants $\pi_{U}=\pi /\langle\pi(u) b-b ; u \in U(F), b \in V\rangle$. In particular, if $h_{\lambda}$ is invertible then
the kernel of $\pi^{I_{t}} \rightarrow \pi_{U}$ (in fact this map has image in $\left(\pi_{U}\right)^{I_{t} \cap A}$ ) is zero, hence $\pi^{I_{t}} \hookrightarrow\left(\pi_{U}\right)^{I_{t} \cap A}$ is an embedding. In particular $\left(\pi_{U}\right)^{I_{t} \cap A}$ is nonzero.

Since $I_{t}$ is normal in $I, I$ acts on $\pi^{I_{t}}$ and $\pi^{I_{t}}=\oplus_{\chi} \pi^{I_{t}, \chi}$, the sum ranges over all characters $\chi$ of the torus $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=I / I_{t}=A(O) / A_{t}(O)$. Similarly $\pi_{U}^{A_{t}(O)}=$ $\oplus_{\chi} \pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi}$, where $\pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi}=\left\{v \in \pi_{U}^{A_{t}(O)} ; g \cdot v=\chi(g) v, g \in A(O)\right\}$ is the $\chi$ eigenspace. Then $\pi^{I, \chi} \hookrightarrow \pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi}$ for each $\chi$. If $\pi^{I, \chi} \neq 0$ then $\pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi} \neq 0$. Let $\chi_{A}$ be an irreducible quotient of $\pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi}$; it is a character of $A(F)$ whose restriction to $A(O)$ is $\chi$. By Frobenius reciprocity: $\operatorname{Hom}_{A(F)}\left(\pi_{U}, \chi_{A}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{G(F)}\left(\pi, I\left(\chi_{A}\right)\right)$, the nonzero map $\pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi} \rightarrow \chi_{A}$ defines a nonzero map $\pi \rightarrow I\left(\chi_{A}\right)$ which is an embedding since $\pi$ is irreducible.

Conversely, if $\pi$ is an irreducible subrepresentation of $I\left(\chi_{A}\right)$, then by Frobenius reciprocity there is a surjection $\pi_{U} \rightarrow \chi_{A}$, and since $\chi_{A} \mid A(O)=\chi$ we have $\pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi} \rightarrow \chi_{A}$. Note that if $\pi^{\prime}$ is an irreducible constituent of $I\left(\chi_{A}^{\prime}\right)$ then there is an element $w$ of the Weyl group of $A$ such that $\pi^{\prime}$ embeds in $I\left(w \chi_{A}^{\prime}\right)$. Now the key step in the proof that the functor $\pi \mapsto \pi_{U}$ of coinvariants takes admissible representations $\pi$ to admissible representations $\pi_{U}$ consists of the claim ([BZ1], 3.17), that the map $\pi \rightarrow \pi_{U}$, when restricted to $\pi^{K}$, where $K$ is any compact open subgroup with Iwahori decomposition $K=K_{-} K_{A} K_{+}=K_{+} K_{A} K_{-}$compatible with $B=A U$ and $B_{-}=A U_{-}$, thus the map $\pi^{K} \rightarrow\left(\pi_{U}\right)^{K_{A}}$ ([BZ1], 3.16(a)), is surjective. In particular $\pi^{I_{t}}=\oplus_{\chi} \pi^{I, \chi} \rightarrow \pi_{U}^{A_{t}(O)}=\oplus_{\chi} \pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi}$ is onto, and so is $\pi^{I, \chi} \rightarrow \pi_{U}^{A(O), \chi}$ for all $\chi$. Hence $\pi^{I, \chi} \rightarrow \chi_{A}$, which means that $\pi^{I, \chi} \neq 0$.

It remains to show that the $h_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda^{++}$, are invertible. This is accomplished in Corollary 3.4 below.
Remark 3. (1) The special case of $\chi=1$ in the theorem is awell known result of Borel [Bo] and Bernstein. We followed Bernstein's unpublished proof, replacing the Iwahori subgroup $I$ which is used in Bernstein's original proof, by the tame subgroup $I_{t}$, to be able to consider characters $\chi$ of $I / I_{t}$.
(2) The Iwahori Hecke algebra $C_{c}(I \backslash G / I)$ is defined ([IM]) by generators essentially double cosets of $I$ in $G(F)$ - and relations, using which one sees that the elements $h_{\lambda}^{I}\left(=I \lambda I, \lambda \in \Lambda^{+}\right)$are invertible. This completes the proof of the theorem for the group $I$ (that is, for $\chi=1$ ). We shall see below that $h_{\lambda}\left(=I_{t} \lambda I_{t}\right.$, $\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}$) are also invertible, by generalizing the presentation to the context of the tame algebra $C_{c}\left(I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$.
(3) The surjectivity of $V^{K} \rightarrow V_{U}^{K_{A}}$ for an open compact $K$ with Iwahori decomposition is proven in $[\mathrm{BD}]$, Prop. 3.5.2, in the context of smooth (not necessarily admissible) representations. This is used in [BD], Cor. 3.9, to characterize the category of $C_{c}(K \backslash G / K)$-modules as that of the smooth $G(F)$-modules $V$ generated by $V^{K}$. In particular any constituent of such a $G(F)$-module is again generated by its $K$-fixed vectors.

## 3. The tame algebra

We shall now describe the algebra $H_{t}=C_{c}\left(I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$ by means of generators and relations, when $G$ is unramified. But we shall provide (complete) proofs only in the case of the group $G=\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ and leave to the reader the formal extension
to the context of a general unramified reductive connected $p$-adic group. This way we can give explicit proofs by means of elementary matrix multiplication, and hopefully elucidate the proof.

Thus let $G$ be a quasisplit connected reductive group over $F$, with maximally split torus $A$ and Borel subgroup $B$ containing $A$. Then $B=A U$, where $U$ is the unipotent radical of $B$. We assume that $G, A, U$ are defined over $O$. We write $G$ for $G(F), A$ for $A(F)$, etc. Write $K=G(O)$ for the maximal compact, and $I$ for the Iwahori subgroup of $K$ defined as the pullback of $B\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ under $O \rightarrow O / \pi=\mathbb{F}_{q}, I_{t}$ for the pullback of $U\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then $I_{t}$ consists of the prounipotent elements of $I$.

Our aim is to describe the tame convolution algebra $H_{t}=C_{c}\left(I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$ by means of generators and relations. We shall use the Bruhat decomposition $G=I_{t} N(A) I_{t}=I N(A) I$, where $N(A)$ is the normalizer of $A$ in $G$. The tame affine Weyl group $W_{t}=N(A) / A_{t}(O), A_{t}(O)=A(O) \cap I_{t}, A(O)=N(A) \cap I=$ $A \cap I$, is an extension $1 \rightarrow A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \rightarrow W_{t} \rightarrow \widetilde{W} \rightarrow 1$ of the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}=N(A) / A(O)$ by the finite torus $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=A(O) / A_{t}(O)$. In turn, $\widetilde{W}$ is the semidirect product $W \ltimes X_{*}(A)$ of the Weyl group $W=N(A) / A$ and the lattice $X_{*}(A)=A / A(O)$, and $W_{t}$ is an extension of $W$ by the abelian group $\Lambda_{t}=A / A_{t}(O)$, which in itself is an extension of $A / A(O)=X_{*}(A)$ by $A(O) / A_{t}(O)=A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then $W$ acts on $\Lambda_{t}$ and on $X_{*}(A)$ by permutations.

For simplicity, assume that the root system of $G$ is irreducible. Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ denote the $B$-positive simple roots. Let $S=\left\{s_{\alpha_{i}}=s_{-\alpha_{i}} ; 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ be the set of simple reflections corresponding to the $B$-positive (or $B_{-}$-positive) simple roots. Let $\widetilde{\alpha}$ denote the $B$-highest root, and $\widetilde{\alpha}^{\vee}$ the corresponding coweight. Denote by $t_{\mu}=\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ the element of $X_{*}(A)$ corresponding to the cocharacter $\mu$. Thus we have $t_{-\widetilde{\alpha} v}$, and we put $s_{0}=t_{-\widetilde{\alpha} v} \cdot s_{\widetilde{\alpha}}$. The set $S_{a}=S \cup\left\{s_{0}\right\}$ is the set of simple affine reflections corresponding to the $B_{-}$-positive affine roots.

The extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}$ is $W_{a} \rtimes \Omega$, where $W_{a}$ is the Coxeter group generated by $S_{a}$, and $\Omega$ is the subgroup of $\widetilde{W}$ which preserves the set of $B_{-}$-positive simple affine roots under the usual left action: an affine linear automorphism acts on a functional by precomposition with its inverse. The set $S_{a}$ defines a length function and a Bruhat order on $\widetilde{W}$. The elements of $\Omega$ are of length zero.

We embed $X_{*}(A)$ inside $A$ via $\mu \mapsto \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})$, and regard each element of $W$ as an element of $K$, fixed once and for all. Also fix a primitive $(q-1)$ th root $\zeta$ of 1 in $O^{\times}$and identify $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$with $\langle\zeta\rangle \subset O^{\times}$, and $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ with the elements in $A$ with entries in $\langle\zeta\rangle$. Then view $\Lambda_{t}$ as the (direct) product of the $W$ - and $\Omega$-stable subgroups $X_{*}(A)$ and $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ of $A$. However the decomposition $\Lambda_{t}=X_{*}(A) \times A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is not canonical as it depends on the choice of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. This permits us to view lifts of $\widetilde{W}$ and $W_{t}$ as subsets - but not subgroups! - of $G$.

The decomposition of $G$ as the union of $I_{t} w I_{t}\left(w\right.$ in $\left.W_{t}\right)$ is disjoint ([IM], Thm 2.16). Hence each member of the convolution algebra $H_{t}$ is a linear combination over $\mathbb{C}$ of the functions $T(w)\left(w \in W_{t}\right)$ which are supported on $I_{t} w I_{t}$ and attain the value $1 /\left|I_{t}\right|$ there. The function $T(w)$ is independent of the choice of the representative $w$ in $I_{t} w I_{t}$.

The group $\Omega$ is computed in [IM], Sect. 1.8 , when $G$ is split, to be $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ in
types $B_{\ell}, C_{\ell}, E_{7} ;$ trivial in types $E_{8}, F_{4}, G_{2} ; \mathbb{Z} / 3$ in type $E_{6}$; and $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ in type $D_{2 \ell}, \mathbb{Z} / 4$ in type $D_{2 \ell+1}$.

In the example of $G=\mathrm{GL}(n, F)$, we choose lifts in $G$ of elements of $\widetilde{W}$, as follows. Let $s_{i}(1 \leq i<n)$ be the matrix whose entries are 0 except for $a_{j, j}=1$ $(j \neq i, i+1), a_{i, i+1}=1, a_{i+1, i}=-1$, thus it has determinant 1 , but order 4. Its image in $W$ is the transposition $(i, i+1)$. The images $\left\{\bar{s}_{i} ; 1 \leq i<n\right\}$ in $\widetilde{W}$ of the $\left\{s_{i} ; 1 \leq i<n\right\}$ generate $W$. Denote by $\tau$ the member ( $a_{i j}$ ) of $G$ whose nonzero entries are $a_{i, i+1}=1(1 \leq i<n)$ and $a_{n 1}=\pi$. Then $\tau^{n}=\boldsymbol{\pi}$ in GL $(n, F)$ and the image of $\tau$ in $\widetilde{W}$ generates $\Omega$. Define $s_{0}=s_{n}$ to be $\tau s_{1} \tau^{-1}=\tau^{-1} s_{n-1} \tau$. It is the matrix in $G$ whose nonzero entries are $a_{1 n}=-\boldsymbol{\pi}^{-1}, a_{i i}=1(1<i<n), a_{n 1}=\boldsymbol{\pi}$. Then $\tau s_{i+1}=s_{i} \tau \quad(0 \leq i<n)$. Let us also introduce the diagonal matrices $\varepsilon_{i}$ whose only diagonal entry which is not 1 is -1 at the $i$ th place. Then $s_{i}^{\prime}=s_{i} \varepsilon_{i}$ has entries 0 or 1 , and $s_{i}^{\prime 2}=1(1 \leq i \leq n)$.

The group $W_{a}$ is generated by the images $\bar{S}_{a}=\left\{\bar{s}_{i} ; 0 \leq i<n\right\}$ in $\widetilde{W}$ of the transpositions $S_{a}=\left\{s_{i} ; 0 \leq i<n\right\}, W$ by the $\left\{\bar{s}_{i} ; 1 \leq i<n\right\}, \Omega$ by the image $\bar{\tau}$ of $\tau$ in $\widetilde{W}$. Note that the group generated by $S_{a}$ in $W_{t}$ is bigger than $W_{a}$, although $\bar{S}_{a}$ generates $W_{a}$. Thus $\left(W_{a}, \bar{S}_{a}\right)$ is a Coxeter group ([BN], IV, Sect. 1). Hence it has a length function $\ell$ which assigns $w$ in $W_{a}$ the minimal integer $m$ so that $w=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}\left(t_{i}\right.$ in $\left.\bar{S}_{a}\right)$. In particular $\ell(1)=0$, and $\ell(w)=1$ iff $w=\bar{s}_{i}$ for some $i$. The length function $\ell$ extends to $\widetilde{W}$ by $\ell(\tau w)=\ell(w)\left(w \in W_{a}\right)$. The function $\ell$ extends to $W_{t}$ by $\ell(w)=\ell(\bar{w})$, where $\bar{w}$ is the image of $w \in W_{t}$ in $\widetilde{W}$. The group $W_{t}$ is generated by any pullback of $\widetilde{W}$ and by the $\rho \in A(O) / A_{t}(O)$. Thus $\ell$ is well defined and $\ell(\rho w)=\ell(w)$.

Note that $X_{*}(A)=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $A(O) / A_{t}(O) \simeq A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times, n}$. We identified $W A(O)$ with the group of matrices which have a single nonzero entry in $O^{\times}$at each row and column, $X_{*}(A)$ with the group of diagonal matrices with diagonal entries in $\pi^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $A(O) / A_{t}(O)$ with the group of diagonal matrices with diagonal entries in $O^{\times} /(1+\pi O)$. For $a$ in $O^{\times}$, write $\alpha_{i, a}$ for $\operatorname{diag}\left(1, \ldots, 1, a^{-1}, a, 1, \ldots, 1\right)$, where $a$ is in the $(i+1)$ th place and $a^{-1}$ is in the $i$ th place $(1 \leq i<n)$. Write $\alpha_{n, a}$ for $\operatorname{diag}\left(a, 1, \ldots, 1, a^{-1}\right), \rho_{i, a}$ for $\varepsilon_{i} \alpha_{i, a}$, and $\rho_{n, a}$ for $\varepsilon_{n} \alpha_{n, a}$.

Recall that $H_{t}$ is the convolution algebra $C_{c}\left(I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$, general $G$. A $\mathbb{C}$ basis of $H_{t}$ is given by $T(w)$, the characteristic function of $I_{t} w I_{t}$ divided by $\left|I_{t}\right|$, as $w$ ranges over $W_{t}$, since $I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t} \simeq W_{t}$. To simplify the notations we normalize the Haar measure to assign $I_{t}$ the volume $\left|I_{t}\right|=1$.
Theorem 3.1. The tame algebra $H_{t}$ is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by $T(w)$, $w \in W_{t}$, subject to the relations
(i) $\quad T(w) T\left(w^{\prime}\right)=T\left(w w^{\prime}\right)$ if $\ell\left(w w^{\prime}\right)=\ell(w)+\ell\left(w^{\prime}\right), w, w^{\prime} \in W_{t}$;
(ii) $\quad T\left(s_{i}\right)^{2}=q q^{2 \iota(i)} T\left(s_{i}^{2}\right)+(q+1)^{\iota(i)} \sum_{a} T\left(\alpha_{i, a} s_{i}\right) \quad(1 \leq i<n)$.

Here $s_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ lies in a subgroup $\mathrm{SL}(2, F)$ in $G$ if $G$ is split, and then $\iota(i)=0$ and $\alpha_{i, a}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 / a & 0 \\ 0 & a\end{array}\right)$, and a ranges over $(O / \pi)^{\times}$, or $s_{i}$ lies in a subgroup $\mathrm{SU}(3, E / F)=\{g \in \mathrm{SL}(3, E) ; g s \bar{g}=s\}$, where $s_{i}=s$ is antidiag $(1,-1,1)$, and $E$ is the unramified quadratic extension of $F$, and then $\iota(i)=1$ and $\alpha_{i, a}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\bar{a}^{-1}, \bar{a} / a, a\right)$, and a ranges over $\left(O_{E} / \boldsymbol{\pi}\right)^{\times}$.
Remark 4. (1) Put $u(a)=u_{i}(a)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 / a & 0 \\ 0 & a\end{array}\right)$ in $\operatorname{SL}(2, F)$. We use in the proof the relation $s_{i} u(a) s_{i}^{-1}=u\left(-a^{-1}\right) \alpha_{i a} s_{i} u\left(-a^{-1}\right)$ in $\mathrm{SL}(2, F)$. It can be written
in GL $(2, F)$ on replacing $a$ by $-a$, thus we get $s_{i}^{\prime} u(a) s_{i}^{\prime}=u\left(a^{-1}\right) \rho_{i a} s_{i}^{\prime} u\left(a^{-1}\right)$, where $s_{i}^{\prime}=s_{i} \varepsilon_{i}, \rho_{i a}=\alpha_{i a} \varepsilon_{i}$. The relation (ii) can then be expressed as $T\left(s_{i}\right)^{2}=$ $q T(1)+\sum_{a \in O / \pi ; a \neq 0} T\left(\rho_{i, a} s_{i}\right)$, closer to the relation $T\left(s_{i}\right)^{2}=q T(1)+(q-1) T\left(s_{i}\right)$ in $H$. This relation is $(T-q)(T+1)=0$. In the quasisplit nonsplit case it is $\left(T-q^{2}\right)(T+q)=0$, or $T^{2}-q(q-1) T-q^{3} I=0$.
(2) In $\operatorname{SU}(3, E / F)$ we put $u(a, b)=u_{i}(a, b)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), a \in E, b \in E$ with $b+\bar{b}=a \bar{a}$. Then $s u(a, b) s=u(-a / \bar{b}, 1 / b) \alpha_{b} s u(-a / b, 1 / b)$.
Corollary 3.2. The tame algebra $H_{t}$ is an algebra generated over the commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right]$ by $T\left(s_{i}\right)(0 \leq i<n)$, $T(\tau)$, subject to the relations
(iii) $T(\tau)^{n}=T\left(\tau^{n}\right) ; T(w) T(\rho)=T(w(\rho)) T(w)$ where $w(\rho)$ is the image of $\rho \in A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ under $w$ (where $w$ is $\tau \in \Omega$ or $s_{i} \in S_{a}$ );
(iv) $\quad T(\tau) T\left(s_{i+1}\right)=T\left(s_{i}\right) T(\tau) \quad(0 \leq i<n)$;
the quadratic relation (ii) and the braid relations
(v) $T\left(s_{i}\right) T\left(s_{j}\right) T\left(s_{i}\right)=T\left(s_{j}\right) T\left(s_{i}\right) T\left(s_{j}\right)$ if $s_{i} s_{j} s_{i}=s_{j} s_{i} s_{j}$ ( namely when $i=j \pm 1$ and $n \geq 3 ; 1 \leq i, j<n)$;
(vi) $T\left(s_{i}\right) T\left(s_{j}\right)=T\left(s_{j}\right) T\left(s_{i}\right)$ if $s_{i} s_{j}=s_{j} s_{i} \quad($ namely $i \neq j, j \pm 1$ and $n \geq 4$; $1 \leq i, j<n)$.

It is clear that the presentation of Theorem 3.1 implies that of Corollary 3.2 , and is implied by it.

Remark 5. By (iv), $T\left(s_{0}\right)=T(\tau) T\left(s_{1}\right) T(\tau)^{-1}=T(\tau)^{-1} T\left(s_{n-1}\right) T(\tau)$ satisfies $(v)$, (vi), and with $\alpha_{n, a}=\tau \alpha_{1 a} \tau^{-1}=\left(\alpha_{1, a} \cdots \alpha_{n-1, a}\right)^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(a, 1, \ldots, 1, a^{-1}\right)$, also (ii) $)_{0} \quad T\left(s_{0}\right)^{2}=q q^{2 \iota(i)} T\left(s_{0}^{2}\right)+(q+1)^{\iota(i)} \sum_{a \in O / \pi ; a \neq 0} T\left(\alpha_{n, a} s_{0}\right)$.

The proof of the relations (iii) involving $T(\rho)$ is immediate from the definition of $T(\rho)$ as the characteristic function of $\rho I_{t}$, and the proof of $(i v),(v)$, (vi) follows the proof of the corresponding statements for the Iwahori (unramified) Hecke algebra $C_{c}(I \backslash G / I)$ in [IM], Prop. 3.8.

For example, to prove $(v)$ it suffices to work in $\operatorname{GL}(3, F)$ and show that $(v)^{\prime} \quad I_{t} s_{1} I_{t} s_{2} I_{t} s_{1} I_{t}=I_{t} s_{2} I_{t} s_{1} I_{t} s_{2} I_{t}$.
To show that both sides are equal to $I_{t} s_{1} s_{2} s_{1} I_{t}$ we first observe the crucial fact, that will be used repeatedly, in particular in the proof of $(i i)$, that $I_{t}$ decomposes as $I_{-} A_{t}(O) I_{+}=I_{+} A_{t}(O) I_{-}$, where
$I_{+}=I \cap U(F)=I_{t} \cap U(F), \quad I_{-}=I \cap U_{-}(F)=I_{t} \cap U_{-}(F), \quad A_{t}(O)=I_{t} \cap A(F)$,
and $U(F)$ is the unipotent radical of the upper triangular subgroup $B(F)$, and $U_{-}(F)$ is the lower unipotent subgroup, so that $A(F) U_{-}(F)$ is the parabolic subgroup opposite to $B(F)=A(F) U(F)$ (thus $B(F) \cap A(F) U_{-}(F)=A(F)$ ).

The decomposition of each element of $I_{t}$ is unique. Of course, this follows from the analogous decomposition $I=I_{-} A(O) I_{+}=I_{+} A(O) I_{-}$where $A(O)=$ $I \cap A(F)=A(O)$, of the Iwahori subgroup $I$. Write $I_{t}^{\prime}$ for the group of $x$ in $I_{t}$ whose reduction $\bmod \pi$ is 1 in $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then $s_{i} I_{t}^{\prime} s_{i}^{-1}=I_{t}^{\prime} \subset I_{t}$ for any $s_{i}$. To deal with unipotent elements in $I_{+}$not in $I_{+} \cap I_{t}^{\prime}$, say $x$, note that $s_{1} x s_{1}^{-1} \in I_{t}$ if $x=\left(a_{i j}\right), a_{12}=0$. However, an upper unipotent matrix with nonzero entry only at the (12) position is conjugated by $s_{2}$ to an upper unipotent matrix with nonzero entry only at the (13) position, and then by $s_{1}$ to one with nonzero entry only at the (23) position; but this lies in the $I_{t}$ at the right side of the left wing of
$(v)^{\prime}$, and so we see that the left side of $(v)^{\prime}$ is equal to $I_{t} s_{1} s_{2} s_{1} I_{t}$. Similar analysis applies to the right wing of $(v)^{\prime}$, and the equality of $(v)^{\prime}$ follows.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. The relation (ii) differs from the analogous relation $T_{s}^{2}=$ $(q-1) T_{s}+q \cdot I$ in the Iwahori Hecke algebra, but the proof follows along similar lines. Since the relation (ii) involves only the reflection $s_{i}$, it suffices to work in the group $\operatorname{SL}(2, F)$ if $G$ is split, and in $\mathrm{SU}(3, E / F)$ if not. The symbol $T(s)^{2}$ stands for the convolution

$$
\left[T(s)^{2}\right](x)=\int_{G(F)}[T(s)]\left(x y^{-1}\right)[T(s)](y) d y=\int_{I_{t} s I_{t}}[T(s)]\left(x y^{-1}\right) d y
$$

We then need to find the $y \in I_{t} s I_{t}$ with $x y^{-1} \in I_{t} s I_{t}$, thus $x \in I_{t} s I_{t} s I_{t}$. We first work in $\operatorname{SL}(2, F)$. Put $u(a)=u_{i}(a)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 / a & 0 \\ 0 & a\end{array}\right)$. It suffices to look at the $I_{t}$-double coset $I_{t} s u(a) s I_{t}$ since $I_{t}=\cup_{c} I_{t}^{\prime} u(c)$, union over a set of representatives in $O$ for $O / \pi$, and $s I_{t}^{\prime} s^{-1}=I_{t}^{\prime} \subset I_{t}$. If $a=0$ we obtain the double coset $-I_{t}$. If $a \neq 0(\bmod \pi)$ we observe that

$$
s u(a) s=-{ }^{t} u(-a)=-u\left(-a^{-1}\right) \alpha_{a} s u\left(-a^{-1}\right) \in-\alpha_{a} I_{t} s I_{t}, \quad \alpha_{a}=\operatorname{diag}\left(a^{-1}, a\right) .
$$

It follows that $I_{t} s I_{t} s I_{t}=-I_{t} \cup \cup_{a \neq 0}-\alpha_{a} I_{t} s I_{t}$. Hence

$$
T(s)^{2}=m_{0} T\left(s^{2}\right)+\sum_{a \neq 0} m_{a} T\left(-\alpha_{a} s\right) .
$$

Thus we need to compute the coefficients $m_{a}, a \in O / \boldsymbol{\pi}$. It suffices to compute $\left[T(s)^{2}\right](x)$ at $x=-1$ and at $x=-\alpha_{a} s$. At $x=-1$ the integral becomes the cardinality of $I_{t} s I_{t} / I_{t} \simeq I_{t} / I_{t} \cap s I_{t} s^{-1}$, a set represented by $u(a), a \in O / \pi$. It has cardinality $q$, so $m_{0}=q$.

Next we compute $m_{a}=\left[T(s)^{2}\right]\left(-\alpha_{a} s\right)$, thus the volume of the set of $y \in I_{t} s I_{t}$ (that is, $y^{-1} \in-I_{t} s I_{t}$ ) with $-\alpha_{a} s y^{-1} \in I_{t} s I_{t}$, namely the volume of the set (of $y^{-1}$ in) $\left(-I_{t} s I_{t} \cap \alpha_{a} s I_{t} s I_{t}\right) / I_{t}$. The intersection consists of a single coset $-u\left(a^{-1}\right) s I_{t}$, so the volume is 1 , and $m_{a}=1$ for every $a \neq 0$ in $O / \pi$.

The work in $\operatorname{SU}(3, E / F)$ is analogous. We put $u(a, b)=u_{i}(a, b)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & \bar{a} \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, $a \in E, b \in E$ with $b+\bar{b}=a \bar{a}$. We consider the $I_{t}$-double cosets $I_{t} s u(a, b) s I_{t}$ since $I_{t}=\cup_{c, d} I_{t}^{\prime} u(c, d)$, union over a set of representatives $c$ for $O_{E} / \boldsymbol{\pi} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q_{E}}=\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ and $d=\iota d^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} c \bar{c}, d^{\prime} \in O / \pi \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q_{F}}=\mathbb{F}_{q}, \iota+\bar{\iota}=0, \iota \in O_{E}^{\times}$, and $s I_{t}^{\prime} s^{-1}=I_{t}^{\prime}$. If $b=0$ $(\bmod \boldsymbol{\pi})$ we get the double coset $I_{t}$. If not, we use

$$
s u(a, b) s=u(-a / \bar{b}, 1 / b) \alpha_{b} s u(-a / b, 1 / b) \in \alpha_{b} I_{t} s I_{t} .
$$

Then $I_{t} s I_{t} s I_{t}=I_{t} \cup \cup_{\{a, b ; b \neq 0\}} \alpha_{b} I_{t} s I_{t}$. Hence

$$
T(s)^{2}=m_{0} T(1)+(q+1) \sum_{\left\{b \in O_{E} / \pi ; b \neq 0\right\}} m_{b} T\left(\alpha_{b} s\right) .
$$

Thus we need to compute the coefficients $m_{b}, b \in O_{E} / \pi$. It suffices to compute $\left[T(s)^{2}\right](x)$ at $x=1$ and at $x=\alpha_{b} s$. At $x=1$ the integral becomes the
cardinality of $I_{t} s I_{t} / I_{t} \simeq I_{t} / I_{t} \cap s I_{t} s^{-1}$, a set represented by $u(a, b), a \in O_{E} / \pi$, $b^{\prime} \in O / \pi$. It has cardinality $q^{3}$, so $m_{0}=q^{3}$.

Next we compute $m_{d}=\left[T(s)^{2}\right]\left(\alpha_{d} s\right)$, thus the volume of the set of $y \in I_{t} s I_{t}$ (that is, $y^{-1} \in I_{t} s I_{t}$ ) with $\alpha_{d} s y^{-1} \in I_{t} s I_{t}$, namely the volume of the set (of $y^{-1}$ in) $\left(I_{t} s I_{t} \cap \alpha_{\bar{d}} s^{-1} I_{t} s I_{t}\right) / I_{t}$. The intersection consists of the $q+1$ cosets $u(a, b) s I_{t}$ with $b=\bar{d}^{-1}$, so $m_{b}=1$ for every $b \neq 0$ in $O_{E} / \boldsymbol{\pi}$, and there are $q+1$ elements $a$ in $O_{E} / \boldsymbol{\pi}$ with the same $a \bar{a}=b+\bar{b}$.

To prove (i), in view of (iii) and (iv) it suffices to show that $w I_{t} s \subset I_{t} w s I_{t}$ where $s$ is the reflection $s_{i}(1 \leq i<n)$ and $w \in W^{\prime}$ has $\ell(w s)=1+\ell(w)$. Each element of $I_{t}$ can be expressed as the product $u(a) g$ with $g \in s I_{t} s^{-1} \cap I_{t}$ and $u(a)$ is a matrix in the unipotent upper triangular subgroup whose only nonzero entry is $a$ (in $O-\pi O)$ at the $(i, i+1)$ place. It remains to show that $w u(a) s \in I_{t} w s I_{t}$. Since $\ell(w s)=1+\ell(w)$, we have $w u(a) s \in I w s I$, thus $u(a) s \in w^{-1} I w \cdot s \cdot I$. We now assume $G$ is split - the quasisplit case is similarly handled. Let $G_{i}$ be derived group of the subgroup of $G$ whose $j$ th $(j \neq i, i+1)$ diagonal entry is 1 , and its nondiagonal entries not at positions $(i, i),(i, i+1),(i+1, i),(i+1, i+1)$ are zero. Then $G_{i} \simeq \operatorname{SL}(2, F)$ and $s, u(a) \in G_{i}$, thus $u(a) s \in\left(G_{i} \cap w^{-1} I w\right) \cdot s \cdot\left(G_{i} \cap I\right)$. The group $G_{i} \cap I$ is the upper triangular Iwahori subgroup $I_{i}$ in $G_{i} \simeq \operatorname{SL}(2, F)$, and $G_{i} \cap w^{-1} I w$ is either $I_{i}$ or the lower conjugate $I_{i}^{s}=s I_{i} s^{-1}$. By the uniqueness of the Bruhat decomposition for $G_{i}$ we conclude that $u(a) \in G_{i} \cap w^{-1} I w \subset w^{-1} I w$. Hence $w u(a) w^{-1} \in I$. But $u(a)$ is unipotent, in particular prounipotent. Hence $w u(a) w^{-1} \in I_{t}$, as $I_{t}$ is the prounipotent part of $I$. Then $w u(a) \in I_{t} w$, and so $w u(a) s \in I_{t} w s$, as required.

Note that the relation $I w I s I=I w I \cup I w s I$ (see [BN], IV, §2.2, p. 24) implies that $I_{t} w I_{t} s I_{t}=\cup_{a} \rho_{a} I_{t} w I_{t} \cup \cup_{b} \rho_{b} I_{t} w s I_{t}$ for suitable diagonal matrices $\rho_{a}, \rho_{b}$ with entries in a set of representatives in $O^{\times}$for $O^{\times} /(1+\pi O)$. When $\ell\left(w s_{i}\right)=1+\ell(w)\left(\ell\left(s_{i}\right)=1\right)$ we have that $I w I s_{i} I=I w s_{i} I$. We showed that $I_{t} w I_{t} s_{i} I_{t}=I_{t} w s_{i} I_{t}$ in this case. This establishes the last claim of the theorem.

The $h_{\lambda}\left(\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}\right)$are generated by $h_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda=(\boldsymbol{\pi}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\pi}, 1, \ldots, 1)$, where $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ occurs $m$ times. The latter $h_{\lambda}$ are expressible as a product of $T\left(s_{i}\right)(1 \leq i<n)$ of minimal length, and the power, $m$, of $\tau$. Note that $\tau$ normalizes $I_{t}$ (and $I$ ) and $T(\tau)$ is invertible by (iii). To check that each $h_{\lambda}\left(\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}\right)$is invertible it then remains to show the following.
Proposition 3.3. Each $T\left(s_{i}\right)$ is invertible $(0 \leq i<n)$.
Proof. It suffices to consider the case of $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ (or $\mathrm{SU}(3, E / F)$ ). Put $T\left(s_{i}\right)^{\prime}=$ $T\left(s_{i}^{2}\right)\left(T\left(s_{i}\right)-(q+1)^{2 \iota(i)} \sum_{a \in(O / \boldsymbol{\pi})^{\times}} T\left(\alpha_{i, a}\right)\right)$. Then $T\left(s_{i}\right) T\left(s_{i}\right)^{\prime}=T\left(s_{i}\right)^{\prime} T\left(s_{i}\right)=$ $q q^{2 \iota(i)}$.
Corollary 3.4. Every $T(\rho w)\left(\rho \in A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right), w \in \widetilde{W}\right)$ in $H_{t}$ is invertible.
Proof. By (iii), each $T(\rho)$ is invertible. If $w=t_{1} \cdots t_{m}$ is a reduced expression for $w$ in terms of the generators $\tau, s_{i}(1 \leq i<n)$, then $T(w)=T\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots T\left(t_{m}\right)$, and each $T\left(t_{i}\right)$ is invertible.

This is the fact needed to complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## 4. Bernstein-type presentation

The conclusion of Corollary 3.4, that each generator $T(w), w \in W_{t}$, of the tame algebra $H_{t}=C_{c}\left(I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$ is invertible, can be used to give a different presentation of the tame algebra, exhibiting a commutative algebra of finite codimension, parametrized by $A / A_{t}(O)$, analogous to the Bernstein presentation of the IwahoriHecke algebra $H=C_{c}(I \backslash G / I)$. We proceed following Bernstein's abstract proof of his presentation and the clear exposition of [HKP]. We do not follow Lusztig [L] explicit but partial exposition of this presentation, as this would require in particular constructing $W_{t}$ as an extension of $\widetilde{W}$ by $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

Our Bernstein-type presentation of the tame algebra $H_{t}$ (see Theorem 4.5 below) asserts that (1) there is an explicitly described isomorphism of $H_{t}$ with $R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t}$, where $R_{t}=C_{c}\left(A / A_{t}(O)\right)$ is a commutative subalgebra, $H_{f, t}=$ $C\left(N_{K}(A) / A_{t}(O)\right)$ is a finite dimensional subalgebra, both containing a finite dimensional commutative algebra $R_{f, t}=C\left(A(O) / A_{t}(O)\right)$, and (2) the commutation relations of the generators $a \in A / A_{t}(O)$ of $R_{t}$, and $s_{\alpha}$ of $H_{f, t}$, take the form

$$
T\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \circ a=s_{\alpha}(a) \circ T\left(s_{\alpha}\right)+\left(s_{\alpha}(a)-a\right) \frac{\sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi})}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})} .
$$

We proceed to explain the notations, statement and proof of the presentation.

We first recall our notations. Let $F$ be a $p$-adic field with a ring $O$ of integers whose maximal ideal is generated by $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. The residue field $O / \pi$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Consider a split connected reductive group $G$ over $F$, with split maximal torus $A$ and Borel subgroup $B=A U$ containing $A$. Let $B_{-}=A U_{-}$be the Borel subgroup opposite to $B$ containing $A$. Assume $G, A, U$ are defined over $O$. Write $K$ for $G(O), I$ for the Iwahori subgroup of $K$ defined to be the inverse image of $B\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ under $G(O) \rightarrow G\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, and define the tame Iwahori subgroup $I_{t}$ to be the inverse image of $U\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ under this map. For $\mu \in X_{*}(A)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}, A\right)$ we have $\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \in A(F)$, and $\mu \mapsto \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ defines an isomorphism $X_{*}(A) \rightarrow A / A(O)$. We often write $G, A, \ldots$ for $G(F), A(F), \ldots$

The tame Weyl group $W_{t}$ is the quotient $N_{G}(A) / A_{t}(O)$ of the normalizer $N_{G}(A)$ of $A$ in $G$, by the kernel $A_{t}(O)=I_{t} \cap A(O)$ of the reduction mod $\pi$ map $A(O) \rightarrow A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. It contains the finite torus $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=A(O) / A_{t}(O)$, which is the commutative subgroup $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}\right)^{n}$, where $n$ is the dimension of $A$. Thus $W_{t}$ is an extension of the extended Weyl group $\widetilde{W}=N_{G}(A) / A(O)$ by $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Moreover $W_{t}$ contains the tame torus $A_{t}=A / A_{t}(O)$, a commutative subgroup which is an extension of the lattice $A / A(O)=X_{*}(A)$ by the finite torus $A(O) / A_{t}(O)=A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

The quotient of $W_{t}$ by $A / A_{t}(O)$ is the finite Weyl group $W_{f}=N_{G}(A) / A$. This $W_{f}$ can be realized inside $\widetilde{W}$ as the quotient $N_{K}(A) / A(O)$, expressing $\widetilde{W}$ as the semidirect product of $W_{f}$ and $X_{*}(A)$. We introduce also the tame finite Weyl group $W_{f, t}=N_{K}(A) / A_{t}(O)$. It is a subgroup of $W_{t}$.

We choose a section $\widetilde{W} \rightarrow W_{t}$ of the extension $1 \rightarrow A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \rightarrow W_{t} \rightarrow \widetilde{W} \rightarrow 1$, namely we identify $\widetilde{W}$ with a subset of $W_{t}$. But $\widetilde{W}$ is not a subgroup of $W_{t}$.

The tame Weyl group $W_{t}$ contains as subgroups the tame torus $A_{t}$ and the tame Weyl group $W_{f, t}$. Both subgroups contain $A_{t} \cap W_{f, t}=A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$.

Having fixed a generator $\pi$ of the maximal ideal $\pi O$ in $O$, we can choose a splitting $F^{\times} /(1+\pi O) \simeq\langle\boldsymbol{\pi}\rangle \cdot O^{\times} /(1+\pi O) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, and so a splitting of the tame torus $A_{t}=A / A_{t}(O)$ as a direct product of the lattice $A / A(O) \simeq X_{*}(A)$ with the finite torus $A(O) / A_{t}(O) \simeq A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. However, these splittings depend on the choice of $\pi$, hence are not canonical.
Proposition 4.1. The natural map $W_{t} \rightarrow A_{t}(O) U \backslash G / I_{t}$ is a bijection.
Proof. To describe the inverse, write $g \in G$ as $g=\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) u k \in A U K$, using the Iwasawa decomposition. Then write $k=u_{0} w i$ with $u_{0} \in U(O), i \in I$, $w \in W$ realized in $K$, using the Bruhat decomposition over the residue field. Then $g=\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) u u_{0} w i$ defines the $I_{t}$-double coset of $\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) w i$.

Definition 1. (1) Denote by $H_{t}$ the tame Hecke algebra $C_{c}\left(I_{t} \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$. It is a convolution algebra, where we normalize the Haar measure of $G$ by $\left|I_{t}\right|=1$. The characteristic functions $T(x)=\operatorname{ch}\left(I_{t} x I_{t}\right)$ of the double cosets $I_{t} x I_{t}, x \in W_{t}$, make a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $H_{t}$, by the disjoint decomposition $G=I_{t} W_{t} I_{t}$ (where by $x \in W_{t}$ we mean a representative in $G$ for $x$ ).
(2) The universal tame principal series module is $M_{t}=C_{c}\left(A_{t}(O) U \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$. It is the space of $I_{t}$-fixed vectors in the smooth $G$-module $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(A_{t}(O) U \backslash G\right)$, hence $M_{t}$ is a right $H_{t}$-module. For each $x \in W_{t}$ denote by $v_{x}$ the characteristic function $\operatorname{ch}\left(A_{t}(O) U x I_{t}\right)$. The vectors $v_{x}\left(x \in W_{t}\right)$ make a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $M_{t}$. For example, we have $v_{1}=\operatorname{ch}\left(A_{t}(O) U I_{t}\right)$.
(3) Let $R_{t}=C_{c}\left(A / A_{t}(O)\right)$ be the group algebra of $A / A_{t}(O)$. It is isomorphic, noncanonically, to $C_{c}\left[X_{*}(A) \times A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right]$. The elements $\zeta \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})\left(\mu \in X_{*}(A)\right.$, $\left.\zeta \in A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$ make a basis for the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $R_{t}$. The right $H_{t}$-module $M_{t}$ has a structure of a left $R_{t}$-module by $a \cdot v_{x}=q^{-\left\langle\rho, \mu_{a}\right\rangle} v_{a x}$ if $a \mapsto \mu_{a}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ under $A / A_{t}(O) \rightarrow A / A(O)$, where $\rho$ is half the sum of the roots of $A$ in $\operatorname{Lie}(U)$. If $\delta_{B}(a)$ denotes the absolute value of the determinant of the adjoint action of $a \in A$ on $\operatorname{Lie}(U)$, then $q^{-\left\langle\rho, \mu_{a}\right\rangle}=\delta_{B}(a)^{1 / 2}$ for any $a \in A$ which maps to $\mu_{a}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ in $A / A(O)$. As the actions of $R_{t}$ and $H_{t}$ commute, $M_{t}$ is an $R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{t}$-module, where the commutative algebra $R_{f, t}=C\left(A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$ is contained in both $R_{t}$ and $H_{t}$.
(4) The finite dimensional tame algebra $H_{f, t}=C\left(I_{t} \backslash K / I_{t}\right)$ is a subalgebra of $H_{t}$. The $T(w)=\operatorname{ch}\left(I_{t} w I_{t}\right), w \in W_{f, t}$, make a basis. It contains $R_{f, t}=$ $C\left(A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$.

The representation of $G$ by right translation on $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(A_{t}(O) U \backslash G\right)$ is compactly induced from the trivial representation of $A_{t}(O) U$. Inducing in stages we get $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(A_{t}(O) U \backslash G\right)=I_{B}^{G}\left(R_{t}\right)$. We are using normalized induction, and $R_{t}$ is viewed as an $A$-module via $\chi_{\text {univ }}^{-1}: A / A_{t}(O) \rightarrow R_{t}^{\times}, a \mapsto a$. A vector in the induced representation $I_{B}^{G}\left(R_{t}\right)$ is a locally constant function $\phi: G \rightarrow R_{t}$ with $\phi(a u g)=\delta_{B}(a)^{1 / 2} \cdot a^{-1} \cdot \phi(g)(a \in A, u \in U, g \in G)$. The group $G$ acts by right translation. If $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(A_{t}(O) U \backslash G\right)$, the corresponding vector $\phi$ in $I_{B}^{G}\left(R_{t}\right)$ is $\phi(g)=\sum_{a \in A / A_{t}(O)} \delta_{B}(a)^{-1 / 2} \varphi(a g) \cdot a, g \in G$.

There is an $R_{t}$-module structure on $I_{B}^{G}\left(R_{t}\right)$, defined by $(r \phi)(g)=r$. $\phi(g)$. The isomorphism $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(A_{t}(O) U \backslash G\right)=I_{B}^{G}\left(R_{t}\right)$ induces an $R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{t}$-module isomorphism from $M_{t}$ to $I_{B}^{G}\left(R_{t}\right)^{I_{t}}$, the space of $I_{t}$-fixed vectors in $I_{B}^{G}\left(R_{t}\right)$.

A character $\chi: A / A_{t}(O) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$determines a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism
$R_{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We use $\chi$ to extend scalars, to get the $H_{t}$-module

$$
\mathbb{C} \otimes_{R_{t}, \chi} M_{t}=\mathbb{C} \otimes_{R_{t}, \chi} I_{B}^{G}\left(R_{t}\right)^{I_{t}}=I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi^{-1}\right)^{I_{t}} .
$$

Proposition 4.2. The map $h \mapsto v_{1} h, v_{1}=\operatorname{ch}\left(A_{t}(O) U I_{t}\right)$, is an isomorphism of right $H_{t}$-modules from $H_{t}$ to $M_{t}$. Namely $M_{t}$ is a free rank one $H_{t}$-module with canonical generator $v_{1}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the map $h \mapsto v_{1} h$, when presented in terms of the bases $\left\{T(w)=\operatorname{ch}\left(I_{t} w I_{t}\right) ; w \in W_{t}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{w}=\operatorname{ch}\left(A_{t}(O) U w I_{t}\right) ; w \in W_{t}\right\}$, is a triangular matrix with nonzero diagonal.

To show this, we claim that if $U x I_{t} \cap I_{t} y I_{t} \neq \emptyset$ then $x \leq y$ in the Bruhat order on $\widetilde{W}=N_{G}(A) / A(O)$. Note that $T(\zeta)$ is invertible, for $\zeta \in A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Hence it suffices to show the same claim with $I_{t}$ replaced by $I$, namely that $U x I \cap I y I \neq \emptyset$ implies $x \leq y$. Then suppose that $u x \in I y I$ with $u \in U$. Choose dominant enough $\mu \in X_{*}(A)$ to have $\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) u \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})^{-1} \in I$. Then $\left(\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) u \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})^{-1}\right) \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) x \in \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) I y I$, and so $I \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) x I \subset I \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) I y I$. But $I \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) I y I \subset \coprod_{y^{\prime} \leq y} I \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) y^{\prime} I$, hence the claim follows.

Corollary 4.3. There is a canonical isomorphism $H_{t} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{H_{t}}\left(M_{t}\right)$.It identifies $\eta \in H_{t}$ with the endomorphism $\varphi_{\eta}: v_{1} h \mapsto v_{1} \eta h$ of $M_{t}$, namely each $H_{t}$ endomorphism $\varphi: M_{t} \rightarrow M_{t}$ is given by $v_{1} h \mapsto v_{1} h_{\varphi} h$ for $h_{\varphi} \in H_{t}$.

Proof. For every $h \in H_{t}, \varphi\left(v_{1} h\right)=u h$ where $u=\varphi\left(v_{1}\right)=v_{1} h_{\varphi}$.
Recall that $T(w)=\operatorname{ch}\left(I_{t} w I_{t}\right), v_{w}=\operatorname{ch}\left(A_{t}(O) U w I_{t}\right)$ for $w \in W_{t}$. Recall that $W_{f, t}=N_{K}(A) / A_{t}(O)$ is a subgroup of $W_{t}$. We have
(1) $\quad v_{1} T(w)=v_{w} \quad\left(w \in W_{f, t}\right)$.

Indeed, the Iwahori factorization implies $I_{t}=\left(I_{t} \cap U\right) A_{t}(O)\left(I_{t} \cap U_{-}\right)$. Then $A_{t}(O) U I_{t} \cdot I_{t} w I_{t}=A_{t}(O) U w I_{t}$, and $A_{t}(O) U I_{t} \cap w I_{t} w^{-1} I_{t}=I_{t}$ as $A_{t}(O) U I_{t} \cap K=$ $I_{t}$.

Using the left $R_{t}$-module structure on $M_{t}$ we conclude from (1)
(2) $\quad v_{a} T(w)=v_{a w} \quad\left(w \in W_{f, t}, a \in A / A_{t}(O)\right)$.

Further we have
(3) $\quad v_{1} T(a)=v_{a} \quad\left(a \in A / A_{t}(O)\right.$ with dominant image $\left.\mu_{a} \in X_{*}(A)\right)$.

If $\mu$ is dominant then $A_{t}(O) U I_{t} \cdot I_{t} \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) I_{t}=A_{t}(O) U \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) I_{t}$ since $\left(\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})\left(I_{t} \cap\right.\right.$ $U) \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})^{-1} \subset I_{t} \cap U$ and) $\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})^{-1}\left(I_{t} \cap U_{-}\right) \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \subset I_{t} \cap U_{-}$, and $A_{t}(O) U I_{t} \cap$ $\mu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) I_{t} \mu(\boldsymbol{\pi})^{-1} I_{t}=I_{t}$.

The elements of $R_{t}$ can be viewed as endomorphisms of $M_{t}$. Hence by Corollary 4.3 they can be viewed as elements in $H_{t}$. This way we can embed $R_{t}$ as a subalgebra of $H_{t}$. Denote by $\widehat{T}_{a} \in H_{t}$ the image of the basis element $a \in A / A_{t}(O)$ of $R_{t}$ under the embedding $R_{t} \hookrightarrow H_{t}$. From the definition of the left $R_{t}$-action on $M_{t}$, we conclude that $v_{1} \widehat{T}_{a}=a v_{1}$, namely $v_{1}$ is an eigenvector for the right action of the subalgebra $R_{t}$ of $H_{t}$. Note that $R_{t}$ contains the algebra $R_{f, t}$ too.
Proposition 4.4. Multiplication in $H_{t}$ induces a vector space isomorphism

$$
R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t} \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} H_{t},
$$

sending $a \otimes h$ to $\widehat{T}_{a} h$. Composing this isomorphism with the isomorphism $h \mapsto v_{1} h$, $H_{t} \rightarrow M_{t}$, we get a vector space isomorphism $R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t} \sim M_{t}$, mapping $a \otimes T(w)$ to $q^{-\left\langle\rho, \mu_{a}\right\rangle} v_{a w}$.

Proof. $\quad$ From (1), the composition $R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t} \rightarrow H_{t} \rightarrow M_{t}$ maps $a \otimes T(w)$ to $q^{-\left\langle\rho, \mu_{a}\right\rangle} v_{a w}$, consequently is an isomorphism. As $H_{t} \tilde{\rightarrow} M_{t}$ by Proposition 4.2, $R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t} \underset{\rightarrow}{\sim} H_{t}$ is an isomorphism as well.

Remark 6. From (3) and Definition 1(3) we have $\widehat{T}_{a}=q^{\left\langle\rho, \mu_{2}-\mu_{1}\right\rangle} T\left(a_{1}\right) T\left(a_{2}\right)^{-1}$ if $a=a_{1} / a_{2}$ and $\mu_{1}=\mu_{a_{1}}, \mu_{2}=\mu_{a_{2}}$ are dominant characters. In particular $\widehat{T}_{a}=$ $q^{-\left\langle\rho, \mu_{a}\right\rangle} T_{a}$ for $a \in A / A_{t}(O)$ which maps to a dominant $\mu_{a} \in X_{*}(A)=A / A(O)$.

The isomorphism $H_{t}=R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t}$ of Proposition 4.4 describes the generators of $H_{t}$. To complete our Bernstein-type presentation we need to describe the relations among the generators $a \in A / A_{t}(O)$ of $R_{t}$ and $T\left(s_{\alpha}\right)$ in $H_{f, t}$. For that, let $\alpha$ be a simple root and $s_{\alpha}$ a representative in $W_{t, f}=N_{K}(A) / A_{t}(O)$ of the corresponding simple reflection, $\alpha^{\vee} \in X_{*}(A)$ the coroot and $\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \in A / A(O)$, $S_{\alpha}$ the corresponding copy of $\operatorname{SL}(2, F)$ with its Borel subgroup $B_{\alpha}=S_{\alpha} \cap B$, torus $A_{\alpha}=S_{\alpha} \cap A$, tame torus $A_{\alpha} / A_{\alpha, t}(O)$ where $A_{\alpha, t}(O)=S_{\alpha} \cap A_{t}(O)$, lattice $A_{\alpha} / A_{\alpha}(O)$ and $K_{\alpha}=S_{\alpha} \cap K$. If $\left\{\alpha^{\vee}(\zeta) ; \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}\right\}$is a set of representatives in $A_{\alpha}$ for $A_{\alpha}(O) / A_{\alpha, t}(O)\left(\simeq \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}\right)$, denote by $\left\{\alpha^{\vee}(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi})=\alpha^{\vee}(\zeta) \alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi}) ; \zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}\right\}$ the inverse image of $\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ under $A_{\alpha} / A_{\alpha, t}(O) \rightarrow A_{\alpha} / A_{\alpha}(O)$. This is a subset of $A / A_{t}(O)$ independent of any choice of representatives (that is, of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ).
Theorem 4.5. The tame algebra $H_{t}$ is the tensor product $R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t}$ subject to the relations

$$
T\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \circ a=s_{\alpha}(a) \circ T\left(s_{\alpha}\right)+\left(s_{\alpha}(a)-a\right) \frac{\sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi})}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})}
$$

for all $a \in A / A_{t}(O)$ and all simple roots $\alpha$.
Note that the displayed expression is independent of the choice of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$.
The proof of the relations relies on properties of intertwining operators. We first need an inner product. Thus let $\iota: G \rightarrow G$ be the involution $\iota(g)=g^{-1}$, and $\iota: H_{t} \rightarrow H_{t}$ the involution $(\iota(h))(x)=h\left(x^{-1}\right)$. On $R_{t}=C_{c}\left(A / A_{t}(O)\right)$ one has the involution $\iota_{A}$ defined by $a \mapsto a^{-1}$.

The induced representation $I_{B}^{G}\left(\delta_{B}^{1 / 2}\right)$ consists of the locally constant functions $f$ on $G$ satisfying $f(a n g)=\delta_{B}(a) f(g)$. The space of $G$-invariant linear functionals on $I_{B}^{G}\left(\delta_{B}^{1 / 2}\right)$ is one-dimensional. Denote by $\oint_{B \backslash G}$ the unique such functional which takes the value 1 at the function $f_{0}$ in $I_{B}^{G}\left(\delta_{B}^{1 / 2}\right)$ defined by $f_{0}(a n k)=\delta_{B}(a)$. Recall that $\chi_{\text {univ }}^{-1}: A / A_{t}(O) \rightarrow R_{t}^{\times}$is given by $a \mapsto a$. On the induced representation $I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi_{\text {univ }}^{-1}\right)$ define the $R_{t}$-valued pairing $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\oint_{B \backslash G} \iota_{A}\left(\phi_{1}(g)\right) \cdot \phi_{2}(g)$. The product $\iota_{A}\left(\phi_{1}(g)\right) \cdot \phi_{2}(g)$ lies in $I_{B}^{G}\left(\delta_{B}^{1 / 2}\right)$. This pairing is $G$-invariant and Hermitian:

$$
\left(r_{1} \phi_{1}, r_{2} \phi_{2}\right)=\iota_{A}\left(r_{1}\right) r_{2} \cdot\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right), \quad\left(\phi_{2}, \phi_{1}\right)=\iota_{A}\left(\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Using the $\iota_{A}$-linear isomorphism $\phi \mapsto \iota_{A} \circ \phi, I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi_{\text {univ }}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi_{\text {univ }}\right)$, the Hermitian form can be viewed as an $R_{t}$-bilinear pairing

$$
I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi_{\text {univ }}\right) \otimes_{R_{t}} I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi_{\text {univ }}^{-1}\right) \rightarrow R_{t}
$$

Extending scalars $R_{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ using a character $\chi: A / A_{t}(O) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$the pairing becomes $I_{B}^{G}(\chi) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi^{-1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since $M_{t}=I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi_{\text {univ }}^{-1}\right)^{I_{t}}$, by restricting to the subspace of $I_{t}$-invariant vectors we get a perfect Hermitian form on $M_{t}$, denoted ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$ ), satisfying the Hecke algebra analogue of $G$-invariance, thus

$$
\left(m_{1} h, m_{2}\right)=\left(m_{1}, m_{2} \iota(h)\right), \quad \forall h \in H_{t} .
$$

We next define, for each $w \in W_{t}$, an intertwining operator $I_{w}$ from one completion of $M_{t}$ to another. For this we fix the maximal torus $A$, the tame Iwahori subgroup $I_{t}$, and the maximal compact subgroup $K$, and let the Borel subgroup $B$ vary over the set $\mathfrak{B}(A)$ of Borel subgroups containing $A$. Then $I_{w}$ will be recovered by conjugating the second Borel subgroup to the first using an element of the Weyl group. For $B=A U \in \mathfrak{B}(A)$ put $M_{B, t}=C_{c}\left(A_{t}(O) U \backslash G / I_{t}\right)$.

Let $J$ be a set of coroots in a system of positive coroots. Recall that $R_{t}=C_{c}\left(A / A_{t}(O)\right)$. It is an extension of $R=\mathbb{C}\left[X_{*}(A)\right]=C_{c}(A / A(O))$ by $R_{f, t}=\mathbb{C}\left[A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right]$. Denote by $\mathbb{C}[J]_{t}$ the $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of $R_{t}$ generated by $J$ over $\mathbb{C}\left[A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right]=R_{f, t}$, and by $\mathbb{C}[J]_{t}^{\vee}$ the completion of $\mathbb{C}[J]_{t}$ with respect to the maximal ideal generated by $J$. Denote by $R_{J, t}$ the $R_{t}$-algebra $\mathbb{C}[J]_{t}^{\vee} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[J]_{t}} R_{t}$. It is a completion of $R_{t}$ which can be viewed as a convolution algebra of complex valued functions on $A / A_{t}(O)$ supported on a finite union of sets $x \cdot C_{J, t}$ where $x \in A / A_{t}(O)$ and $C_{J, t}$ is the submonoid of $A / A_{t}(O)$ consisting of all products of nonnegative integral powers of elements in $J$ and the elements of $A(O) / A_{t}(O)$.

Given $B=A U \in \mathfrak{B}(A)$ and $J$ as above, put $M_{B, J, t}=R_{J, t} \otimes_{R_{t}} M_{B, t}$. This left $R_{J, t}$-module and right $H_{t}$-module can be regarded as consisting of the functions $f$ on $A_{t}(O) U \backslash G / I_{t}$ whose support lies in a finite union of sets $A_{t}(O) U a K$ where $a$ lies in a finite union of sets $x \cdot C_{J, t}$.

Let $B=A U, B^{\prime}=A U^{\prime}$ be Borel subgroups in $\mathfrak{B}(A)$, write $B_{-}=A U_{-}$ for the Borel subgroup in $\mathfrak{B}(A)$ opposite to $B$. Let $J$ be the set of coroots which are positive for $B^{\prime}$ and negative for $B$. We shall now define an intertwining operator $I_{B^{\prime}, B, t}: M_{B, J, t} \rightarrow M_{B^{\prime}, J, t}$. It will be an $R_{J, t} \times H_{t}$-module map. Given $\varphi \in M_{B, J, t}$, regarded as a function with support as above, on $A_{t}(O) U \backslash G / I_{t}$, then $I_{B^{\prime}, B, t}$ takes $\varphi$ to the function $\varphi^{\prime}$ on $A_{t}(O) U^{\prime} \backslash G / I_{t}$ whose value at $g \in G$ is $\varphi^{\prime}(g)=\int_{U^{\prime} \cap U_{-}} \varphi\left(u^{\prime} g\right) d u^{\prime}$. The Haar measure $d u^{\prime}$ is normalized to assign $U^{\prime} \cap U_{-} \cap K$ the volume 1. Note that the integral is not changed if $J$ is increased within some positive system, for example that defined by $B^{\prime}$.

Given $B_{1}=A U_{1}, B_{2}=A U_{2}, B_{3}=A U_{3} \in \mathfrak{B}(A)$, let $J_{i j}$ be the set of coroots which are positive for $B_{i}$ and negative for $B_{j}$. Assume $J_{31}$ is the disjoint union of $J_{21}$ and $J_{32}$. Abbreviate $I_{i j}$ for $I_{B_{i}, B_{j}, t}$. Each of the integrals defining $I_{2,1}, I_{3,2}, I_{3,1}$ can be defined using the biggest of the three sets $J_{i j}$, which is $J_{3,1}$. When this is done we have $I_{31}=I_{32} I_{21}$. We could have taken $J$ to be the set of all coroots positive for $B_{3}$.

To check the convergence of the integral which defines $I_{B^{\prime}, B, t}$, we record Lemma 1.10.1 of [HKP]:
Lemma 4.6. For $\nu \in X_{*}(A)$ define a subset $C_{\nu}$ of the group $U^{\prime} \cap U_{-}$by $C_{\nu}=$ $U^{\prime} \cap U_{-} \cap \nu(\boldsymbol{\pi}) U K$. (1) If $C_{\nu} \neq \emptyset$ then $\nu$ is a nonnegative integral linear combination of coroots which are positive for $B$ and negative for $B^{\prime}$.
(2) The subset $C_{\nu}$ is compact.

To understand how the $I_{B^{\prime}, B, t}$ relate to the Hermitian form on $M_{B, t}$, denote by $-J$ the set of negatives of the coroots in $J$. The involution $\iota_{A}$ on $R_{t}$ extends to an isomorphism, still denoted $\iota_{A}$, between $R_{J, t}$ and $R_{-J, t}$. The Hermitian form (.,.) on $M_{B, t}$ extends to $M_{B,-J, t} \times M_{B, J, t}$ : given $m_{1} \in M_{B,-J, t}, m_{2} \in M_{B, J, t}$, the definition of $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ still makes sense and defines an element of $R_{J, t}$, and we have $\left(r_{1} m_{1}, r_{2} m_{2}\right)=\iota_{A}\left(r_{1}\right) r_{2} \cdot\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$.

If $J$ is the set of coroots which are positive for $B^{\prime}$ and negative for $B$, we have $I_{B^{\prime}, B, t}: M_{B, J, t} \rightarrow M_{B^{\prime}, J, t}$, as well as $I_{B, B^{\prime}, t}: M_{B^{\prime},-J, t} \rightarrow M_{B,-J, t}$. Given $m \in M_{B, J, t}$ and $m^{\prime} \in M_{B^{\prime},-J, t}$, we have $\left(m^{\prime}, I_{B^{\prime}, B, t} m\right)=\left(I_{B, B^{\prime}, t} m^{\prime}, m\right)$. Indeed, let $\phi, \phi^{\prime}$ be the members of $I_{B}^{G}\left(\chi_{\text {univ }}^{-1}\right) \otimes_{R_{t}} R_{J, t}$ and $I_{B^{\prime}}^{G}\left(\chi_{\text {univ }}\right) \otimes_{R_{t}} R_{J, t}$ corresponding to $m, m^{\prime}$. Put $H=A\left(U \cap U^{\prime}\right)$. Then both sides of the asserted equality are equal to $\oint_{H \backslash G} \phi^{\prime}(g) \phi(g)$. Here $\oint_{H \backslash G}$ is the unique $G$-invariant linear functional on the space
$\left\{f \in C^{\infty}(G) ; f(h g)=\delta_{H}(h) f(g), h \in H\right.$, compactly supported mod $\left.H\right\}$ whose value is 1 at the function $f_{0}$ supported on $H K$ with $f_{0}(h k)=\delta_{H}(h)$.

Let now $w$ be an element in $W_{f, t}$. There is an isomorphism

$$
L(w): M_{B, w^{-1} J, t} \sim M_{w B, J, t} \text { given by }(L(w) \phi)(g)=\phi\left(\tilde{w}^{-1} g\right)
$$

where $\tilde{w}$ is a representative for $w$ in $K$. Define an intertwining operator $I_{w, t}$ : $M_{B, w^{-1} J, t} \rightarrow M_{B, J, t}$ as the composition $I_{B, w B, t} \circ L(w)$. It is defined by the integral $\left(I_{w, t}(\varphi)\right)(g)=\int_{U_{w}} \varphi\left(\tilde{w}^{-1} u g\right) d u, U_{w}=U \cap w U_{-} w^{-1}$. We conclude:
Lemma 4.7. We have
(i) $I_{w, t} \circ a=w(a) \circ I_{w, t}$ for all $a \in A / A_{t}(O)$.
(ii) $I_{w_{1} w_{2}, t}=I_{w_{1}, t} \circ I_{w_{2}, t}$ if $\ell\left(w_{1} w_{2}\right)=\ell\left(w_{1}\right)+\ell\left(w_{2}\right)$.
(iii) $I_{w, t}$ is a homomorphism of right $H_{t}$-modules.

When $G$ has semisimple rank 1 we consider $\varphi=v_{1}=\operatorname{ch}\left(A_{t}(O) U I_{t}\right)$ and compute $I_{s, t}(\varphi)$ where $s$ is a representative in $K$ for the unique nontrivial element in $W_{f}$. We may assume that $G$ is $\mathrm{SL}(2, F)$ and $s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Put $a=a_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}b & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1}\end{array}\right)$.
Lemma 4.8. We have $I_{s, t}\left(v_{1}\right)=q^{-1} v_{s}+\sum_{b \in F^{\times} /(1+\pi O),|b|<1} q^{-1}|b| v_{a}$.
Proof. To express $\varphi^{\prime}=I_{s, t}\left(v_{1}\right)$ as $\sum_{a} c_{a} v_{a}+\sum_{a} c_{a s} v_{a s}\left(a \in A / A_{t}(O)\right)$ we compute the coefficients $c_{a}=\varphi^{\prime}(a)$ and $c_{a s}=\varphi^{\prime}(a s)$. To compute these integrals write $u=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}b & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1}\end{array}\right)$, and let $w$ be 1 or $s$. The integrand $\varphi\left(s^{-1} u a w\right)$ is nonzero iff

$$
s^{-1} u a w=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & 0 \\
0 & b^{-1}
\end{array}\right) w=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -b^{-1} \\
b & x / b
\end{array}\right) w
$$

lies in $A_{t}(O) U I_{t}=U I_{t}$. It then lies in $A(O) U K=U K$, hence $|b| \leq 1,|x / b| \leq 1$, and $|x / b|=1$ if $|b|<1$ (consider the bottom row of $U K$ ).

If $|b|=1$ then $|x| \leq 1$. In this case $s^{-1} u a w \in K$. This $s^{-1} u a w$ lies in $U I_{t}$ only if $w=s$, and $|x|<1$, and $a \in A_{t}(O)$ (thus $b \in 1+\pi O$ ). As we integrate over $x$, we conclude that $v_{s}$ has coefficient $c_{s}=q^{-1}$, while $c_{a}=0$ if $|b|=1$, and $c_{a s}=0$ if $|b|=1, b \notin 1+\pi O$.

If $|b|=q^{-j}, j \geq 1$, then $s^{-1}$ uaw $\in U K$ implies $x=b r, r \in O^{\times}$. Then $s^{-1} u a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -b^{-1} \\ b & r\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & -1 / b r \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 / r & 0 \\ b & r\end{array}\right)$. The last matrix lies in $I_{t}$ iff $r \in 1+\pi O$. The one on its left lies in $U$. Hence the integral over $x$ is equal to $q^{-j}\left(1-q^{-1}\right) /(q-1)=$ $q^{-j-1}$, so $c_{a}=q^{-j-1}$ if $|b|=q^{-j} \quad($ and $w=1)$.

If $w$ is $s$ then $s^{-1}$ uas $=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -1 / b r \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}1 / r \\ b\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r}r\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. The matrix on the left lies in $U$, and the product of the two on the right is $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 / r \\ -r & b\end{array}\right) \notin I$, hence $c_{a s}=0$
if $|b|=q^{-j}, j \geq 1$.
Proof of Theorem 4.5. By Proposition 4.4 we have $H_{t}=R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t}$, so it remains to prove the relation. We use $I_{s, t}\left(v_{1}\right)=q^{-1} v_{s}+\sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} \sum_{j \geq 1} q^{-1-j} v_{\alpha^{\vee}\left(\zeta \pi^{j}\right)}$, from Lemma 4.8. Recall - from Definition $1(3)$ - that $\alpha^{\vee}\left(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi}^{j}\right) v_{1}=q^{-j} v_{\alpha^{\vee}}\left(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi}^{j}\right)$. Hence

$$
I_{s, t}=q^{-1} T(s)+q^{-1} \sum_{\zeta} \sum_{j \geq 1} \alpha^{\vee}\left(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi}^{j}\right)=q^{-1}\left(T(s)+\frac{\sum_{\zeta} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi})}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})}\right) .
$$

Note that both expressions right of $I_{s, t}$ are independent of the choice of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. Note that $R_{t}=R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C_{c}\left(A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$, where $R=C_{c}(A / A(O))$ is an integral domain. Let $R^{\prime}$ denote the fraction field of $R$. Then $I_{s, t}$ is an element of the localization $H_{t}^{\prime}=R^{\prime} \otimes_{R} H_{t}=R^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H_{f, t}$ of $H_{t}$.

The operator $I_{w, t}$ satisfies

$$
I_{w, t} \circ a=w(a) \circ I_{w, t}, \quad \forall a \in A / A_{t}(O) .
$$

Using this relation with $w=s=s_{\alpha}$ we obtain the asserted relation

$$
T\left(s_{\alpha}\right) \circ a=s_{\alpha}(a) \circ T\left(s_{\alpha}\right)+\left(s_{\alpha}(a)-a\right) \frac{\sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi})}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})}
$$

for all $a \in A / A_{t}(O)$ and all simple roots $\alpha$.
Analogously to the last lemma, we show:
Lemma 4.9. We have $I_{s, t}\left(v_{s^{-1}}\right)=v_{1}+v_{1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{\sum_{\zeta} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta)}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})} T\left(s^{-1}\right)$.
Proof. To express $\varphi^{\prime}=I_{s, t}\left(v_{s^{-1}}\right)$ as $\sum_{a} c_{a} v_{a}+\sum_{a} c_{a s^{-1}} v_{a s^{-1}} \quad\left(a \in A / A_{t}(O)\right)$ we compute the coefficients $c_{a}=\varphi^{\prime}(a)$ and $c_{a s^{-1}}=\varphi^{\prime}\left(a s^{-1}\right)$. To compute these integrals write $u=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & x \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}b & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1}\end{array}\right)$, and let $w$ be 1 or $s^{-1}$. The integrand $\varphi\left(s^{-1} u a w\right)$ is nonzero iff

$$
s^{-1} \text { uaws }=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & 0 \\
0 & b^{-1}
\end{array}\right) w s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -b^{-1} \\
b & x / b
\end{array}\right) w
$$

lies in $A_{t}(O) U s^{-1} I_{t} s=U \cdot s^{-1} I_{t} s$. It then lies in $A(O) U K=U K$, hence $|b| \leq 1$, $|x / b| \leq 1$, and $|x / b|=1$ if $|b|<1$ (consider the bottom row of $U K$ ).

If $|b|=1$ then $|x| \leq 1$. In this case $s^{-1}$ uaws $\in K$. Suppose $s^{-1}$ uaws lies in $U \cdot s^{-1} I_{t} s$.

If $w=s^{-1}$, when is $s^{-1} u a=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -b^{-1} \\ b & x / b\end{array}\right) \in U \cdot s^{-1} I_{t} s$ ? From $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & y \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -b^{-1} \\ b & x / b\end{array}\right)=$ $\left(\begin{array}{c}y b \\ b \\ b / x y-1) / b\end{array}\right) \in s^{-1} I_{t} s$ we see that $x \in b+\boldsymbol{\pi} O$, thus $c_{a s^{-1}}=1 / q$ if $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$.

If $w=1, s^{-1} u a s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -b^{-1} \\ b & x / b\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}b^{-1} & 0 \\ -x / b & b\end{array}\right) \in U \cdot s^{-1} I_{t} s$ iff $b \in 1+\pi O$, thus $c_{1}=1$.

Suppose $|b|=q^{-j}, j \geq 1$. Then $x=b r,|r|=1$. We have to find when is

$$
s^{-1} u a w s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -b^{-1} \\
b & x / b
\end{array}\right) w s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 / b r \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
r^{-1} & 0 \\
b & r
\end{array}\right) w s \in U \cdot s^{-1} I_{t} s .
$$

If $w=s^{-1}$, then $r \in 1+\boldsymbol{\pi} O$, thus $c_{a s^{-1}}=q^{-j-1}$.
If $w=1$ then $b \in 1+\pi O$, contradicting $|b|=q^{-j}, j \geq 1$. So $c_{a}=0$.
Hence $I_{s, t}\left(v_{s^{-1}}\right)=v_{1}+\frac{1}{q} \sum_{\zeta} \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{-j} v_{\alpha^{\vee}\left(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi}^{j}\right) s^{-1}}=v_{1}+v_{1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{\sum_{\zeta} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta)}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})} T\left(s^{-1}\right)$, as asserted.

The following is a deduction of Lemma 4.9 from Lemma 4.8.
Proof. By Lemma $4.8 I_{s, t}\left(v_{s^{-1}}\right)$ is

$$
I_{s, t}\left(v_{1} T\left(s^{-1}\right)\right)=I_{s, t}\left(v_{1}\right) T\left(s^{-1}\right)=q^{-1} v_{1}\left[T(s)+\sum_{\zeta} \frac{\alpha^{\vee}(\zeta \boldsymbol{\pi})}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})}\right] T\left(s^{-1}\right)
$$

From $T(s)^{2}=q T\left(s^{2}\right)+\sum_{\zeta} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta) \cdot T(s)$ we obtain $T(s)=q T\left(s^{2}\right) T(s)^{-1}+\sum_{\zeta} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta)$, hence $T(s)^{-1}=q^{-1} T\left(s^{2}\right)^{-1}\left[T(s)-\sum_{\zeta} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta)\right]$; here $s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, so $s^{2}=-I$, and $T\left(s^{2}\right)^{2}=I$. As $T\left(s^{-1}\right)=T(s) T\left(s^{2}\right)^{-1}$,

$$
T(s) T\left(s^{-1}\right)=T\left(s^{2}\right) T(s)^{2}=q+\sum_{\zeta} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta) \cdot T\left(s^{-1}\right),
$$

and we conclude that $I_{s, t}\left(v_{s^{-1}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =q^{-1} v_{1}\left[q+\sum_{\zeta} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta) \cdot T\left(s^{-1}\right)+\sum_{\zeta} \frac{\alpha^{\vee}(\zeta) \alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})} T\left(s^{-1}\right)\right] \\
= & v_{1}+q^{-1} \frac{\alpha^{\vee}(\zeta)}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})} T\left(s^{-1}\right), \quad \text { as } \quad 1+\frac{\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})}=\frac{1}{1-\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix a simple root $\alpha$ and $s_{\alpha}$ and $\alpha^{\vee}$. Put $T$ for $T\left(s_{\alpha}\right)$, write $A$ for $\sum_{\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}} \alpha^{\vee}(\zeta)$, and $\alpha=\alpha^{\vee}(\boldsymbol{\pi})$ and $I=I_{s, t}$. Define $J=J_{s, t}$ to be $(1-\alpha) I=$ $q^{-1}(A \alpha+(1-\alpha) T)$. We have $A^{2}=(q-1) A, T A=A T, T^{2}=q T(-1)+A T$, $T \alpha=\alpha^{-1} T+A(1+\alpha)$. Then we claim
Lemma 4.10. We have $J^{2}=q^{-2}\left[(q-1) A+q\left(2-\alpha-\alpha^{-1}\right) T(-1)\right]$.
Proof. We compute:

$$
\begin{gathered}
J^{2}=q^{-2}(A \alpha+(1-\alpha) T)(A \alpha+(1-\alpha) T) \\
=q^{-2}\left((q-1) A \alpha^{2}+A \alpha(1-\alpha) T+(1-\alpha) A\left(\alpha^{-1} T+A(1+\alpha)\right)+(1-\alpha)\left(T^{2}-T \alpha T\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{gathered}
T^{2}-T \alpha T=\left(1-\alpha^{-1}\right) T^{2}-A(1+\alpha) T=q\left(1-\alpha^{-1}\right) T(-1)+\left(1-\alpha^{-1}\right) A T-A(1+\alpha) T \\
=q\left(1-\alpha^{-1}\right) T(-1)-\left(\alpha^{-1}+\alpha\right) A T
\end{gathered}
$$

The coefficient of $q^{-2} T$ in $J^{2}$ is 0 , thus the lemma follows.
Note that in the Iwahori case $A$ is replaced by $q-1$, and the expression becomes $\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{q}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha q}\right)$.
Proposition 4.11. The center $Z\left(H_{t}\right)$ of $H_{t}$ is $R_{t}^{W_{f, t}}$.

Proof. If $R$ is a commutative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\chi: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a character, and $H$ is an algebra which is a left $R$-module, the induced (from $\chi$ on $R$ ) representation of $H$ is $\pi_{\chi}=\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\chi, R} H$. If $S$ is a variety, a character $\Xi: R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(S)$ ( $=$ ring of global sections) is a family of characters: each $s \in S$ defines $\chi=\chi_{s}$ : $R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

A point in the $\mathcal{O}(S) \times H$-bimodule $\Pi_{\Xi}=\mathcal{O}(S) \otimes_{\Xi, R} H$ is the induced representation $\pi_{\chi}=\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\chi, R} H$. If we take $S=\operatorname{Spec} R$, thus $\mathcal{O}(S)=R$, and $\Xi$ the identity, then the induced representation is just $H$. The right regular representation of $H$ on itself as a right $H$-module is then a family of representations parametrized by $\chi \in S=\operatorname{Spec} R$.

Suppose $W$ is a group acting on the family $\left\{\chi_{s}: R \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ; s \in S\right\}$. Given $w \in W$, suppose $\left\{I_{w, s}: \pi_{\chi_{s}} \rightarrow \pi_{w \chi_{s}}\right\}$ is a family of right $H$-module homomorphisms defined on an open subset of $S$. Suppose there is a non zerodivisor $f \in R$ such that $J_{w, s}=\Xi(f)(s) I_{w, s}$ is defined for all $s \in S$. Thus $J_{w, s}$ defines a right $H$-module endomorphism of $H$.

An endomorphism $e$ of the right $H$-module $H$ is clearly given by left multiplication by an element $g=g(e)$ of $H$. Indeed, if $e: H \rightarrow H, e(h)=e(1) h$, $e(1)=g \in H$. Thus $J_{w, s} \in H$ for all $s \in S$.

Recall that $H_{t}=R_{t} \otimes_{R_{f, t}} H_{f, t}$, where $R_{t}=C_{c}\left(A / A_{t}(O)\right)=R \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C_{c}\left(A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right)$, and $R=C_{c}(A / A(O))$ is an integral domain. Let $R^{\prime}$ be the fraction field of $R$.

Let us total order the $w \in W_{f}$ in some way compatible with the length function $\ell$ on $W_{f}$. Denote this order by $w^{\prime} \leq w$. Consider $H_{t}$ and its filtration $Q_{w}$ generated over $R_{t}$ by $\left\{T\left(w^{\prime}\right) ; w^{\prime} \leq w\right\}$. Thus the filtration starts with $R_{t}$, to which we add copies of $R_{t} s_{i}$, then copies of $R_{t} s_{i} s_{j}$, then copies of $R_{t} w, w$ in $W_{f}$, with nondecreasing length. Note that $Q_{w}$ is a bi- $R_{t}$-module (each filtration step is).

Write $w^{-}$for the largest element with $w^{-}<w$. We have the relation $T(w) a=w(a) T(w)+$ terms in $Q_{w^{-}}$; see the proof of Theorem 4.5. Thus on the filtered quotient $Q_{w} / Q_{w^{-}}=R_{t}$ we have $\bar{T}(w) a=w(a) \bar{T}(w)$. This quotient is a bi- $R_{t}$-module, with left multiplication of $r$ in $R_{t}$ as $r$, and right multiplication by $r$ as $w(r)$.

Suppose we have a filtration of a vector space $H$, and an eigenvector at each filtered quotient such that the eigencharacters are pairwise distinct. Then there exists an eigenvector which induces the given eigenvectors in the filtered subquotients. As the characters $a \mapsto w(a), w: A \rightarrow A$, are all distinct, for $w \in W_{f}$, and the filtered subquotients are all one dimensional, we conclude that there exists $I_{w, t} \neq 0$ in $R^{\prime} \otimes_{R} H_{t}$ with $I_{w, t} a=w(a) I_{w, t}$ for all $a \in A$. From $H_{t}=\oplus_{w \in W_{f, t}} R_{t} \cdot T(w)$ (see Proposition 4.4) we deduce that $R^{\prime} \otimes_{R} H_{t}=$ $\oplus_{w \in W_{f, t}} R^{\prime} \otimes C_{c}\left(A\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)\right) I_{w, t}$, namely some multiple $J_{w, t}$ of $I_{w, t}$ by an element of $R$ is in $H_{t}$.

Now $R_{t}^{W_{f, t}}$ lies in the center of $R^{\prime} \otimes_{R} H_{t}$, as each of its elements commutes with $R_{t}$ and with each of the $J_{w, t}$. Hence $R_{t}^{W_{f, t}}$ lies in the center of $H_{t}$.

On the other hand, no element of $R^{\prime} R_{t} J_{w, t}$ lies in the center when $w \neq \mathrm{id}$. Hence the center $Z\left(H_{t}\right)$ is contained in $R_{t}$, and the relations $J_{w, t} \circ a=w(a) \circ J_{w, t}$ which follow from Lemma 4.7 imply that only the $W_{f, t}$-invariant elements in $R_{t}$ are central.

We conclude that $H_{t}$ is a module of finite rank over $Z\left(H_{t}\right)$.
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