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## 0. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be an algebraic group over a global field $F$ with ring $\mathbb{A}$ of adeles, denote by $\mathbf{Z}$ the center of $\mathbf{G}$ and by $\mathbf{C}$ an algebraic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ over $F$ such that the cycle $\mathbf{C}(F) \backslash \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})$ has finite volume. Fix unitary characters $\omega: \mathbf{Z}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{Z}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}\left(=\right.$ unit circle in $\left.\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)$and $\xi: \mathbf{C}(F) \backslash \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}$, and denote by $\phi: \mathbf{G}(F) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a cusp form in the cuspidal representation $\pi$ of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, whose central character is $\omega_{\pi}=\omega$. By a cuspidal representation we mean an irreducible one. We say that $\pi$ is $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})$-cyclic if it has a nonzero $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})$-period $P_{\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})}(\phi)=\int_{\mathbf{C}(F) \backslash \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})} \phi(c) \bar{\xi}(c) d c$. The overbar indicates complex conjugation. Studies of cyclic automorphic forms have applications to special values of $L$-functions (Waldspurger [W1, W2], Jacquet [J1, J2]), lifting problems [F], and studies of cohomology of symmetric spaces, in particular the Tate conjecture on algebraic cycles on some Shimura surfaces [ FH ]. The purpose of this paper-inspired by the applications to the Tate conjecture of $[\mathrm{FH}]$ - is to compare the notion of cyclicity by $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})$, with cyclicity by an inner form of $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})$. We let $\mathbf{G}$ be the quasi-split unitary group $U(2,1)=U(2,1 ; E / F)$ in three variables defined by means of a quadratic separable extension $E / F$ of global fields. The subgroup $\mathbf{C}$ is taken to be the

[^0]quasi-split unitary group $U(1,1)=U(1,1 ; E / F)$ in two variables defined by $E / F$ (from Section 1 on-where more precise definitions are introduced$\mathbf{C}$ and $\xi$ of this section will be denoted by $\mathbf{C}_{1}^{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ ).

The notation $U(2,1)$ and $U(1,1)$ is borrowed from the theory of real groups, but we do not discuss that theory in this paper, and for us $\mathbf{G}=$ $U(2,1)$ and $\mathbf{C}=U(1,1)$ are the uniquely defined (up to isomorphism) quasi-split $E / F$-unitary groups in three and two variables. The anisotropic inner form of $\mathbf{C}$ over $F$ will be denoted by $U(2)=U(2 ; E / F)$. To be able to concentrate on the aspects of the $p$-adic theory, we assume either that $F$ is a function field (of odd characteristic), or that at each place $v$ of $F$ where $E_{v} / F_{v}=\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}$ the group $U(2)(\mathbb{R})$ ( $=$ the group of real points of $U(2, E / F)$ ) is quasi-split (hence isomorphic to $U(1,1)(\mathbb{R})$ ). Then $U(2)$ is not isomorphic to $U(1,1)$ only at a finite set $\nabla$ of finite places of $F$, which stay prime in $E$. Indeed, at a place $v$ which splits in $E$ the anisotropic inner form $D\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ of $U\left(1,1 ; F_{v}\right)=G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ is not a subgroup of $U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)=$ $G L\left(3, F_{v}\right)$. Moreover, neither $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ nor $D\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ is a subgroup of the anisotropic inner form $D\left(3, F_{v}\right)$ of $U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$. Hence our question cannot be asked with any inner form of $U(2,1)$ other than that which is split at each place $v$ of $F$ which splits in $E$. So we stick to our group G. It is well known that the cardinality $|\nabla|$ of $\nabla$ is even.

We shall also consider the local analogous question of cyclicity. At a place $v$ of $F$ which splits in $E$ we fix characters $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{v}, \omega_{v}$ of $F_{v}^{\times}$, and say that an admissible irreducible $G L\left(3, F_{v}\right)$-module $\pi_{v}$ with central character $\omega_{v}$ is $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$-cyclic if there is a non-zero linear form $l: \pi_{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $l\left(\pi_{v}(h) w\right)=\xi_{v}(h) l(w)\left(h \in G L\left(2, F_{v}\right), w \in \pi_{v}\right)$, where $\xi_{v}=\boldsymbol{\xi}_{v} \circ \operatorname{det}$, namely $\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}, \xi_{v}\right) \neq\{0\}$ (we put $C_{v}$ for $\mathbf{C}\left(F_{v}\right)=U\left(1,1 ; F_{v}\right)$, which is $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ in our case). If $v$ is a place of $F$ which stays prime in $E$ then we similarly say that the irreducible admissible representation $\pi_{v}$ of $G_{v}=$ $\mathbf{G}\left(F_{v}\right)=U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$ is $C_{v}$-cyclic if $\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}, \xi_{v}\right) \neq\{0\}$. Here $C_{v}$ can be the quasi-split unitary group $U\left(1,1 ; F_{v}\right)$, or the anisotropic form $U\left(2 ; F_{v}\right)$. In all cases a result of the Appendix to [F6] asserts that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\pi_{v}, \xi_{v}\right) \leq 1$. Moreover it is easy to see that all local components $\pi_{v}$ of a $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})$-cyclic cuspidal representation $\pi$ are $C_{v}$-cyclic (with $\mathbf{C}=U(1,1)$ or $U(2)$ ). However, a cuspidal $\pi$ all of whose components are $C_{v}$-cyclic, need not be $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{A})$-cyclic. It is the global obstruction to the global cyclicity of an everywhere locally cyclic $\pi$, which is of interest. Thus our question is: When $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{A})}(\pi, \xi)$ is non-zero, is it generated by $P_{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{A})}$ ?

### 0.1. Theorem. (1) Every $U(2 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic cuspidal generic representation

 of $U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ is $U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic.(2) If $E_{v} / F_{v}$ is a local field extension, every $U\left(2 ; F_{v}\right)$-cyclic (irreducible admissible) generic representation of $U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$ is $U\left(1,1 ; F_{v}\right)$-cyclic.

The identification of those $U(1,1)$-cyclic generic $U(2,1)$-modules which are also $U(2)$-cyclic cannot be stated simply in terms of the representation theory of the group $U(2,1)$, since this last theory is described by means of liftings. Two important such liftings are introduced in [F1] and studied in [F2, F3], namely the $\kappa$-endoscopic lifting from $U(1,1)$ to $U(2,1)$, which depends on a choice of a character $\kappa: \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times} N_{E / F} \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{A}^{\times} / F^{\times} N \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}$is non-trivial, and the base-change lifting from $U(2,1)$ to $G L(3, E)$.

In [F6] the $U(1,1)$-cyclic generic $U(2,1)$-modules are identified as the image of the $\kappa$-endoscopic lifting from $U(1,1)$. In fact [F4] establishes a correspondence between the set of packets of $U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic generic cuspidal $U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$-modules, and the set of generic $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$-modules which are cuspidal and $G L(2, \mathbb{A})$-cyclic (the adjective "distinguished"-instead of "cyclic"-is used in [F4] and [F6] in this context, and with $\xi=1$, and so will it be here), or are normalizedly induced $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ from the character $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & * \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right) \mapsto \mu_{2}^{\prime}(a) \mu_{2}^{\prime}(b)$ of the upper triangular subgroup $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$, where $\mu_{i}^{\prime}: \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / \mathbb{A}^{\times} E^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}$ and $\mu_{1}^{\prime} \neq \mu_{2}^{\prime}$. In [F4] it is shown that this last set of $G L(2, \mathbb{A})$-distinguished cuspidal $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$-modules, and the $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{i}^{\prime}: \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / \mathbb{A}^{\times} E^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}, \mu_{1}^{\prime} \neq \mu_{2}^{\prime}$, is the image of the unstable base-change lifting (this lifting also depends on a choice of $\kappa$ ) from $U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ to $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$. The composition of the $\kappa$-base-change lifting from $U(1,1)$ to $G L(2, E)$, and the correspondence from (distinguished generic representations on) $G L(2, E)$ to (cyclic generic representations on) $U(2,1)$, is the $\kappa$-endoscopic lifting. The analogous local results are also established in [F6] (and [F4]).

We repeat that by a $G L(2, \mathbb{A})$-distinguished cuspidal representation of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ we mean one which is cyclic, with $\xi=1$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{G L(2, \mathrm{~A})}(\pi, \xi)=\operatorname{Hom}_{G L(2, \mathrm{~A})}\left(\pi \otimes \xi^{-1}, 1\right)$, where $\xi$ denotes also an extension of $\xi$ from $\mathbb{A}^{\times} / F^{\times}$to $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times}$, there is no loss of generality in taking $\xi=1$. We denote $\left\{z \in E_{v}^{\times} ; z \bar{z}=1\right\}$ by $E_{v}^{1}$.
0.2. Theorem. (1) $A U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic generic cuspidal representation $\pi$ of $U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ is $U(2 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic precisely when for each $v \in \nabla$ ( $=$ the set of finite $F$-places where $U\left(2 ; F_{v}\right)$ is anisotropic) the component $\pi_{v}$ of $\pi$ does not correspond (in the sense of [F6]) to the $G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$ module $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{i}^{\prime}: E_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}$, namely $\pi_{v}$ is not the $\kappa_{v}$-endoscopic lift of the $U\left(1,1 ; F_{v}\right)$-packet $\pi_{0}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ of [F2, Sections 3.7/8, page 49], $\mu_{i}: E_{v}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}, \mu_{i}^{\prime}(z)=\mu_{i}(z / \bar{z})\left(z \in E_{v}^{\times}\right)\left(\right.$where $\pi_{0}$ is denoted by $\left.\rho\right)$.
(2) A U(1, 1; F $F_{v}$-cyclic generic admissible irreducible $U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$ module is $U\left(2 ; F_{v}\right)$-cyclic precisely when it does not correspond (à la [F6]) to $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{i}^{\prime}: E_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}, \mu_{1}^{\prime} \neq \mu_{2}^{\prime}$, namely it is not the $\kappa_{v}$-endoscopic lift of $\pi_{0}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), \mu_{i}: E_{v}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}, \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$.

Another way of stating these results is by means of the base change theory of [F2], from $U(2,1)$ to $G L(3, E)$. Thus [F6] asserts that a generic admissible irreducible $U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$-module is $U\left(1,1 ; F_{v}\right)$-cyclic when its base change is generic but not discrete series (= square-integrable), and Theorem 0.2(2) asserts that it is also $U\left(2 ; F_{v}\right)$-cyclic when its base-change is also not induced of the form $I\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}, \mu_{3}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{i}^{\prime}: E_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}$, with distinct $\mu_{i}^{\prime}$. Theorem $0.2(1)$ asserts that the $U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic $\pi$ is also $U(2 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic if each $\pi_{v}(v \in \nabla)$ is $U\left(2 ; F_{v}\right)$-cyclic and not of the form $I\left(\mu_{v}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{v}^{\prime}: E_{v}^{\times} / F_{v}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}$. We denote by $I\left(\mu_{v}\right)$ the $U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$-module with central character $\omega_{v}$ normalizedly induced from the character $\operatorname{diag}(a, b, 1 / \bar{a}) \mapsto \mu_{v}(a)\left(\omega_{v} / \mu_{v}\right)(b)$ of the upper triangular subgroup $\mathrm{B}\left(F_{v}\right)$ of $G_{v}=U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$.

As a notational convention, representations of $U(1,1)$ will have an index zero (e.g., $\pi_{0}, I_{0}(\mu)$ ), those of $G L(2)$ will carry a prime (e.g., $\left.\pi^{\prime}, I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)\right)$, while those of $U(2,1)$ are denoted simply by $\pi$ or $I(\mu)$. Also by a $U(1,1)$-cyclic generic $U(2,1)$-module we mean the set of $U(1,1)$-cyclic generic elements in its packet (as defined in [F2]). It is expected that this set consists of a single element, but this has not been shown as yet.

For an archimedean analogue of our local results the reader may like to consult Oshima and Matsuki [OM] and Kobayashi [Ko].

The statement of Theorem 0.2 suggests that its proof would be related to the variation of the notion of distinguishability ( $=$ cyclicity) of a generic cuspidal $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$-module, with respect to inner forms of $G L(2, \mathbb{A})$. This question is studied in $[\mathrm{FH}]$, whose result which is needed for the proof of Theorem 0.2 (and Theorem 0.1) is reviewed next.

Denote by $\mathbf{D}$ the inner form of $G L(2)$ over $F$ which is ramified precisely at the places of $\nabla$. Then $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right)=G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$.
0.3. THEOREM ( 0.2 of $[\mathrm{FH}]$ ). A cuspidal (irreducible) representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ is $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})$-distinguished precisely when it is $G L(2, \mathbb{A})$-distinguished and its components $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ at $v \in \nabla$ are not of the form $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mu_{i}^{\prime}$ trivial on $F_{v}^{\times}$.

Our proof of Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 consists of a comparison of $U(2)$ cyclic $U(2,1)$-modules with $D$-distinguished $G L(2)$-modules (same $\nabla$ for $U(2)$ and $D)$, and an application of Theorem 0.3. We then state this comparison next. For this purpose we recall that the correspondence of [F6] relates almost everywhere locally distinguished automorphic representations $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with almost everywhere locally cyclic automorphic representations $\pi$ of $U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$, and the relation is that $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi$ correspond if
(1) at almost all places $v$ of $F$ which split, the $G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)=$ $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right) \times G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$-module $\pi_{v}^{\prime}=\pi_{1 v}^{\prime} \times \pi_{2 v}^{\prime}$ is distinguished (has a nonzero $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$-invariant form; then $\pi_{2 v}^{\prime} \simeq \check{\pi}_{1 v}^{\prime}\left(=\right.$ contragredient of $\left.\pi_{1 v}^{\prime}\right)$ ),
and then $\pi_{v}$ is the $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$-cyclic $G L\left(3, F_{v}\right)$-module $I\left(\pi_{1 v}^{\prime} \times \omega_{v} / \omega_{\pi_{1 v}^{\prime}}\right)$ normalizedly induced from the maximal parabolic of type $(2,1)$ as indicated, where $\omega_{v}=\omega_{\pi_{v}}$ is the central character of $\pi_{v}$ and $\omega_{\pi_{1 v}^{\prime}}$ is that of $\pi_{1 v}^{\prime}$, and
(2) at almost all places $v$ of $F$ which stay prime in $E$, the $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ distinguished component $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ is of the form $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{v}\right)=I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{v}, \bar{\mu}_{v}^{-1}\right)$, and the corresponding $U\left(1,1 ; F_{v}\right)$-cyclic component $\pi_{v}$ is $I\left(\mu_{v}\right)$.

Note that the central character of $\pi_{v}$ is $\omega_{v}$, and that of $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ is $\omega_{v}^{\prime} / \xi_{v}^{\prime}$, where $\xi_{v}^{\prime}(z)=\xi_{v}(z / \bar{z})\left(z \in E_{v}^{\times}\right)$is well defined since $\xi_{v}$ is a character of $E_{v}^{1}=\left\{z \in E_{v}^{\times} ; z \bar{z}=1\right\}$.

The theorems of [F6] establish that the correspondence relates cuspidal distinguished $\pi^{\prime}$, or $\pi^{\prime}$ of the form $I\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{1}^{\prime} \neq \mu_{2}^{\prime}$, with cuspidal cyclic $\pi$. Since the groups $U(2 ; E / F)$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are not isomorphic over $F_{v}$ to $U(1,1 ; E / F)$ and $G L(2)$ only for $v$ in the finite set $\nabla$, and the definition of correspondence depends only on almost all places, the definition applies with these anisotropic groups, and we can state the following.
0.4. Theorem. The correspondence is a bijection from the set of $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})$ distinguished cuspidal $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$-modules with central character $\omega^{\prime} / \xi^{\prime}$, to the set of packets of $U(2 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic generic cuspidal $U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$-modules with central character $\omega$.

This global result permits extending the definition of the local correspondence (the definition depends on a certain relation of Whittaker-Period distributions) in the case that $E_{v} / F_{v}$ is a field to show that the correspondence is a bijection from the set of $D_{v}$-distinguished generic $G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$-modules $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ to the set of packets of $U\left(2 ; F_{v}\right)$-cyclic generic $U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$-modules $\pi_{v}$ (with $\omega_{\pi_{v}^{\prime}}=\omega_{v}^{\prime} / \xi_{v}^{\prime}$ if $\omega_{\pi_{v}}=\omega_{v}$ ), such that $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ is square-integrable precisely when $\pi_{v}$ is, and $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ is supercuspidal precisely when $\pi_{v}$ is, and $\pi_{v}=I\left(\mu_{v}\right)$ when $\pi_{v}^{\prime}=I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{v}\right)$, as defined above.

Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 follow on combining the correspondence of Theorem 0.4 with that of Theorem 0.3 ([FH]) and that of [F6]. This paper will then be concerned with the proof of Theorem 0.4 , which is an anisotropic analogue of the work of [F6]. Most of the technical difficulties in our present project have already been overcome in [F6], and those of the passage from an inner form to the quasi-split form, in [FH]. Theorem 0.1 is easy to prove by a direct comparison of $U(1,1)$-cyclic and $U(2)$-cyclic forms, but to prove Theorem 0.2 by such a direct comparison we would need to compute directly the Whittaker-Period distributions of the local representations mentioned in Theorem 0.2. We prefer to deduce these local computations from the global comparisons; see Proposition 11 below. Analogous local computations have been carried out for the comparison
of $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ - and $D_{v}$-distinguished representations of $G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$, in $[\mathrm{FH}]$, except that $[\mathrm{FH}]$ considers the bi-period distribution attached to a distinguished $\pi^{\prime}$ (and names it "relative"), and not our Whittaker-Period one, which is attached to a generic distinguished $\pi^{\prime}$.

As in [F6] our work depends on a comparison of Fourier summation formulae on $U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ and $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$. However these formulae simplify in our case as we take periods with respect to the anisotropic groups $U(2 ; \mathbb{A})$ and $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{A})$, instead of $U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ and $G L(2, \mathbb{A})$ as in [F6]. The comparison is based on a transfer of Fourier orbital integrals of general (and spherical) functions between $U(2,1)$ and $G L(2, E)$, and this was carried out in both the split and non-split cases in [F6] (and [F7]). The required analysis in the remaining finite number of places $v$ in $\nabla$ where $U(2)$ and $\mathbf{D}$ ramify is carried out here. It is easier than the analysis of [F6], since we deal with anisotropic groups. We also explain the transfer from $U\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ to $U\left(1,1 ; F_{v}\right)$, and from $D_{v}$ to $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$; these local transfers can be used in the corresponding global comparisons (the one with $D_{v}$ and $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ can replace $C 1$ of [ FH ], but only when $V^{\prime \prime}$ of $[\mathrm{FH}]$ is empty, that with $U(2)$ and $U(1,1)$ can be used to give an alternative proof of our Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 , as noted above).

Our usage of a "Fourier" summation formula, which involves Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, limits our discussion to the case of the generic representations, those with a Whittaker model. It would be interesting to study the notion of cyclicity for degenerate $U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$ and $U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ modules (where a packet of representations is expected to contain only one generic element), and perhaps a bi-period summation formula for $U(2,1)$ analogous to that of $[\mathrm{FH}]$ in the case of $G L(2, E)$ and period $G L(2, F)-$ would be of use. But we have not done that.

As is well known, some of our results can be obtained by the theta correspondence, but our interest is in the intrinsic approach of the summation formula.

## 1. THE GROUPS

We shall now define the groups which are studied in this paper. Let $E / F$ be a quadratic extension of local or global fields of characteristic other than 2. Denote by an overbar the action of the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}(E / F)$, and write $\bar{g}=\left(\bar{g}_{i j}\right)$ for $g=\left(g_{i j}\right)$ in $G L(n, E)$. The unitary group in three variables of interest to us here is

$$
G=U(2,1 ; E / F)=\left\{g \in G L(3, E) ; g J^{t} \bar{g}=J=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 1 \\
& -1 & \\
1 & & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

The quasi-split unitary group $U(1,1 ; E / F)$ in two variables which is considered in [F6] is related to the centralizer

$$
C=U(1) \times U(1,1)=Z_{G}\left(J_{0}\right)=\left\{g \in G ; g J_{0}=J_{0} g\right\}
$$

of

$$
J_{0}=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1,1)
$$

The anisotropic unitary group $U(2, E / F)$ considered in this paper is related to

$$
C_{\theta}=U(1) \times U(2)=Z_{G}\left(J_{\theta}\right), \quad J_{\theta}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 1 / 2 \theta \\
& 1 & \\
2 \theta & & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the local case we take $\theta \in F-N_{E / F} E$; here $N=N_{E / F}$ denotes the norm map from $E$ to $F$. In the global case we take $\theta \in F$ such that $\theta \notin N_{E_{v} / F_{v}} E_{v}$ for all $v \in \nabla$, and $\theta \in N_{E_{v} / F_{v}} E_{v}$ for all $v \notin \nabla$. Then $C_{\theta}$ is anisotropic precisely at the places in $\nabla$, a finite set of finite places with even cardinality. Up to isomorphism over $F$, the group $C_{\theta}$ depends only on $\theta N E^{\times}$; indeed $J_{\theta \lambda \bar{\lambda}}$ is conjugate to $J_{\theta}$ by diag $(\lambda, 1,1 / \bar{\lambda}) \in G\left(\lambda \in E^{\times}\right)$.

In [F6, Proposition 2], it was noted that $J_{1}=g_{0}^{-1} J_{0} g_{0}$, and

$$
G=B C \cup B g_{0} C=B C_{1} \cup B g_{0}^{-1} C_{1}, \quad g_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
1 & -1 & \frac{1}{2} \\
1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $B$ denotes the upper triangular subgroup of $G$, and the index 1 in $J_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ indicates $\theta=1$. When $\theta \notin N E^{\times}$the group $C_{\theta}$ is anisotropic, and we have a simpler decomposition.

1. Proposition. If $\theta \in F-N E$, then $G=B C_{\theta}$.

Proof. The $3 \times 3$ matrices which commute with $J_{\theta}$ have the form

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & d & c / 4 \theta^{2} \\
b & e & b / 2 \theta \\
c & 2 \theta d & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
J^{t} \bar{g} J=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{a} & -\bar{b} / 2 \theta & \bar{c} / 4 \theta^{2} \\
-2 \theta \bar{d} & \bar{e} & -\bar{d} \\
\bar{c} & -\bar{b} & \bar{a}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $g \in C_{\theta}=Z_{G}\left(J_{\theta}\right)$ if $g J^{t} \bar{g} J=I$. Hence $g \in Z_{G L(3, E)}\left(J_{\theta}\right)$ lies in $C_{\theta}$ when there is $\eta \in E^{1}$ (thus $\eta \bar{\eta}=1$ ) with $d=\eta \bar{b} / 2 \theta, e=\eta(\bar{a}+\bar{c} / 2 \theta)$,
with (1) $(a-c / 2 \theta)(\bar{a}-\bar{c} / 2 \theta)=1$ and with (2) $\quad(a+c / 2 \theta)(\bar{a}+\bar{c} / 2 \theta)=$ $b \bar{b} / \theta+1$ (note that any two of (1), (2), and (3) $a \bar{c}+\bar{a} c=b \bar{b}$, imply the third).

Let $Y$ be the subvariety of $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ in the projective 2 -space over $E$ with $x J^{t} \bar{x}=0$. Then $G$ acts transitively on $Y$ by $g: x \mapsto x g^{-1}$. The stabilizer of $x_{0}=(0,0,1)$ is $B=\operatorname{stab}_{G} x_{0}$. Given $x=(\bar{c},-\bar{b}, \bar{a})$ in $Y$ we have (3), hence $a \neq c / 2 \theta$ (since $\theta \in F-N E$ ), and dividing its components by $a-c / 2 \theta$ we may assume that $x$ satisfies (1), whence (2). For any choice of $\eta \in E^{\times}, \eta \bar{\eta}=1$, define $d=\eta \bar{b} / 2 \theta, e=\eta(\bar{a}+\bar{c} / 2 \theta)$. We then define $g \in C_{\theta}$ with $x=x_{0} g^{-1}$, and the proposition follows.

Corollary. When $\theta \in F-N E$, the group $C_{\theta}$ consists of $h \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\eta}=$ $\operatorname{diag}(1, \eta, 1), \eta \in E^{1}$, and

$$
h=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & \bar{b} / 2 \theta & c / 4 \theta^{2} \\
b & \bar{a}+\bar{c} / 2 \theta & b / 2 \theta \\
c & \bar{b} & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $a, b, c \in E$ satisfying (1) $(a-c / 2 \theta)(\bar{a}-\bar{c} / 2 \theta)=1$, and (2) $(a+$ $c / 2 \theta)(\bar{a}+\bar{c} / 2 \theta)=b \bar{b} / \theta+1$.

Remark. (1) Note that $g_{0}$ satisfies $a=c / 2 \theta$ with $\theta=1$, where $(\bar{c},-\bar{b}, \bar{a})=x=x_{0} g_{0}=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$, hence $g_{0}^{-1} \notin B C_{1}$.
(2) We have det $h=a-c / 2 \theta \in E^{1}$.

Any character $\xi: C_{\theta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$of the group $C_{\theta}$ is of the form $\xi(h \boldsymbol{\eta})=$ $\xi_{1}(\operatorname{det} h) \xi_{2}(\eta)$, where $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ are characters of $E^{1}$. Recall that the principal series representation $I\left(\mu \nu^{s}\right)$ of $G$ with central character $\omega: E^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}$, where $\mu: E^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $\nu(x)=|x|_{E}\left(x \in E^{\times}\right)$, is defined on the space of smooth functions $\varphi$ on $G$ which satisfy (for any upper triangular unipotent $u$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha, \beta, \bar{\alpha}^{-1}\right) u g\right)=\mu(\alpha)\left(\frac{\omega}{\mu}\right)(\beta)|\alpha|_{E}^{s+1} \varphi(g) \\
&\left(g \in G, \alpha \in E^{\times}, \beta \in E^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Proposition. We have $\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{\theta}}\left(I\left(\mu \nu^{s}\right), \xi\right) \neq 0$ precisely when $\mu=$ $\xi_{1} \xi_{2}$ and $\omega=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}^{2}$ on $E^{1}$.

Proof. (a) When $\theta \in F-N E$ the group $C_{\theta}$ is compact, and we claim that there is a non-zero linear form $L$ on $\pi=I\left(\mu \nu^{s}\right)$ which transforms under $C_{\theta}$ by $\xi$, precisely when there is a non-zero vector $u$ in $\pi$ which transforms under $C_{\theta}$ by $\xi$. Indeed, given $L$ there is $w$ in $\pi$ with $L(w) \neq 0$, and $u=\int_{C_{\theta}} \pi(h) w \cdot \xi(h)^{-1} d h$ satisfies $\pi(t) u=\xi(t) u\left(t \in C_{\theta}\right)$ and $L(u) \neq$ 0 , hence $u \neq 0$. In the opposite direction, let $l$ be a linear form on $\pi$ with
$l(u) \neq 0$, and define $L$ by $L(w)=\int_{C_{\theta}} l(\pi(h) w) \xi(h)^{-1} d h$. Then $L(u) \neq 0$, hence $L$ has the required properties.
(b) If $\varphi \in \pi=I\left(\mu \nu^{s}\right)$ satisfies $\pi(h) \varphi=\xi(h) \varphi\left(h \in C_{\theta}\right)$, since $G=$ $B C_{\theta}, \varphi$ is determined by its values on $B \cap C_{\theta}=\{\operatorname{diag}(a, \eta \bar{a}, a) ; \eta \bar{\eta}=1$, $a \bar{a}=1\}$. There

$$
\mu(a)(\omega / \mu)(\bar{a} \eta) \varphi(e)=\varphi(\operatorname{diag}(a, \eta \bar{a}, a))=\xi_{1}(a) \xi_{2}(\eta) \varphi(e)
$$

If $\varphi \neq 0$, then $\xi_{2}=\omega / \mu$ and $\xi_{1}=\mu^{2} / \omega=\mu / \xi_{2}$, so $\mu=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}$ and $\omega=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}^{2}$ on $E^{1}$. Conversely, if $\mu=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}$ and $\omega=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}^{2}$ on $E^{1}$, then $I\left(\mu \nu^{s}\right)$ contains a one-dimensional space of $\varphi_{0}$ with $\pi(h) \varphi_{0}=\xi(h) \varphi_{0}\left(h \in C_{\theta}\right)$, and the linear form $L(\varphi)=\int_{C_{\theta}} \varphi(h) \xi(h)^{-1} d h$ is non-zero on $\varphi_{0}$ and transforms under $C_{\theta}$ via $\xi$.
(c) When $\theta=1$, the proposition is proven [F6, Proposition 29(a)] for $\xi_{1}=1=\xi_{2}$. The extension to arbitrary $\xi_{i}$ is immediate.

Notations. Denote by $C_{\theta}^{1}$ the subgroup of $h \boldsymbol{\eta}$ in $C_{\theta}$ with $\operatorname{det} h=a-$ $c / 2 \theta$ equals 1 . Any $h \boldsymbol{\eta} \in C_{\theta}$ can be written in the form $z h^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta} \overline{\mathbf{z}} / \mathrm{z}$ with $z=a-c / 2 \theta, \mathrm{z}=\operatorname{diag}(1, z, 1)$, $\operatorname{det} h^{\prime}=1$. Hence for $\pi$ with central character $\omega=\xi_{1} \xi_{2}^{2}$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{\theta}}(\pi, \xi)=\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{\theta}^{1}}(\pi, \xi)$. On $C_{\theta}^{1}$ we have $\xi(h \boldsymbol{\eta})=\xi_{2}(\eta)$. Now $J_{1}=g_{0}^{-1} J_{0} g_{0}$, and $g_{0} C_{1} g_{0}^{-1}=C$. Matrix multiplication shows that the $(2,2)$ entry of $g_{0} \eta g_{0}^{-1}$ is 1 , while that of $g_{0} h g_{0}^{-1}$ is $\operatorname{det} h$. The character $\xi$ on $C=\left\{g=\left(g_{i j}\right) \in G ; g_{i j}=0\right.$ if $i+j$ is odd $\}$ takes then the value $\xi_{2}\left(g_{11} g_{33}-g_{13} g_{31}\right) \xi_{1}\left(g_{22}\right)$ at $g$. Note that $g_{0} C_{1}^{1} g_{0}^{-1}=C^{1}$ is $\{g \in$ $\left.G ; g_{22}=1\right\}$, and the restriction of $\xi$ to $C^{1}$ is $\xi(g)=\xi_{2}(\operatorname{det} g)$. If $\xi_{1}=\omega / \xi_{2}^{2}$, for $\pi$ with central character $\omega$ we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(\pi, \xi)=\operatorname{Hom}_{C^{1}}\left(\pi, \xi_{2}\right)$. As in [F6] to simplify the notations we shall often work with linear forms which transform under the subgroup $C_{\theta}^{1}$ or $C^{1}$ by the character $\xi_{2} \circ$ det. This is what we did in the Introduction (e.g., Theorem 0.4), where the cycle is taken to be $U(2)$ or $U(1,1)$, denoted $C$ (instead of $C_{\theta}^{1}$ as here), and the character is denoted by $\xi$ (instead of by $\xi_{2}$ as here).

In view of these definitions, we restate Proposition 2 as follows.
2'. Proposition. The space $\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{\theta}^{1}}\left(I\left(\mu \nu^{s}\right), \xi_{2}\right)$ is non-zero precisely when $\mu=\omega / \xi_{2}$.

According to Keys [Ke] (as recorded in [F1, (3.1(3)), p. 558]), when $\omega=$ $\beta^{3}$ and $\mu(z)=\beta(z / \bar{z})\left(z \in E^{\times}\right)$for a character $\beta$ of $E^{1}$, the induced $I(\mu \nu)$ has a square-integrable "Steinberg" subrepresentation denoted $\operatorname{St}(\mu \nu)$, and a one-dimensional quotient $\pi(\mu \nu): g \mapsto \beta(\operatorname{det} g)$. The space of $\operatorname{St}(\mu \nu)$ consists of the $\varphi$ in $I(\mu \nu)$ with $\int_{B \backslash G} \varphi(g) \beta(\operatorname{det} g)^{-1} d g=0$, since $I(\mu \nu)$ is of length 2 .

Corollary. We have $\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{\theta}}(\operatorname{St}(\mu \nu), \xi)=\{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\theta \in F-N E$. By (a) above we need to determine the $\varphi$ in $I(\mu \nu)$ with (1) $\varphi(g h)=\xi_{2}(h) \varphi(g)\left(h \in C_{\theta}^{1}\right)$ and (2) $\int_{C_{\theta}^{1}} \varphi(h) \beta(\operatorname{det} h)^{-1} d h=0$. It was shown in (b) that up to a scalar there is a (unique) $\varphi$ satisfying (1), when $\xi_{2}=\omega / \mu$ on $E^{1}$. But $\operatorname{St}(\mu \nu)$ exists only when $\omega=\beta^{3}$ on $E^{1}$ and $\left(\mu=\beta / \bar{\beta}\right.$ on $E^{\times}$hence) $\mu=\beta^{2}$ on $E^{1}$, namely $\xi_{2}=\beta$ on $E^{1}$. Consequently (2) implies that $\varphi=0$, since $\varphi(h)=\xi_{2}(\operatorname{det} h)$ on $C_{\theta}^{1}$.

The case of $\theta=1$ is proven in [F6, Proposition 29(c)].
Given $\theta \in F-N E$, where $E / F$ is a quadratic extension of local fields with char $F \neq 2$, the anisotropic quaternion (division of rank 2) algebra over $F$ can be realized as an algebra of $2 \times 2$ matrices over $E$, with multiplicative group

$$
D_{\theta}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \theta \\
\bar{b} & \bar{a}
\end{array}\right) ; a, b \in E, a \bar{a}-b \bar{b} \theta \neq 0\right\} \subset G L(2, E) .
$$

This $D_{\theta}$ is an inner form of $G L(2, F)$ : these two groups become isomorphic over $E$. Put $B^{\prime}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right) ; a, d \in E^{\times}, b \in E\right\}$. The group $G L(2, E)$ acts transitively by $g: x \mapsto x g^{-1}$ on the projective line over $E$, the stabilizer of $x_{0}=(0,1)$ is $B^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
G L(2, E)=B^{\prime} D_{\theta}
$$

and

$$
G L(2, E)=B^{\prime} G L(2, F) \cup B^{\prime} \eta_{1} G L(2, F)=B^{\prime} D_{1} \cup B^{\prime} \eta D_{1}
$$

Here $\eta_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}-1 & i \\ 1 & i\end{array}\right), \eta=\left(\begin{array}{rr}-i & i \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$, where $E=F(i)$ and $i^{2} \in F$. These decompositions hold also when $E / F$ are global fields. Then $D_{\theta}$ splits precisely at the $v$ where $\theta \in N E_{v}^{\times}$. It is anisotropic at the finite even set $\nabla$ of $F$-places where $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$.

In the local case these decompositions are used in [F4, page 169], and [F6, Proposition 28], to show the following. Put $\bar{\mu}(a)=\mu(\bar{a})\left(a \in D^{\times}\right)$for a character on $E^{\times}$.
3. Proposition. When $\theta \in F-N E$ we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{\theta}}\left(I\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), \mathbb{1}\right) \neq$ $\{0\}$ precisely when $\mu_{2}=\bar{\mu}_{1}^{-1}$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{\theta}}(\operatorname{sp}(\mu), \mathbb{1}) \neq\{0\}$ when the restriction of $\mu$ to $F^{\times}$is non-trivial, but $\mu \mid N E^{\times}=1$. Further, $\operatorname{Hom}_{G L(2, F)}$ $\left(I\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), \mathbb{1}\right) \neq\{0\}$ precisely when $\mu_{2}=\bar{\mu}_{1}^{-1}$ or $\mu_{i} \mid F^{\times}=1(i=1,2)$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{G L(2, F)}(\operatorname{sp}(\mu), \mathbb{1}) \neq\{0\}$ when $\mu\left|F^{\times} \neq 1, \mu\right| N E^{\times}=1$.

Here $\operatorname{sp}(\mu)$ is the unique (square-integrable) subrepresentation of the induced $I\left(\mu \nu^{1 / 2}, \mu \nu^{-1 / 2}\right), \nu(x)=|x| \quad\left(x \in E^{\times}\right)$, and $I\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ is the $G L(2, E)$-module normalizedly induced from the character $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & d\end{array}\right) \mapsto \mu_{1}(a) \mu_{2}(d)$ of $B^{\prime}$.

## 2. FOURIER SUMMATION FORMULAE

The global tool used in our comparisons is a Fourier summation formula. Such formulae were developed and used already in [J1, J2, F4, F6], with respect to the quasi-split cycles $G L(2, F)$ in $G L(2, E)$ and $U(1,1)$ in $U(2,1)$. Here we shall have a simpler variant of these formulae, with respect to the anisotropic cycles $D_{\theta}$ and $C_{\theta}^{1}=U(2)$, where $\theta \in F-N E$. Fix characters $\omega, \xi_{2}$ of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{1} / E^{1}$, and as usual, put $\omega^{\prime}(z)=\omega(z / \bar{z})\left(z \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)$.

We first describe the Fourier summation formula on $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}=\mathbf{D}\left(\mathbb{A}_{E}\right), \mathbf{D}=$ $G L(2)$, for a test function $f^{\prime}=\otimes f_{v}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}$ such that $f_{v}^{\prime}$ is smooth and compactly supported on $D_{v}^{\prime}=\mathbf{D}\left(E_{v}\right)$ modulo the center $Z_{v}^{\prime} \simeq E_{v}^{\times}$, transforming under $Z_{v}^{\prime}$ via $\omega_{v}^{\prime} / \xi_{2 v}^{\prime}$, with $f_{v}^{\prime}=f_{v}^{\prime 0}$ for almost all $v$. Here $f_{v}^{\prime 0}$ is the unit element of the convolution algebra $\mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$ of $K_{v}^{\prime}=\mathbf{D}\left(R_{E_{v}}\right)$-biinvariant $f_{v}^{\prime}$; a choice of a Haar measure is implicit. Let $L\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ be the space of smooth functions $\phi: \mathbb{D}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\phi(\gamma z h)=\left(\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}\right)(z) \phi(h)\left(h \in \mathbb{D}^{\prime}, z \in \mathbb{Z}^{\prime} \simeq \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right.$, $\left.\gamma \in D^{\prime}=\mathbf{D}(E)\right)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{Z}^{\prime} D^{\prime} \backslash \mathbb{D}^{\prime}}|\phi(h)|^{2} d h<\infty$. The convolution operator

$$
\left(r\left(f^{\prime}\right) \phi\right)(g)=\int_{\mathbb{D}^{\prime} / \mathbb{Z}^{\prime}} f^{\prime}(h) \phi(g h) d h=\int_{\mathbb{Z}^{\prime} D^{\prime} \backslash \mathbb{D}^{\prime}} K_{f^{\prime}}(g, h) \phi(h) d h
$$

is an integral operator with kernel $K_{f^{\prime}}(g, h)=\sum_{\gamma \in D^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}} f^{\prime}\left(g^{-1} \gamma h\right)$.
The space $L\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ decomposes as the direct sum of three mutually orthogonal invariant subspaces: the space $L_{0}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ of cusp forms with central character $\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$, the space $L_{1}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ of functions $\phi(g)=\chi(\operatorname{det} g)$, where $\chi$ is a character of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{1} / E^{1}$ with $\chi^{2}=\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$, and the continuous spectrum $L_{c}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. Denote the corresponding kernels by $K_{0}, K_{1}, K_{c}$. The Fourier summation formula is the equality obtained on integrating $K(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n)$ on $h \in \mathbb{Z} D_{\theta} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{\theta}\left(D_{\theta}=\mathbf{D}_{\theta}(F), \mathbb{D}_{\theta}=\mathbf{D}_{\theta}(\mathbb{A}), \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{A}^{\times}\right)$and on $n=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ in $N^{\prime} \backslash \mathbb{N}^{\prime}$ (i.e., on $x$ in $\mathbb{A}_{E} / E$ ). Here $\psi$ is a fixed non-trivial character of $\mathbb{A} / F$, and $\psi(n)=\psi(x+\bar{x})$.

Using the disjoint decomposition $D^{\prime}=\bigcup N^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{ll}b & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) D_{\theta}$, union over $b \in$ $E^{\times} / E^{1}$, we note that the "geometric" expression for the double integral $\iint K_{f^{\prime}}(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n) d n d h$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{b \in E^{\times} / E^{1}} \Psi\left(b, f^{\prime}, \psi\right), \\
\Psi\left(b, f^{\prime}, \psi\right)=\int_{\mathbb{N}^{\prime}} \int_{\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{\theta}} f^{\prime}\left(n\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) h\right) \psi(n) d n d h .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $f^{\prime}$ is compactly supported modulo the center, the Bruhat decomposition (and an application of the map $g \mapsto g\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \theta \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right) \bar{g}\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \theta \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ ), shows that for a given $f^{\prime}$ the sum is finite, and the double integral ranges over a
compact in $\mathbb{N}^{\prime} \times \mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{\theta}$. In the isotropic case, where $\theta=1$, there is another term, $\Psi\left(0, f^{\prime}, \psi\right)$, in the geometric side; see [F4].

The integral of $K_{1}(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n)$ is zero since $\psi$ is non-trivial. The cuspidal kernel takes the form

$$
K_{0}(g, h)=\sum_{\pi^{\prime}} \sum_{\phi \in \pi^{\prime}}\left(\pi^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \phi\right)(g) \bar{\phi}(h) .
$$

Here $\pi^{\prime}$ ranges over the set of cuspidal representations of $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}$ with central character $\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$, and $\phi$ over an orthonormal basis of smooth functions in $\pi^{\prime}$. The integral of $K_{0}(n, h) \bar{\psi}(h)$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta}\right)_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta}\right)_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\phi \in \pi^{\prime}} W_{\psi}\left(\pi^{\prime}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \phi\right) P_{\theta}(\bar{\phi})
$$

is independent of the choice of the basis $\{\phi\}$ of $\pi^{\prime}$. Here $W_{\psi}(\phi)=$ $\int_{N^{\prime} \backslash \mathbb{N}^{\prime}} \phi(n) \bar{\psi}(n) d n$ and $P_{\theta}(\phi)=\int_{\mathbb{Z} D_{\theta} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{\theta}} \phi(h) d h$.

Next we record $K_{c}(n, h)$. Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ be unitary characters of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times}$ with $\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$. For any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the Hilbert space $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)$ of $\phi: \mathbb{D}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
\phi\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & * \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right) g\right)=|a / b|_{E}^{s+1 / 2} \mu_{1}(a) \mu_{2}(b) \phi(g) \quad\left(a, b \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} ; g \in \mathbb{D}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{K}^{\prime}}|\phi(k)|^{2} d k<\infty$. Here $\mathbb{K}^{\prime}=\Pi K_{v}^{\prime}, K_{v}^{\prime}=$ standard maximal compact subgroup in $D_{v}^{\prime}=G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$. The restriction to $\mathbb{K}^{\prime}$ map $\phi \mapsto \phi \mid \mathbb{K}^{\prime}$ defines an isomorphism from $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)$ to $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, 0\right)$. Identify $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)$ with $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$. Denote by $\phi\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)$ the element of $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)$ corresponding to $\phi\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ in $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$. Denote by $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)$ the representation of $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}$ on $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)$ by right translation, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(h, \phi, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)=\sum_{\gamma \in B^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}} \phi & \left(\gamma h, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right) \\
& \left(\phi=\phi\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right) \in H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the associated Eisenstein series. The kernel on the continuous spectrum is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{c}(g, h)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum \sum_{\phi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E\left(g, I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, i t ; f^{\prime}\right) \phi, \mu_{2}, \mu_{2}, i t\right) \\
& \times \bar{E}\left(h, \phi, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, i t\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\phi$ ranges over an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{K}^{\prime}$-finite functions in $H^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$. The first sum ranges over a set of representatives of the classes of pairs $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ of unitary characters on $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times}$under the equivalence relation $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right) \sim\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ if $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mu_{1} \nu^{i t}, \mu_{2} \nu^{-i t}\right)(t \in \mathbb{R})$.

Since $N^{\prime} \backslash \mathbb{N}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{Z} D_{\theta} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{\theta}$ are compact, the integrals

$$
E_{\psi}\left(\phi, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)=\int_{N^{\prime} \backslash \mathbb{N}^{\prime}} E\left(n, \phi, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right) \psi(n) d n
$$

and

$$
E_{\theta}\left(\phi, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right)=\int_{\mathbb{Z} D_{\theta} \backslash \mathbb{D}_{\theta}} E\left(h, \phi, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, s\right) d h
$$

converge, and we conclude that $\iint K_{c}(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n) d n d h$ is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{\left\{\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)\right\}} \sum_{\phi} \\
& \quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{\psi}\left(I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, i t ; f^{\prime}\right) \phi, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, i t\right) \bar{E}_{\theta}\left(\phi, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, i t\right) d t \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

For a given $f^{\prime}$ both sums are finite. In the quasi-split case, where $\theta=1$, the computation of $\iint K_{c} \bar{\psi}$ is more involved; see [F4]. In summary, the Fourier summation formula is the equality of the following.
4. Proposition. For every test function $f^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\sum_{b \in E^{\times} / E^{1}} \Psi\left(b, f^{\prime}, \psi\right)=\sum_{\pi \subset L_{0}\left(D^{\prime}\right)}\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta}\right)_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)+(4.1) .
$$

Next we develop the analogous formula on $\mathbf{G}=U(2,1)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\theta}=U(2)$. Let $f=\bigotimes f_{v}$ be a smooth compactly supported function on $\mathbb{G}=\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ ( $=$ center of $\mathbb{G}, \simeq \mathbb{A}_{E}^{1}$ ), which transforms under $\mathbb{Z}$ via $\omega^{-1}$, with $f_{v}=f_{v}^{0}$ for almost all $v$. Here $f_{v}^{0}$ is the unit element in the convolution algebra $\mathbb{H}_{v}$ of the $K_{v}=\mathbf{G}\left(R_{v}\right)$-biinvariant $f_{v} ; R_{v}$ is the ring of integers in $F_{v}$; a choice of a Haar measure is implicit. Let $L(G)$ be the space of smooth functions $\phi: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\phi(\gamma z g)=\omega(z) \phi(g)(g \in \mathbb{G}, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gamma \in G=\mathbf{G}(F))$ and $\int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash G}|\phi(g)|^{2} d g<\infty$. The convolution operator

$$
(r(f) \phi)(g)=\int_{\mathbb{G} / \mathbb{Z}} f(h) \phi(g h) d h=\int_{\mathbb{Z} G \backslash \mathbb{G}} K_{f}(g, h) \phi(h) d h
$$

is an integral operator with kernel $K_{f}(g, h)=\sum_{\gamma \in G / Z} f\left(g^{-1} \gamma h\right)$.
The space $L(G)$ decomposes as the direct sum of three mutually orthogonal invariant spaces; the space $L_{0}(G)$ of cusp forms with central character $\omega$, the space $L_{1}(G)$ of discrete-series non-cuspidal (necessarily non-generic)
representations, including the functions $\phi(g)=\chi(\operatorname{det} g)$, where $\chi$ is a character of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{1} / E^{1}$ with $\chi^{3}=\omega$, and the continuous spectrum $L_{c}(G)$. Correspondingly, $K_{f}=K_{0}+K_{1}+K_{c}$. The Fourier summation formula is the equality obtained on integrating $K(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n) \xi(h)$ on $h \in \mathbb{Z} C_{\theta} \backslash \mathbb{C}_{\theta} \simeq C_{\theta}^{1} \backslash \mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}$ ( $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}$ denote the groups of adele points on the algebraic groups $\mathbf{C}_{\theta}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{\theta}^{1}$ naturally defined to have $C_{\theta}$ and $C_{\theta}^{1}$ as their groups of $F$-points) and on

$$
n=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x & y \\
0 & 1 & \bar{x} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

in $N \backslash \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{N}=\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{A}), N=\mathbf{N}(F), \mathbf{N}$ is the upper unipotent subgroup in $\mathrm{B} \subset \mathbf{G}$, thus $x$ ranges over $\mathbb{A}_{E} / E$, and $y$ over $\mathbb{A}_{E} / E$ with $\left.y+\bar{y}=x \bar{x}\right)$. Also we put $\psi(n)=\psi(x+\bar{x})$.

The "geometric" expression for the double integral $\iint K_{f^{\prime}}(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n)$. $\xi_{2}(h)$ over $n \in N \backslash \mathbb{N}$ and $h \in C_{\theta}^{1} \backslash \mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}$ is

$$
\sum_{b \in E^{\times} / E^{1}} \Psi(b, f, \psi)
$$

where

$$
\Psi(b, f, \psi)=\int_{\mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}} f\left(n \operatorname{diag}\left(b, 1, \bar{b}^{-1}\right) h\right) \psi(n) \xi_{2}(h) d n d h
$$

by virtue of the disjoint decomposition $\bigcup_{b} N \operatorname{diag}\left(b, 1, \bar{b}^{-1}\right) C_{\theta}=\bigcup_{b} N Z$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(b, 1, \bar{b}^{-1}\right) C_{\theta}^{1}$ of $G$. Since $f$ is compactly supported modulo the center, applying the map $g \mapsto g J_{\theta} g^{-1}$ and using the Bruhat decomposition, we conclude that for a given $f$ the sum is finite, and the double integral ranges over a compact in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}$. In the isotropic case, where $\theta=1$, one more term: $\Psi(0, f, \psi)$ of [F6], turns up.

The integral of $K_{1}(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n) \xi_{2}(h)$ over $n$ is zero since $\psi$ is non-trivial, and the forms $\phi$ contributing to $K_{1}$ are non-generic: $\int \phi(n) \bar{\psi}(n) d n=0$ (see [F2]). We have

$$
\int_{N \backslash \mathbb{N}} \int_{C_{\theta}^{1} \backslash \mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}} K_{0}(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n) \xi_{2}(h) d n d h=\sum_{\pi}\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)(f),
$$

where

$$
W_{\psi}(\phi)=\int_{N \backslash \mathbb{N}} \phi(n) \bar{\psi}(n) d n, \quad P_{\theta, \xi}(\phi)=\int_{C_{\theta}^{1} \backslash \mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}} \phi(h) \xi_{2}(h) d h
$$

and

$$
\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}(f)=\sum_{\phi \in \pi} W_{\psi}(\pi(f) \phi) P_{\theta, \xi}(\bar{\phi})
$$

is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{\phi\}$ of $\pi$. The sum over $\pi$ ranges over all cuspidal cyclic $\left(P_{\theta, \xi}(\phi) \neq 0\right.$ for some $\left.\phi \in \pi\right)$ generic ( $W_{\psi}(\phi) \neq 0$ for some $\phi \in \pi$ ) representations of $\mathbb{G}$.

Finally we record $K_{c}(n, h)$. Let $\mu$ range over a set of representatives $\{\mu\}$ of the classes $\left(\mu^{\prime} \sim \mu\right.$ if $\mu^{\prime}=\mu \nu^{i t}(t \in \mathbb{R})$ ) of unitary characters of $\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times}$. For any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the Hilbert space $H(\mu, s)$ of $\phi: \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with (for any upper triangular unipotent $u$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(a, b, \bar{a}^{-1}\right) g\right)=|a|_{E}^{s+1} \mu(a)(\omega / & \mu)(b) \phi(g) \\
& \left(a \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}, b \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{1}, g \in \mathbb{G}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\int_{\mathbb{K}}|\phi(k)|^{2} d k<\infty$. Here $\mathbb{K}=\Pi K_{v}, K_{v}$ being the standard maximal compact subgroup in $G_{v}$. As usual we use the map $\phi \mapsto \phi \mid \mathbb{K}$ to identify $H(\mu, s)$ with $H(\mu)=H(\mu, 0)$, and denote by $\phi(\mu, s)$ the element of $H(\mu, s)$ corresponding to $\phi(\mu)$ in $H(\mu)$. The action of $\mathbb{G}$ on $H(\mu, s)$ by right translation is denoted by $I(\mu, s)$, and the associated Eisenstein series is

$$
E(g, \phi, \mu, s)=\sum_{\gamma \in B \backslash G} \phi(\gamma g, \mu, s) \quad(\phi=\phi(\mu) \in H(\mu))
$$

Then

$$
K_{c}(g, h)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{\{\mu\}} \sum_{\phi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(g, I(\mu, i t ; f) \phi, \mu, i t) \bar{E}(h, \phi, \mu, i t) d t
$$

Here $\phi$ ranges over an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{K}$-finite functions in $H(\mu)$.
Since $N \backslash \mathbb{N}$ and $C_{\theta}^{1} \backslash \mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}$ are compact, the integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\psi}(\phi, \mu, s) & =\int_{N \backslash \mathbb{N}} E(n, \phi, \mu, s) \psi(n) d n \\
E_{\theta, \xi}(\phi, \mu, s) & =\int_{C_{\theta}^{1} \backslash \mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}} E(h, \phi, \mu, s) \xi_{2}(h)^{-1} d h
\end{aligned}
$$

are convergent, and we conclude that $\iint K_{c}(n, h) \bar{\psi}(n) \xi_{2}(h) d n d h$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \sum_{\{\mu\}} \sum_{\phi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{\psi}(I(\mu, i t ; f) \phi, \mu, i t) \bar{E}_{\theta, \xi}(\phi, \mu, i t) d t \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given $f$, both sums are finite. In the quasi-split case where $\theta=1$ and $C_{\theta}^{1}=U(1,1)$, the computation of $\iint K_{c} \bar{\psi} \xi_{2}$ is more involved; see [F6]. The Fourier summation formula for the pair $\left(G, C_{\theta}\right)$ is the equality in
5. Proposition. For every test function $f$ we have

$$
\sum_{b \in E^{\times} / E^{1}} \Psi(b, f, \psi)=\sum_{\pi \subset L_{0}(G)} m(\pi)\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}(f)+(5.1) ;
$$

here $m(\pi)$ denotes the multiplicity of $\pi$ in the space of cusp forms on $G \backslash \mathbb{G}$; namely $m(\pi)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{G}}\left(\pi, L_{0}(G)\right)$.

## 3. MATCHING FUNCTIONS

For $f=\bigotimes f_{v}$, the global integral $\Psi(b, f, \psi), b=\left(b_{v}\right) \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / \mathbb{A}_{E}^{1}$, is the product over $v$ of

$$
\Psi\left(b_{v}, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)=\int_{N_{v}} \int_{C_{\theta, v}^{1}} f_{v}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{v}, 1, \bar{b}_{v}^{-1}\right) h\right) \psi_{v}(n) \xi_{2 v}(h) d n d h
$$

$\psi_{v}$ is the component of $\psi$ at $v, \xi_{2 v}$ is that of $\xi_{2}$, and $b_{v}$ ranges over $E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$, in the local case. Similarly, for $f^{\prime}=\bigotimes f_{v}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}=G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ and $b=$ $\left(b_{v}\right) \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / \mathbb{A}_{E}^{1}$, the integral $\Psi\left(b, f^{\prime}, \psi\right)$ is the product, over all places $v$ of $F$, of

$$
\Psi\left(b_{v}, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)=\int_{N_{v}^{\prime}} \int_{D_{\theta, v}} f_{v}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{v}, 1\right) h\right) \psi_{v}(n) d n d h
$$

Definition. (1) We write $\Psi_{\theta}\left(b_{v}, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)$ for $\Psi\left(b_{v}, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)$, and $\Psi_{\theta}\left(b_{v}, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ for $\Psi\left(b_{v}, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$, when the dependence on $\theta$ needs to be made explicit.
(2) Denote by $C_{v}=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{v}, \omega_{v}^{-1}\right)$ the space of complex valued smooth functions $f_{v}$ on $G_{v}$ which transform via $\omega_{v}^{-1}$ on $Z_{v}$ and are compactly supported modulo $Z_{v}$.
(3) Denote by $C_{v}^{\prime}=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(D_{v}^{\prime}, \xi_{2 v}^{\prime} / \omega_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ the space of complex valued smooth functions $f_{v}^{\prime}$ on $D_{v}^{\prime}$ which transform via $\xi_{2 v}^{\prime} / \omega_{v}^{\prime}$ on $Z_{v}^{\prime}$ and are compactly supported modulo $Z_{v}^{\prime}$.
(4) The functions $f_{v} \in C_{v}$ and $f_{v}^{\prime} \in C_{v}^{\prime}$ are called matching if for every $b$ in $E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$ we have $\Psi\left(b, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)=|b|_{v}^{1 / 2} \Psi\left(b, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)$.

To relate the Fourier summation formulae we need to show that there are sufficiently many matching functions.
6. Proposition. For every $f_{v} \in C_{v}$ there is a matching $f_{v}^{\prime} \in C_{v}^{\prime}$, and for every $f_{v}^{\prime} \in C_{v}^{\prime}$ there is a matching $f_{v} \in C_{v}$.

Proof. Consider first the case of $v$ such that $D_{\theta, v}$ and $C_{\theta, v}$ are anisotropic. Fixing such $v$ we pass to local notations (i.e., omit $v$ ). The decomposition $D^{\prime}=N^{\prime} A^{\prime} D_{\theta}$ implies that $\Psi\left(b, f^{\prime}, \psi\right)$ is locally constant and compactly supported on $E^{\times} / E^{1}$, and that given any locally constant and compactly supported function $\Psi^{\prime}(b)$ on $E^{\times} / E^{1}$ there is such $f^{\prime}$ with $\Psi\left(b, f^{\prime}, \psi\right)=\Psi^{\prime}(b)$ for all $b \in E^{\times}$. Similarly the decomposition $G=N A C_{\theta}^{1}$ implies that $\Psi(b, f, \psi)$ is locally constant and compactly supported on $b \in E^{\times} / E^{1}$, and any compactly supported locally constant function $\Psi(b)$ on $E^{\times} / E^{1}$ is so obtained. Since $b \mapsto|b|^{1 / 2}$ is locally constant, the proposition follows for such $v$.

For all other $v$ the groups $D_{\theta, v}$ and $C_{\theta, v}$ are isotropic, and the proposition coincides with Proposition 7 of [F6]. Two cases are considered there, depending on whether $v$ splits in $E$, or not. In both cases it is shown that there exists a function $\vartheta_{\psi_{v}}(b)$, and for each $f_{v}$ there is a complex number $\Psi\left(0, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ (for each $f_{v}^{\prime}$ there is $\Psi\left(0, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)$ ), such that $\Psi\left(b, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ is locally constant on $E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$, it is 0 for sufficiently small $|b|_{v}$, and equal to $|b|_{v} \Psi\left(0, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right) \vartheta_{\psi_{v}}(b)$ for all $|b|_{v} \geq B\left(f_{v}\right)$. Moreover, all locally constant functions $\Psi(b)$ on $E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$ which vanish if $|b|_{v}$ is small and are equal to $|b|_{v} \Psi(0) \vartheta_{\psi_{v}}(b)$ for all $|b|_{v} \geq B(>0)$, are of the form $\Psi\left(b, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ for some such $f_{v}$ (see [F6, Lemmas 8 and 10]). Also $\Psi\left(b, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)$ is locally constant on $E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$, it is 0 if $|b|_{v}$ is small enough, and equal to $|b|_{v}^{1 / 2} \Psi\left(0, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right) \vartheta_{\psi_{v}}(b)$ for all $|b|_{v} \geq B\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, all locally constant functions $\Psi^{\prime}(b)$ on $E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$ which are zero if $|b|_{v}$ is small and are equal to $|b|_{v}^{1 / 2} \Psi^{\prime}(0) \vartheta_{\psi_{v}}(b)$ for all $|b|_{v} \geq B^{\prime}(>0)$, are of the form $\Psi\left(b, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)$ for some such $f_{v}^{\prime}$ (see [F6, Lemmas 9 and 11]). These characterizations imply Proposition 7 of [F6], which is our proposition, when $v$ is a place of $F$ such that $\theta \in N_{E_{v} / F_{v}} E_{v}^{\times}$(in particular, if $v$ splits in $E$ ).

The characterizations described in the proof of Proposition 6 permit relating Fourier orbital integrals on $G_{v}$, with respect to different $C_{\theta, v}$, and those on $D_{v}^{\prime}$, with respect to different $D_{\theta, v}$.

Corollary. Let $E_{v} / F_{v}$ be a quadratic extension of local fields, char $F_{v} \neq$ 2. Fix $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$.
(1) For every $f_{v}^{\theta} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{v}, \omega_{v}^{-1}\right)$ there is $f_{v}^{1} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{v}, \omega_{v}^{-1}\right)$; and for every $f_{v}^{1} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{v}, \omega_{v}^{-1}\right)$ such that $\Psi_{1}\left(b, f_{v}^{1}, \psi_{v}\right)$ is compactly supported on $E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$, namely it is 0 for all $|b|_{v} \geq B\left(f_{v}^{1}\right)$, there is $f_{v}^{\theta} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{v}, \omega_{v}^{-1}\right)$; such that $\Psi_{\theta}\left(b, f_{v}^{\theta}, \psi_{v}\right)=\Psi_{1}\left(b, f_{v}^{1}, \psi_{v}\right)$ for all $b \in E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$.
(2) For every $f_{v}^{\prime \theta} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(D_{v}^{\prime}, \xi_{2 v}^{\prime} / \omega_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ there is $f_{v}^{\prime 1} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(D_{v}^{\prime}, \xi_{2 v}^{\prime} / \omega_{v}^{\prime}\right)$, and for every $f_{v}^{\prime 1} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(D_{v}^{\prime}, \xi_{2 v}^{\prime} / \omega_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\Psi_{1}\left(b, f_{v}^{\prime 1}, \psi_{v}\right)$ is compactly supported on $E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$ there is $f_{v}^{\prime \theta} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(D_{v}^{\prime}, \xi_{2 v}^{\prime} / \omega_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\Psi_{\theta}\left(b, f_{v}^{\prime \theta}, \psi_{v}\right)=\Psi_{1}\left(b, f_{v}^{\prime 1}, \psi_{v}\right)$ for all $b \in E_{v}^{\times} / E_{v}^{1}$.

In other words, for $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$, the $\Psi_{\theta}\left(b, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ and $\Psi_{\theta}\left(b, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)$ are the compactly supported functions amongst the $\Psi_{1}\left(b, f_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ and $\Psi_{1}\left(b, f_{v}^{\prime}, \psi_{v}\right)$; the latter functions-in general-will have a specific type of asymptotic behaviour as $|b|_{v} \rightarrow \infty$.

The global test functions $f$ and $f^{\prime}$, for which we need to relate the geometric sides of the Fourier summation formulae, have local components which are the unit elements in the respective Hecke algebras of spherical functions, for almost all $v$. For almost all $v$ the groups $C_{\theta}$ and $D_{\theta}$ are quasisplit over $F_{v}$ since $\theta\left(\in F-N_{E / F} E\right)$ lies in $N E_{v}$ (for almost all $v$ ). Moreover
$E_{v} / F_{v}$ is unramified, $v$ is finite, and $\psi_{v}$ is unramified (the maximal subring of $F_{v}$ on which $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{v}$ is 1 is the ring $R_{v}$ of integers), for almost all $v$. Propositions 14 and 16 of [F6] assert that these unit elements $\left(f_{v}^{0} \in C_{v}\right.$ and $f_{v}^{\prime 0} \in C_{v}^{\prime}$ ) are matching. More generally, the correspondence of unramified local representations stated in the introduction defines a homomorphism of the convolution Hecke algebras $\mathbb{H}_{v} \subset C_{v}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime} \subset C_{v}^{\prime}$ of spherical ( $K_{v}=\mathbf{G}\left(R_{v}\right)$ - and $K_{v}^{\prime}=G L\left(2, R_{v}^{\prime}\right)$-biinvariant) functions. The isolation argument used to derive the representation theoretic applications from the equality of the Fourier summation formulae is based on the fact (again proven in [F6, Propositions 14 and 16]) that such corresponding spherical functions are matching. Since these results are used here, we briefly recall their statement.

In the case where $v$ stays prime in $E$, and $E_{v} / F_{v}, \psi_{v}, \omega_{v}, \xi_{v}$ are unramified, we have $\omega_{v}=\xi_{v}=1$, and the correspondence relates the unramified $D_{v}^{\prime}$-module $I^{\prime}(\mu)\left(=I^{\prime}\left(\mu, \bar{\mu}^{-1}\right)\right)$ with the unramified $G_{v}$-module $I(\mu)$, where $\mu$ is an unramified character of $E_{v}^{\times}$. The dual map $D: \mathbb{H}_{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$ of Hecke algebras is defined by $f_{v}^{\prime}=D\left(f_{v}\right)$ if $\operatorname{tr} I^{\prime}\left(\mu, f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr} I\left(\mu, f_{v}\right)$ for all unramified characters $\mu$ of $E_{v}^{\times}$. The theory of the Satake transform implies that the function $f_{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}$ (resp. $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$ ) is uniquely determined by the values of the traces $\operatorname{tr} I\left(\mu, f_{v}\right)$ (resp. $\operatorname{tr} I^{\prime}\left(\mu, f_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ ), where $\mu$ runs through the variety of unramified characters of $E_{v}^{\times}$. Proposition 14 of [F6] asserts that the corresponding $f_{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}$ and $f_{v}^{\prime}=D\left(f_{v}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$ are matching.

In the case where $v$ is a finite place which splits in $E$, we have $E_{v}=$ $E \otimes_{F} F_{v}=F_{v} \oplus F_{v}$, and $E_{v}^{1}=\left\{\left(z, z^{-1}\right) ; z \in F_{v}^{\times}\right\}$, since $\bar{a}=\left(a_{2}, a_{1}\right)$ if $a=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in E_{v}$. Put $\omega_{0 v}(z)=\omega_{v}\left(\left(z, z^{-1}\right)\right)$ and $\xi_{0 v}(z)=\xi_{2 v}\left(\left(z, z^{-1}\right)\right)$. A character $\mu$ of $E_{v}^{\times}$is a pair $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ of characters $\mu_{i}$ of $F_{v}^{\times}$. The correspondence relates the unramified $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$-module $\pi_{v}^{\prime}=I^{\prime}(\mu)=I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ (more precisely the $D_{v}^{\prime}$-module $\pi_{v}^{\prime} \times \breve{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$ ) with $\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=\omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}$, to the unramified $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)=G_{v}$-module $\pi_{v}=I\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \xi_{0 v}\right)$, whose central character is $\omega_{0 v}$. Beware: not all principal series unramified $G_{v}$-modules with central character $\omega_{0 v}$ are obtained by the correspondence, since one of the three inducing characters is taken to be $\xi_{0 v}$. The dual map $D: \mathbb{H}_{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$ of Hecke algebras is defined by $f_{v}^{\prime}=D\left(f_{v}\right)$ if $\operatorname{tr} I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} ; f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr} I\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \xi_{0 v} ; f_{v}\right)$ for all unramified characters $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ of $F_{v}^{\times}$with $\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=\omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}$. The theory of the Satake transform implies that the function $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$ is uniquely determined by the values of the traces $\operatorname{tr} I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} ; f_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ for all unramified $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ with $\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=\omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}$. But the map $D: \mathbb{W}_{v} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$ is not injective since $f_{v}$ will be uniquely determined by the traces $\operatorname{tr} I\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3} ; f_{v}\right)$ or all triples of characters $\mu_{i}$ of $F_{v}^{\times}$, but not by the subset where $\mu_{3}$ is limited to $\omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}$. Proposition 16 of [F6] asserts the following.
7. Proposition. Corresponding $f_{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}$ and $f_{v}^{\prime}=D\left(f_{v}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$ are matching.

In fact the proof of [F6, Proposition 16] is carried out (1) only with $\omega_{0 v}=\xi_{0 v}=1$, but this restriction was made there merely to simplify the notations and is easily removable; and (2) only for $f_{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}$ with value zero at $\operatorname{diag}\left(\pi_{v}, 1,1\right)$ and $\operatorname{diag}\left(\pi_{v}^{2}, \pi_{v}, 1\right)$, but this restriction does not limit the applicability of our formula, since all $f_{v}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{M}_{v}^{\prime}$ are nevertheless obtained via $D$ from this set of $f_{v}$ 's.

## 4. GLOBAL CYCLICITY

We begin with the following separation argument.
8. Proposition. Let $V$ be a finite set of $F$-places containing all $v$ which are ramified in $E$, those with $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$, and those where $\omega$, $\xi$ or $\psi$ are ramified. For each $v$ in $V$ let $f_{v} \in C_{v}$ and $f_{v}^{\prime} \in C_{v}^{\prime}$ be matching functions. Put $f=\left(\bigotimes_{v \in V} f_{v}\right) \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{v \notin V} f_{v}^{0}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}=\left(\bigotimes_{v \in V} f_{v}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\bigotimes_{v \notin V} f_{v}^{\prime 0}\right)$. At each $v \notin V$ fix corresponding unramified $D_{v}^{\prime}$ - and $G_{v}$-modules $\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\pi^{\prime} \subset L_{0}\left(D^{\prime}\right)}\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta}\right)_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\pi \subset L_{0}(G)} m(\pi)\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}(f) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\pi^{\prime}$ ranges over all cuspidal representations of $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}$ whose component at $v \notin V$ is $\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$, while $\pi$ ranges over a set of representatives for the equivalence classes of cuspidal representations of $\mathbb{G}$ whose component at $v \notin V$ is $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$.

Proof. Consider $f^{V}=\bigotimes_{v \notin V} f_{v}$, where $f_{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}$ for all $v \notin V$, and $f_{v}=f_{v}^{0}$ for almost all $v \notin V$, and $f^{\prime V}=\bigotimes_{v \notin V} f_{v}^{\prime}$, where $f_{v}^{\prime}=D\left(f_{v}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{v}^{\prime}$. Note that $f_{v}^{\prime 0}=D\left(f_{v}^{0}\right)$, and that $f_{v}$ and $f_{v}^{\prime}$ are matching by Proposition 7. Note that $f_{v} * f_{v}^{0}=f_{v}$ and $f_{v}^{\prime} * f_{v}^{\prime 0}=f_{v}^{\prime}$ for all $v \notin V$. Since $\Psi\left(b, f * f^{V}, \psi\right)=$ $\Psi\left(b, f^{\prime} * f^{\prime V}, \psi\right)$ for all $b \in E^{\times} / E^{1}$ (since $\|b\|=1$ by the product formula on a global field), Propositions 4 and 5 imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\pi^{\prime} \subset L_{0}\left(D^{\prime}\right)}\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta}\right)_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime} * f^{\prime V}\right)+(4.1) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\pi \subset L_{0}(G)} m(\pi)\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}\left(f * f^{V}\right)+(5.1)
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $v \notin V$, the operator $\pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ acts on $\phi \in \pi^{\prime}\left(\subset L_{0}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)$ as zero unless $\phi$ is $K_{v}^{\prime}=G L\left(2, R_{v}^{\prime}\right)$-right invariant, and then it acts as the scalar $\operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that if $\pi^{\prime}$ contributes to the sum, then $P_{\theta}(\bar{\phi}) \neq 0$ for some $\phi$, namely $\pi^{\prime}$ is distinguished. Hence so is each component of $\pi^{\prime}$, and at the split places we have that $\pi_{v}^{\prime}=\pi_{v}^{\prime \prime} \times \check{\pi}_{v}^{\prime \prime}$, and $\operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}^{\prime \prime}\left(f_{1 v} *\right.$ $\left.f_{2 v}^{*}\right)$, if $f_{v}^{\prime}\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)=f_{1 v}\left(g_{1}\right) f_{2 v}\left(g_{2}\right)$, and $f_{2 v}^{*}(g)=f_{2 v}\left(g^{-1}\right)$. To alleviate the notations we take $f_{2 v}=f_{v}^{0}$ and write $\pi_{v}^{\prime}=\pi_{v}^{\prime} \times \check{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$ and $f_{v}^{\prime}=\left(f_{v}^{\prime}, f_{v}^{0}\right)$, so
that $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ denotes also the underlying $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$-module, and $f_{v}^{\prime}$ denotes the underlying function on $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$. It then follows that

$$
\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta}\right)_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime} * f^{\prime V}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \pi^{\prime V}\left(f^{\prime V}\right) \cdot\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta}\right)_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{tr} \pi^{\prime V}\left(f^{\prime V}\right)=\prod_{v \notin V} \operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)$. This is zero unless $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ is unramified ( $v \notin V$ ).

Similarly we have

$$
\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}\left(f * f^{V}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \pi^{V}\left(f^{V}\right) \cdot\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}(f)
$$

and this is zero unless $\pi_{v}$ is unramified for all $v \notin V$. At a place $v \notin V$ which stays prime in $E$, the component $\pi_{v}$ is the unramified constituent of $I\left(\mu_{v}\right)$, for some $\mu_{v}: E_{v}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, and $\operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}\left(f_{v}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(D\left(f_{v}\right)\right)$ where $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ is the unramified constituent of $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{v}\right)$.

Each $\pi$ which contributes to the sum is cyclic (since $P_{\theta, \xi}(\bar{\phi}) \neq 0$ for some $\phi$ in $\pi$ ), hence so is each of its components. It is also generic (since $\left.W_{\pi}(\phi) \neq 0\right)$, and each component $\pi_{v}$ of an automorphic representation is unitarizable. Consequently - by Proposition 0 of [F5]-if $v$ splits in $E$ then the generic unitarizable cyclic unramified $G L\left(3, F_{v}\right)$-module $\pi_{v}$ is of the form $I\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \xi_{0 v}\right), \mu_{i}: F_{v}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$(unramified with $\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \xi_{0 v}=\omega_{0 v}$ ), and $\operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}\left(f_{v}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(D\left(f_{v}\right)\right)$ where $\pi_{v}^{\prime}=I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$.

The difference of the sums over $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ can be written then as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\pi^{\prime} \subset L_{0}\left(D^{\prime}\right)}\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta}\right)_{\pi^{\prime}}\left(f^{\prime}\right) \cdot \operatorname{tr} \pi^{\prime V}\left(f^{\prime V}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\pi \subset L_{0}(G)} m(\pi)\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}(f) \cdot \operatorname{tr} \pi^{\prime V}\left(f^{\prime V}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

the difference (5.1)-(4.1) of integrals can similarly be expressed as an integral over $s \in \mathbb{R} /\left(\log q_{v_{1}}\right)^{-1} \mathbb{Z}$ involving $\operatorname{tr} I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{v_{1}} \nu_{v_{1}}^{i s}, f_{v_{1}}^{\prime}\right)$ for some $v_{1} \notin V$ which stays prime in $E$. A standard argument of "generalized linear independence of characters" (on $G L(2)$; see, e.g., Theorem 2 of [FK]), based on the absolute convergence of the two sums and two integrals here, the unitarity of all automorphic representations present, and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, implies (that $(4.1)=(5.1)$ and $)$ the proposition as stated.

Remark. By the rigidity and the multiplicity one theorems for $G L(2)$, the sum over $\pi^{\prime}$ consists of at most one term.

We need to relate the global distributions with products of local ones. This is done next.

If $\pi_{v}$ is irreducible then the dimension of each of the complex spaces $\operatorname{Hom}_{N_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}, \psi_{v}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{C_{\theta, v}}\left(\pi_{v}, \xi_{v}\right)$ is at most one (see the Remarks at the end of the Appendix to [F6]). If the first space is non-zero we choose
a generator $W_{\psi_{v}}$ and say that $\pi_{v}$ is generic. If the second space is nonzero we choose a generator $P_{\theta, \xi_{v}}$ and say that $\pi_{v}$ is $C_{\theta, v}$-cyclic. If $\pi_{v}$ is unramified then its space contains a $K_{v}$-fixed vector $\phi_{v}^{0}$. When $\psi_{v}$ and $\xi_{v}$ are unramified the linear forms $W_{\psi_{v}}$ and $P_{\theta, \xi_{v}}$ are non-zero at $\phi_{v}^{0}$, and they can be normalized to take the value 1 there. This $\phi_{v}^{0}$ is used in the presentation of a cuspidal $\pi$ as a product $\otimes \pi_{v}$; its space is spanned by local products $\otimes \phi_{v}$, with $\phi_{v} \in \pi_{v}$ for all $v$ and $\phi_{v}=\phi_{v}^{0}$ for almost all $v$. The linear forms $W_{\psi}$ and $P_{\theta, \xi}$ on $\pi$ are scalar multiples of $\otimes W_{\psi_{v}}$ and $\otimes P_{\theta, \xi_{v}}$.

Let $\left\{\phi=\phi\left(\pi_{v}\right)\right\}$ be a $K_{v}$-finite orthonormal basis of the space of $\pi_{v}$. Then

$$
\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)=\sum_{\phi} W_{\psi_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}\left(f_{v}\right) \phi\right) \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}(\phi)
$$

defines a linear form on $C_{v}=C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{v}, \omega_{v}^{-1}\right)$. This functional is independent of the choice of the basis $\{\phi\}$, it is zero unless $\pi_{v}$ is generic and cyclic, and for inequivalent $\pi_{v i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ the $\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\pi_{v i}}$ are linearly independent. It transforms under left translations of $f_{v}$ by $N_{v}$ via $\psi_{v}$, and under right translations of $f_{v}$ by $C_{\theta, v}$ via $\xi_{v}$.

For every cuspidal representation $\pi$, non-trivial character $\psi$, element $\theta \in$ $F^{\times}$, and character $\xi$, there is a complex number $c(\pi, \psi, \xi, \theta)$ such that

$$
\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}\left(\bigotimes f_{v}\right)=c(\pi, \psi, \xi, \theta) \prod_{v}\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)
$$

Both sides are zero unless all $\pi_{v}$ are generic and cyclic. The cuspidal $\pi$ is (automorphically) cyclic precisely when all $\pi_{v}$ are cyclic, and $c(\pi, \psi, \xi, \theta) \neq$ 0 . This constant depends on the various normalizations involved, but note that when $\xi_{v}, \psi_{v}$, and $\pi_{v}$ are unramified, and $\pi_{v}$ is generic and cyclic, we have that $\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \pi_{v}\left(f_{v}\right)$ for spherical $f_{v} \in \mathbb{H}_{v}$.
9. Proposition. Let $\pi^{\prime}$ be a $\mathbb{D}_{\theta}$-distinguished cuspidal representation of $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}=G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with central character $\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $\pi^{\prime}$ corresponds to a $\mathbb{C}_{\theta^{-}}$ cyclic generic cuspidal representations $\pi$ of $\mathbb{G}=U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ with central character $\omega$.

Proof. We choose $V$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}=\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ for all $v \notin V$ so that $\pi^{\prime}$ parametrizes the only term on the left of (8.1). We need to show for each $v \in V$ that there is $f_{v}^{\prime} \in C_{v}^{\prime}=C_{v}\left(D_{v}^{\prime}, \xi_{2 v}^{\prime} / \omega_{v}^{\prime}\right)$ (it matches some $f_{v} \in C_{v}$ by Proposition 6) with $\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, v}\right)_{\pi_{v}^{\prime}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, where this last distribution is defined in close analogy to the one on $G_{v}$. Indeed, having shown this we would conclude that the right side of (8.1) is not identically zero, and any $\pi$ occurring non-trivially on the right would be cuspidal generic $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}$-cyclic with central character $\omega$, corresponding to $\pi^{\prime}$.

So we fix $v \in V$, let $\phi_{1}$ be a smooth vector in $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ with $P_{\theta, v}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \neq 0$, and $\phi_{2}$ a smooth vector in $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ with $W_{\psi_{v}}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \neq 0$. We may assume that either $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$ or that $\phi_{1}$ is orthogonal to $\phi_{2}$. Each of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ can be multiplied by a scalar to have length 1 , and we extend $\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right\}$ to an orthonormal basis $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ of $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$. Since $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ is irreducible and admissible, the set $\left\{\pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) ; f_{v}^{\prime} \in C_{v}^{\prime}\right\}$ spans the algebra of endomorphisms of $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$, and we may choose $f_{v}^{\prime}$ such that $\pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{i}=\delta_{i, 1} \phi_{2}$. Then

$$
\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, v}\right)_{\pi_{v}^{\prime}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=W_{\psi_{v}}\left(\pi_{v}^{\prime}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right) \phi_{1}\right) \bar{P}_{\theta, v}\left(\phi_{1}\right)=W_{\psi_{v}}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \bar{P}_{\theta, v}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \neq 0
$$

as required.
In the opposite direction, we have
10. Proposition. Let $\pi$ be a $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}$-cyclic cuspidal generic representation of $\mathbb{G}=U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ with central character $\omega$. Then $\pi$ corresponds to a unique $\mathbb{D}_{\theta^{\prime}}$-distinguished cuspidal representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}=G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with central character $\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$.

Proof. We apply (8.1) with a suitable set $V$ and with $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ equals $\pi_{v}$ for each $v \notin V$. To distinguish $\pi$ of the proposition from the other representations which index contributions to the right side of (8.1), we denote it by $\tilde{\pi}$. As noted in the proof of Proposition 8 , each component $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ of $\tilde{\pi}$ is generic, unitarizable, and cyclic, hence when $v \notin V$ it is unramified and it corresponds to a generic unitarizable distinguished unramified representation $\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$ of $D_{v}^{\prime}=G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$. We need to show that for some choice of $f_{v}(v \in V)$, the right side of (8.1) is non-zero. Recall that $\left(W_{\psi} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi}\right)_{\pi}(f)$ is the product of a constant $c(\pi, \psi, \xi, \theta)$, which is non-zero when $\pi$ is $\tilde{\pi}$ since $\tilde{\pi}$ is cyclic and generic, and $\prod_{v \in V}\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right)$, since $\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}^{0}\right)=1$ for all $v \notin V$.

Consider a place $v \in V$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ is supercuspidal. Such $v$ is finite and it stays prime in $E$ (since a supercuspidal $G L\left(3, F_{v}\right)$-module cannot be cyclic, by Proposition 0 of [F5], whose proof relies heavily on BernsteinZelevinsky [BZ2] and Gelfand-Kazhdan [GK]). Since $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ is generic and cyclic its space contains vectors $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ of length 1 with $P_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\left(\phi_{1}\right) \neq 0$ and $W_{\psi_{v}}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \neq 0$. We may assume that $\phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$ or that $\phi_{2}$ is orthogonal to $\phi_{1}$. Extend $\left\{\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right\}$ to an orthonormal basis of $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$. The matrix coefficient $\tilde{f}_{v}(x)=\left(\phi_{2}, \tilde{\pi}(x) \phi_{1}\right)$ is a supercusp form which satisfies $\tilde{\pi}_{v}\left(\tilde{f}_{v}\right) \phi=0$ for all $\phi$ orthogonal to $\phi_{1}$, and $\tilde{\pi}_{v}\left(\tilde{f}_{v}\right) \phi_{1}=\phi_{2}$ (up to a nonzero multiple). Consequently $\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\pi_{v}}\left(\tilde{f}_{v}\right)=0$ for all $\pi_{v}$ inequivalent to $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$, and $\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\tilde{\pi}_{v}}\left(\tilde{f}_{v}\right) \neq 0$. Using such $\tilde{f}_{v}$ at each place $v \in V$ where $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ is supercuspidal, we conclude that the sum on the right of (8.1) extends only over the $\pi$ whose components at these $v \in V$ are the supercuspidal $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$.

Next we consider a place $v \in V$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ is not supercuspidal. Then $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ is the unique generic constituent in the composition series of an induced representation $I\left(\mu_{v}\right)$ if $v$ stays prime, or $I_{v} \times \check{I}_{v}, I_{v}=I\left(\rho_{2 v} \times \omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}\right)$ and $\rho_{2 v}$ is a generic unitarizable irreducible representation of $G L\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ with central character $\xi_{0 v}$ (if $v$ splits in $E$ ). As usual, we choose a basis $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots$ for $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$, and $\tilde{f}_{v} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(G_{v}\right)$ with $\tilde{\pi}_{v}\left(\tilde{f}_{v}\right) \phi_{i}=\delta_{i, 1} \phi_{2}$ and $\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\tilde{\pi}_{v}}\left(\tilde{f}_{v}\right) \neq 0$. Applying Bernstein's decomposition theorem (which is based on Bernstein's analysis of the Bernstein center, see [F4, pp. 165166]), we may replace here $\tilde{f}_{v}$ by its component $\tilde{f}_{v, \Theta} \in C_{v}$, where $\Theta$ is the connected component $\Theta\left(\tilde{\pi}_{v}\right)$ of the infinitesimal character $\chi\left(\tilde{\pi}_{v}\right)$ of $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$. Then $\pi_{v}\left(\tilde{f}_{v, \Theta}\right)$ acts as 0 on any $\pi_{v}$ with $\chi\left(\pi_{v}\right) \notin \Theta\left(\tilde{\pi}_{v}\right)$, and $\tilde{\pi}_{v}\left(\tilde{f}_{v, \Theta}\right)$ acts as $\tilde{\pi}_{v}\left(\tilde{f}_{v}\right)$ on $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$. Using this $\tilde{f}_{v, \Theta}$ for $f_{v}$ in (8.1) we conclude that the sum over $\pi$ on the right of (8.1) ranges precisely over all $\pi$ whose components at the $v \notin V$, or at the $v \in V$ where $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ is supercuspidal, are the same as that of $\tilde{\pi}$; but at the remaining finite set of places where $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ is the generic constituent of the full induced $I\left(\mu_{v}\right)$ (if $v$ stays prime $)$, or $I\left(\mu_{1 v}, \mu_{2 v}, \omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}\right)$ or $I\left(\rho_{2 v}, \omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}\right)$, $\rho_{2 v}$ supercuspidal (if $v$ splits), we only know that $\pi_{v}$ is a constituent of $I\left(\mu_{v} \nu_{v}^{s}\right)$ or $I\left(\mu_{1 v} \nu_{v}^{s}, \mu_{2 v}, \nu_{v}^{-s}, \omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}\right)$ or $I\left(\chi_{v} \otimes \rho_{2 v}, \omega_{0 v} / \xi_{0 v}\right) \quad\left(\chi_{v}\right.$ unramified with $\chi_{v}^{2}=1$ ) for some $s \in \mathbb{C}$ (as usual $\nu_{v}(x)=|x|_{v}$ ). So far it appears that the sum over $\pi$ on the right of (8.1) may range over a set larger than $\tilde{\pi}$ alone, and cancellations may cause this sum on the right of (8.1) to vanish.

At this stage we use the rigidity theorem for automorphic representations of $\mathbb{G}=U(2,1)(\mathbb{A})$ from [F2] and [F3], which asserts, in particular, that: there exists at most one (equivalence class of) cuspidal representation of $\mathbb{G}$ whose components are specified at almost all places, and such that at the remaining finite set of places the components are the generic constituents of fully induced $G_{v}$-modules. Note that this is a weak form only, of the rigidity theorems of $[\mathrm{F} 2, \mathrm{~F} 3]$. We conclude that with our choice of $f_{v}(v \in V)$ there is only one non-zero term in the sum of the right side of (8.1), it is indexed by our $\tilde{\pi}$, and so the left side of (8.1) is non-zero. By the rigidity theorem for $G L(2)$ the cuspidal (hence generic) distinguished $\pi^{\prime}$ which parametrizes the single term on the left of (8.1), is unique. It corresponds to our $\tilde{\pi}$, and the proposition follows.

Propositions 9 and 10 imply that the correspondence is a bijection between the set of $\mathbb{D}_{\theta}$-distinguished cuspidal representations $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with central character $\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$, and the set of packets of generic cuspidal $\mathbb{C}_{\theta^{-}}^{1}$ cyclic representations $\pi$ of $\mathbb{G}$ with central character $\omega$.

Theorem 0.2 of $[\mathrm{FH}]$ (which is quoted as Theorem 0.3 in the Introduction) asserts that a cuspidal representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ is $\mathbb{D}_{\theta^{-}}$ distinguished precisely when it is $\mathbb{D}_{1} \simeq \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{A})$-distinguished, and its
component $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ at each $v$ in the set $\nabla$ (of places where $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$ ) is not of the form $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ with $\mu_{i}$ trivial on $F_{v}^{\times}$.

The Main Global Theorem of [F4], which is the quasi-split $(\theta=1)$ analogue of our Propositions 9 and 10, asserts that the correspondence establishes a bijection from the set of equivalence classes of automorphic representations of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with central character $\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$ which are either cuspidal and $G L(2, \mathbb{A})$-distinguished, or induced of the form $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{i}: \mathbb{A}_{E}^{1} / E^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}, \mu_{i}^{\prime}(z)=\mu_{i}(z / \bar{z})\left(z \in \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\right)$, to the set of packets of generic cuspidal $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{1}=U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$-cyclic representations of $\mathbb{G}=U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ with central character $\omega$. Consequently we have:

Corollary. The packets of the $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic generic cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-modules are precisely the packets of the $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{1}$-cyclic generic cuspidal $\mathbb{G}$-modules which correspond to the cuspidal $\mathbb{D}_{1} \simeq G L(2, \mathbb{A})$-distinguished $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$-modules, whose components at the $v$ where $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$ are not of the form $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mu_{i}^{\prime}$ trivial on $F_{v}^{\times}$.

This can also be stated in terms of the endoscopic $\kappa$-lifting of [F2] from $U(1,1)$. Here $\kappa: \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times} N \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is a character whose restriction to $\mathbb{A}^{\times} / F^{\times} N A_{E}^{\times}$is nontrivial. The Global Theorem of [F6] asserts that the packets of the generic $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{1}$-cyclic cuspidal representations of $\mathbb{G}$ with central character $\omega$ are the image under the $\kappa$-endoscopic lifting of the packets of the cuspidal representation $\rho$ of $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{1}=U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ with central character $\omega / \xi_{2} \kappa$. The packets of the generic $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}$-cyclic cuspidal representations of $\mathbb{G}$ with central character $\omega$ are the $\kappa$-endo-lifts of those cuspidal representations $\pi_{0}$ of $\mathbb{C}_{1}^{1}=U(1,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ with central character $\omega / \xi_{2} \kappa$ which are not of the form $\pi_{0}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), \mu_{i}: \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times} / E^{\times} \mathbb{A}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$ (those which base change via the $\kappa$-unstable base change lifting to $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ on $\left.G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)\right)$, and whose components at the $v$ where $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$ is not of the form $\pi_{0}\left(\mu_{1 v}, \mu_{2 v}\right), \mu_{i}: E_{v}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}(i=1,2)$ (those which base-change (via the $\kappa_{v^{-}}$ unstable base-change lifting) to $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1 v}^{\prime}, \mu_{2 v}^{\prime}\right)$ on $G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$; see [F2, Sects. $3.7,3.8$, p. 49]).

## 5. LOCAL CYCLICITY

As in [F6], where the case of $\theta=1$ is considered, we define also a local correspondence, by means of an identity of Whittaker-Period distributions on the two groups in question. The case of $\theta=1$ considered in [F6], we are mainly concerned here with $\theta \in F-N E$, and $v$ with $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$.
11. Proposition. For every component $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ of a generic $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic cuspidal representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\mathbb{G}$ with central character $\omega$, there exists a unique $D_{\theta, v^{-}}$ distinguished generic representation $\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$ of $G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$ with central character
$\omega_{v} / \xi_{2 v}$, which is a component of a cuspidal $\mathbb{D}_{\theta^{-}}$-distinguished representation $\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}$ of $G L\left(2, \mathbb{A}_{E}\right)$ with central character $\omega / \xi_{2}$; and for each such component $\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$ there exists a unique finite set $\left\{\pi_{v}\right\}$ of generic $C_{\theta, v}^{1}$-cyclic representations of $G_{v}$, and constants $c\left(\pi_{v}, \psi_{v}, \xi_{v}, \theta\right)$, such that the $\pi_{v}$ lie in one packet (see [F2]) uniquely determined by $\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$ and are components of $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic generic cuspidal representations $\tilde{\pi}$ of $\mathbb{G}$; such that for all matching $f_{v}^{\prime} \in C_{v}^{\prime}$ and $f_{v} \in C_{v}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, v}\right)_{\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}}\left(f_{v}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\pi_{v} \in\left\{\pi_{v}\right\}} c\left(\pi_{v}, \psi_{v}, \xi_{v}, \theta\right)\left(W_{\psi_{v}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v}}\right)_{\pi_{v}}\left(f_{v}\right) \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Given such global $\tilde{\pi}$ or the corresponding $\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}$, we set up the identity (8.1) such that $\tilde{\pi}^{\prime}$ parametrizes the only term on the left, and $\tilde{\pi}$ occurs on the right. At each $v_{1} \neq v$ in $V$ we choose $f_{v_{2}}$ as in the proof of Proposition 10 to have that $\left(W_{\psi_{v_{1}}} \bar{P}_{\theta, \xi_{v_{1}}}\right)_{\tilde{\pi}_{v_{1}}}\left(f_{v_{1}}\right) \neq 0$, and that the $\pi$ which occur on the right of (8.1) will have the component $\tilde{\pi}_{v_{1}}$ (at each $v_{1} \in V, v_{1} \neq v$ ). As in the proof of Proposition 10 we use here the rigidity theorem for $U(2,1 ; E / F)$ of [F2]. Starting from $\tilde{\pi}_{v}^{\prime}$ we proceed as in Proposition 9. In any case we obtain (11.1) for all matching $f_{v}^{\prime}$ and $f_{v}$, where the sum on the right ranges over a subset of the packet of $\tilde{\pi}_{v}$ by virtue of the rigidity theorem for $U(2,1 ; E / F)$ of [F2]. This subset consists only of generic $C_{\theta, v}^{1}$-cyclic representations. The $\left\{\pi_{v}\right\}$ and $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ are uniquely determined by each other since the packet of $\left\{\pi_{v}\right\}$ is determined by $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ via base-change and endoscopic liftings and the Whittaker-Period distributions are linearly independent.

Definition. A $D_{\theta, v}$-distinguished generic representation $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ of $G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$ and a $C_{\theta, v}^{1}$-cyclic generic representation $\pi_{v}$ of $G_{v}=U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$ are said to correspond if they satisfy the relation (11.1) for all matching $f_{v}^{\prime}$ and $f_{v}$.

We shall use this definition of correspondence only for representations for which the identity of the Whittaker-Period distributions is established, namely this is done below only for square-integrable $\pi_{v}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{v}$. It is perhaps best at this stage to define the local correspondence as the composition of the (inverse of the) $\kappa_{v}$-unstable base change from $D_{\theta, v}=U\left(2, F_{v}\right)$ to $D_{v}^{\prime}=$ $G L\left(2, E_{v}\right)$, and the $\kappa_{v}$-endoscopic lifting from $D_{\theta, v}$ to $G_{v}=U\left(2,1 ; F_{v}\right)$.

Next we shall list the generic $C_{\theta, v}^{1}$-cyclic $G_{v}$-modules, and relate them to the generic $D_{\theta, v}$-distinguished $D_{v}^{\prime}$-modules. This has already been done in [F6] when $\theta=1$, hence we assume here that $\theta \in F_{v}-N E_{v}$, in particular that $E_{v}=E \otimes_{F} F_{v}$ is a field. We also compare the notion of being $C_{\theta, v^{-}}^{1}$ cyclic with being $C_{1, v}^{1}$-cyclic, for a generic representation of $G_{v}$. To simplify the notations, we use local notations (drop $v$ ) in the following.

Let $E / F$ be a quadratic extension of non-archimedean local fields with $\operatorname{char} F \neq 2$, and $\theta \in F-N E$.
12. Proposition. (a) The correspondence is a bijection relating the generic $D_{\theta^{-}}$-distinguished irreducible admissible representations $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L(2, E)$ with the packets of the generic $C_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic irreducible admissible representations $\pi$ of $U(2,1 ; F)$.
(b) The packet of a generic $C_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic $\pi$ contains a $C_{1}^{1}$-cyclic generic $\pi_{1}$. The packet of a generic $C_{1}^{1}$-cyclic $\pi_{1}$ contains a generic $C_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic $\pi$ precisely when $\pi_{1}$ does not correspond to any $\pi^{\prime}=I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{i}^{\prime}(z)=\mu_{i}(z / \bar{z})(z \in$ $\left.E^{\times}\right), \mu_{i}: E^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$, namely $\pi_{1}$ is not the $\kappa$-endoscopic lift of any $\pi_{0}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), \mu_{1} \neq \mu_{2}$, on $U(2, F)$.

Remark. Here $\pi=\pi_{1}$ when $\pi_{1}$ is not supercuspidal, and perhaps also for supercuspidal $\pi_{1}$, but this is not shown here.

Proof. We shall go through the list of induced representations, their constituents, and supercuspidals, to verify our claims.
(1) If $\pi^{\prime}$ is induced, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{\theta}}\left(\pi^{\prime}, 1\right) \neq\{0\}$ when $\pi^{\prime}=I^{\prime}\left(\mu, \bar{\mu}^{-1}\right)$, by Proposition 3. When $\mu=\omega / \xi_{2}$ on $E^{1}$ (equivalently $\mu^{\prime}=\omega^{\prime} / \xi_{2}^{\prime}$ on $E^{\times}$), $\pi^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\pi=I(\mu)$. All induced $\pi$ with $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(\pi, \xi_{2}\right) \neq 0$ are of the form $\pi=I(\mu), \mu=\omega / \xi_{2}$ on $E^{1}$, if $C=C_{\theta}^{1}$ and if $C=C_{1}^{1}$, by Proposition $2^{\prime}$. Both (a) and (b) follow in this case.
(2) If $\pi$ is the Steinberg $\operatorname{St}(\mu \nu)$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}\left(\operatorname{St}(\mu \nu), \xi_{2}\right)=\{0\}$ by the corollary to Proposition 2, where $C=C_{\theta}^{1}$ or $C=C_{1}^{1}$.
(3) The reducible induced $\pi=I(\mu)$ are listed in [F1, (3.2), page 558]. There are three cases of reducibility. The third in that list is the Steinberg, disposed of in (2) above. If $\mu$ is unitary, reducibility occurs precisely when $\mu \mid F^{\times}=1$ and $\mu^{3} \neq \omega^{\prime}$. Since $I(\mu)$ is tempered, it is the direct sum of its two irreducible constituents, denoted in [F1, (3.2(1))], by $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$. Then (1) above asserts that the packet $\left\{\pi^{+}, \pi^{-}\right\}$, which corresponds to $I^{\prime}\left(\mu, \bar{\mu}^{-1}\right), \mu=\omega / \xi_{2}$ on $E^{1}, \mu \neq \xi_{2}^{\prime}$ on $E^{\times}$, contains a generic $C_{i}$-cyclic representation, with $i=1$ and with $i=\theta$. Since only one of $\pi^{+}, \pi^{-}$is generic, namely $\pi^{+}$, it is both $C_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic and $C_{1}^{1}$-cyclic.
(4) The square-integrable ("special" or "Steinberg") subrepresentation $\operatorname{sp}(\mu \kappa)$ of $I^{\prime}\left(\mu \kappa \nu^{1 / 2}\right)=I^{\prime}\left(\mu \kappa \nu^{1 / 2}, \mu \kappa \nu^{-1 / 2}\right)$, where $\mu: E^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $\kappa: E^{\times} / N E^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$has $\kappa \mid F^{\times} \neq 1$, is $D_{\theta}$-distinguished (and $G L(2, F)$ distinguished) precisely when $\mu \mid F^{\times}=1$, by Proposition 3. It is the $\kappa$-basechange of a special representation of $U(1,1 ; F)$, which corresponds to a one-dimensional representation of the anisotropic inner form $U(2 ; F)$. Using the trace formula on an anisotropic group $U(2 ; A)$ over a global field whose component at some place is our local $U(2 ; F)$, we can view this special representation as a component of a global cuspidal representation, then lift the global representation via the $\kappa$-base-change map as in [F4] to a cuspidal representation of $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}$ which is $\mathbb{D}_{\theta}$-distinguished, whose component at
our local place is our $\operatorname{sp}(\mu \kappa)$. Proposition 11, together with the usage of the rigidity theorem on $U(2,1 ; \mathbb{A})$ of [F2] explained in the proof of Proposition 10, implies that $\operatorname{sp}(\mu \kappa)$ corresponds to the square-integrable subrepresentation $\pi_{\mu}^{+}$of the induced $I\left(\mu \kappa \nu^{1 / 2}\right)$ of [F1, (3.2(2))]; this $I\left(\mu \kappa \nu^{1 / 2}\right)$ is reducible precisely when $\mu \mid F^{\times}=1$, and its quotient is non-tempered and non-generic, denoted by $\pi_{\mu}^{\times}$in [F1, (3.2(2))]. Moreover, this $\pi_{\mu}^{+}$is the only term in the sum on the right of (11.1), and it is generic and $C_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic (and also $C_{1}^{1}$-cyclic). This completes the proof of (a) and (b) for the nonsupercuspidal $\pi$ (and $\pi^{\prime}$ ). Note that the packet of $\operatorname{sp}(\mu \kappa)$ contains also a supercuspidal which we expect to be neither generic nor cyclic but have not shown this as yet. This supercuspidal is classified here according to its packet, hence it does not appear in (5) below.
(5) Given a supercuspidal $D_{\theta}$-distinguished representation $\pi^{\prime}$ of $G L(2, E)$ it is easy to construct a global cuspidal $\mathbb{D}_{\theta}$-distinguished representation of $\mathbb{D}^{\prime}$ whose component at some place (where the global $\theta$ is not a norm) is our local one. Applying Proposition 11 we conclude that the packet which corresponds to $\pi^{\prime}$ contains a generic $C_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic $\pi$; the packet of $\pi$ consists of supercuspidals by [F2] (since our correspondence is $\kappa$-base-change composed with $\kappa$-endoscopic lifting).

Conversely, given a generic supercuspidal $C_{\theta}$-cyclic $\pi$ (which is not in the packet of $\operatorname{sp}(\mu \kappa)$ ) we may construct a global cuspidal $\mathbb{C}_{\theta}$-cyclic representation whose component (at a place where the global $\theta$ is not a norm) is our local $\pi$, as in [F4, Proposition 14] (as corrected in [FH, after Proposition B17]). Applying Proposition 11, we conclude that $\pi$ corresponds to
 the results of [F2] on the $\kappa$-endo-lifting.

If $\pi$ is a generic supercuspidal $C_{1}^{1}$-cyclic representation of $G=$ $U(2,1)$, then by [F6] it corresponds either to a supercuspidal $G L(2, F)$ distinguished representation of $G L(2, E)$, or to an induced $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, $\mu_{i}^{\prime}: E^{\times} / F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}, \mu_{1}^{\prime} \neq \mu_{2}^{\prime}$. Theorem 0.1 of $[\mathrm{FH}]$ establishes that a supercuspidal representation of $G L(2, E)$ is $G L(2, F)$-distinguished if and only if it is $D_{\theta}$-distinguished. This result was proven independently and by purely local means by D. Prasad [P]. Hence the packets of the generic supercuspidal $C_{\theta}^{1}$-cyclic $\pi$ are the packets of the generic supercuspidal $C_{1}^{1}$-cyclic $\pi$ which correspond to the supercuspidal distinguished $\pi^{\prime}$, but not to the induced $I^{\prime}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}\right), \mu_{1}^{\prime} \neq \mu_{2}^{\prime}, \mu_{i}^{\prime}: E^{\times} / F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{1}$, as asserted.
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