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## 0. Introduction

Let $F$ be a local or global field of characteristic 0 . Let $\bar{F}$ be an algebraic closure of $F$. For any field extension $K$ of $F$ in $\bar{F}$ put $G(K)=P G L(3, K), H(K)=S L(2, K), H_{1}(K)$ $=P G L(2, K), \quad G=G(\bar{F}), \quad H=H(\bar{F}), \quad H_{1}=H_{1}(\bar{F}), \quad J=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & & 1 \\ & -1 & \\ 1 & & 0\end{array}\right), \quad$ and $\quad \sigma(g)$
$=J^{t} g^{-1} J$ for $g$ in $G$. The elements $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ of $G(F)$ are called (stably) $\sigma$-conjugate if there is $h$ in $G(F)$ (resp. $G$ ) with $\delta^{\prime}=\mathrm{h} \delta \sigma\left(\mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$. Conjugacy and stable conjugacy is defined analogously for $H(F)$ and $H_{1}(F)$ on omitting $\sigma$.

In Sect. 1 we show that the map $N$ described in (1)-(5) below is a well-defined bijection, called the norm map, from the set of stable $\sigma$-conjugacy classes in $G(F)$, to the set of stable conjugacy classes in $H(F)$. We also define a surjection $N_{1}$ from the subset of this set described in (1), (2), (3), (4), to the set of stable conjugacy classes in $H_{1}(F)$. In the case (4) $N_{1}$ actually relates conjugacy classes. Let $\delta$ be an element of $G(F)$. The set of eigenvalues of $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ is of the form $\left\{\lambda, 1, \lambda^{-1}\right\}$.
(1) If $\delta \sigma(\delta)=1$, put $N \delta=1$ and $N_{1} \delta=1$.
(2) If $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ is a non-trivial unipotent, let $N \delta$ and $N_{1} \delta$ be the non-trivial unipotent classes.
(3) If the eigenvalues $\lambda, 1, \lambda^{-1}$ of $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ are distinct, let $N \delta$ be the class in $H(F)$ determined by the eigenvalues $\lambda, \lambda^{-1}$, and $N_{1} \delta$ the class in $H_{1}(F)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda, 1$.

Put $e=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. For $h=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$, put $h_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d\end{array}\right)$.
(4) If $\delta \sigma(\delta)=h_{1}, h=(-1) \cdot$ non-trivial unipotent in $G L(2, F)$, put $N \delta=h$, and $N_{1} \delta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ if $\delta=(a e)_{1}$, with $a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \alpha \neq 0$.
(5) If $\delta \sigma(\delta)=h_{1}, h \neq-1$ in $G L(2, F)$, put $N \delta=-1$.

In Sects. 2 and $3, F$ is a local field, $f$ is a smooth (namely locally constant if $F$ is non-archimedean) compactly supported function on $G(F), f_{0}$ is such a function on

[^0]$H_{0}(F)=H(F), f_{1}$ on $H_{1}(F)$. Put
$$
\Phi_{f}(\delta)=\int_{G_{g}(F) \backslash G(F)} f\left(g^{-1} \delta \sigma(g)\right), \quad \Phi_{f_{0}}(\gamma)=\int_{H_{\gamma}(F) \backslash \mathbf{H}(F)} f_{0}\left(g^{-1} \gamma g\right)
$$
and a similar definition for $\Phi_{f_{1}}(\gamma)$, where $H_{\gamma}(F)$ is the centralizer of $\gamma$ in $H(F)$ and $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}(F)$ is the $\sigma$-centralizer of $\delta$ in $G(F)$. If $N \delta \neq 1$ put
$$
\Phi_{f}^{s t}(\delta)=\sum_{\delta^{\prime}} \Phi_{f}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

The sum ranges over a set of representatives for the $\sigma$-conjugacy classes in the stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $\delta$. If $N \delta=1$ put

$$
\Phi_{f}^{s t}(\delta)=\sum_{\delta^{\prime}} \kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{f}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

The definition of $\kappa$ is given in Sect. 1 ; it is too long to recall here. $\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}(\gamma)$ is given by $\sum_{\gamma^{\prime}} \Phi_{f_{0}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\gamma$. These orbital integrals depend on a choice of Haar measures.
For a suitable choice of measures, studied in Sect. 2, implicit in our notations, we say that $f$ and $f_{0}$ have matching orbital integrals if they satisfy the relation $\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}(\gamma)$ $=\Phi_{f}^{\text {st }}(\delta)$ for all $\gamma, \delta$ with $\gamma=N \delta$. In this case we write $f_{0}=\lambda^{*}(f)$. Proposition 3.1.1 asserts that for each $f$ there exists $f_{0}$, and for each $f_{0}$ there exists $f$, with $f_{0}=\lambda^{*}(f)$.

If $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ has distinct eigenvalues $\lambda, 1, \lambda^{-1}$, put

We write $f_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}(f)$ if

$$
\Phi_{f}^{\mathrm{lab}}(\delta)=\sum_{\delta^{\prime}} \kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{f}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

$$
\Phi_{f_{1}}(\gamma)=\left|(1+\lambda)\left(1+\lambda^{-1}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} \Phi_{f}^{1 \mathrm{ab}}(\delta)
$$

for all $\gamma=N_{1} \delta$ with distinct eigenvalues, for suitably related measures. Proposition 3.5.1 asserts that for each $f$ there is $f_{1}$, and for each $f_{1}$ there is $f$, with $f_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}(f)$. The values of the integrals at the singular set are given in 3.6.1 and 3.7.

In Sect. 4, $F$ is a local non-archimedean field with ring $R$ of integers, $K=G(R)$, $K_{0}=H(R) ; f, f_{0}$ are the characteristic functions of $K, K_{0}$ divided by the volumes of $K, K_{0}$. The main result, Proposition 4.5 , asserts that $f_{0}=\lambda^{*}(f)$, more precisely that $\Phi_{f}^{\text {st }}(\delta)=\Phi_{f_{0}}^{\text {st }}(\gamma)$ whenever $\gamma=N \delta$ is elliptic regular (the case of split $\gamma$ is easy; it is given in [1]). This result is extended to all spherical functions in [2, Sect. 2]. In [4] it is shown that $f_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}(f)$ for the above $f$, if $f_{1}$ is the characteristic function of $K_{1}=H_{1}(R)$, divided by the volume of $K_{1}$. The methods of [4] are completely different from those of the present article. They are global, and rely on the trace formula of [3] and some of the (weaker) results of [2]. The main theorem of the theory is proven in [2] in a special case, and in [5] in general, using our results here. The present paper is the initial part of our symmetric square project. For a general introduction to this project see [1]; for the final statement of the symmetric square theorem, which implies the multiplicity one theorem for all cuspidal representations of $S L(2)$ and the rigidity theorem for packets of $S L(2)$, see [5].

## 1. Norm Map

1.1. Conjugacy. Let $F$ be a local or global field of characteristic 0 , fix an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of $F, G$ an algebraic group defined over $F[$ so $G=G(\bar{F})]$ and $G(F)$ the $F$-rational points of $G, \sigma$ an automorphism of $G$ defined over $F$. The elements $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$
of $G(F)$ are called $\sigma$-conjugate if there is $h$ in $G(F)$ with $\delta^{\prime}=\mathrm{h} \delta \sigma\left(\mathrm{h}^{-1}\right)$. They are called stably $\sigma$-conjugate if there is $h$ in $G$ with $\delta^{\prime}=h \delta \sigma\left(h^{-1}\right)$. The term (stable) conjugacy (no mention of $\sigma$ ) is employed if $\sigma$ is the trivial automorphism.

The stable $\sigma$-conjugates of $\delta$ in $G(F)$ are described by the set $A(\delta)$ of $g$ in $G$ with $g \delta \sigma\left(g^{-1}\right)$ in $G(F)$. The map $A(\delta) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^{1}\left(F, G_{\delta}^{\sigma}\right)$, where $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}=\left\{g\right.$ in $\left.G ; g \delta \sigma\left(g^{-1}\right)=\delta\right\}$, by $g \mapsto\left\{\tau \mapsto g_{\tau}=g^{-1} \tau(g)\right\}$, factors through

$$
1 \longrightarrow D(\delta) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^{1}\left(F, G_{\delta}^{\sigma}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}(F, G),
$$

where the double coset space $D(\delta)=G(F) \backslash A(\delta) / G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ parametrizes the $\sigma$-conjugacy classes within the stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $\delta$.

The above definitions will be used with $G=P G L(3)$ and the (involution) outer automorphism $\sigma(g)=J^{t} g^{-1} J \quad\left(t\right.$ : transpose; -1 : inverse; $\left.J=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & & 1 \\ & -1 & \\ 1 & & 0\end{array}\right)\right)$, and also with $H=H_{0}=S L(2), H_{1}=P G L(2)=S O(3)$ and the trivial $\sigma$. If $\gamma$ lies in $H$ (or $H_{1}$ ) then $H_{\gamma}$ denotes the centralizer of $\gamma$ in $H$.

Our purpose is to define maps $N$ and $N_{1}$ from the set of stable $\sigma$-conjugacy classes in $G(F)$ to the sets of stable conjugacy classes in $H(F)$ and $H_{1}(F)$, and study their properties. Note that if $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ are (stably) $\sigma$-conjugate then $\delta \sigma(\delta), \delta^{\prime} \sigma\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)$ are (stably) conjugate.
1.2. Identity. If $\delta \sigma(\delta)=1$ we write $N \delta=1$ and $N_{1} \delta=1$. Then $\delta J==^{t}(\delta J)$ is symmetric, any two symmetric matrices are equivalent over $F$, hence for each $\delta^{\prime}$ with $\delta^{\prime} \sigma\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=1$ there is $S$ in $G$ with $\delta J=S \delta^{\prime} J^{t} S$, so that $\delta=S \delta^{\prime} \sigma\left(S^{-1}\right)$ and the $\delta$ with $\delta \sigma(\delta)=1$ form a single stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class.

For such $\delta$ the $\sigma$-centralizer $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ is $(P O(3, \delta J)=) S O(3, \delta J)$, the (projective $=$ ) special orthogonal group with respect to the form $\delta J$. Replacing $\delta$ by a $\sigma$-conjugate $u \delta \sigma\left(u^{-1}\right)$ or $\delta J$ by $u \delta J^{\prime} u$, implies replacing $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ by its conjugate $u G_{\delta}^{\sigma} u^{-1}$. Hence if $F$ is $\mathbb{R}$ or $p$-adic then there are two $\sigma$-conjugacy classes in the stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class of the $\delta$ with $N \delta=1$, corresponding to the split and non-split forms $\delta J$. Put $\kappa(\delta)=1$ if $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}=S O(3, \delta J)$ splits and $\kappa(\delta)=-1$ if it is anisotropic. If we put $\gamma=N \delta(=1)$ then there is a natural surjection $\varphi: H_{\gamma} \rightarrow G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ with kernel $\{ \pm 1\} . \varphi$ is not always defined over $F$, see (3.3).
1.3 Unipotent. If $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ is unipotent but not 1 we check by matrix multiplication that it is a regular unipotent $\left(\right.$ not conjugate to $\left.\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right)$. Alternatively, $\delta \sigma(\delta) v=v$ if and only if $\left(\delta J-^{t}(\delta J)\right) w=0$, where $w==^{t}(\delta J)^{-1} v$. Thus the 1 -eigenspace of $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ has the same dimension as the zero-eigenspace of the skew-symmetric matrix $\delta J-^{t}(\delta J)$, namely 1 or 3 , and $\delta \sigma(\delta) \neq 1$ is regular unipotent. Up to stable $\sigma$-conjugacy we may assume that $\delta \sigma(\delta)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 1 & 1 / 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, a $\sigma$-invariant matrix. Hence $\delta$ commutes with $\sigma(\delta)$ and $\delta \sigma(\delta)$, and it is unipotent of the form $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. These make a single $\sigma$-conjugacy class. The $\sigma$-centralizer $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ is the
additive group $\mathbb{G}_{a}, H^{1}\left(F, \mathbb{G}_{a}\right)$ is trivial, hence there is a unique $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $\delta$ with $\delta \sigma(\delta)=$ unipotent $\neq 1$, and we put $N \delta=$ unipotent $[$ in $H(F)]$. If $\gamma=N \delta$ then $H_{\gamma}=\{ \pm 1\} \times \mathbb{G}_{a}$ and there is a natural surjection $\varphi: H_{\gamma} \rightarrow G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ with kernel $\{ \pm 1\}$.
1.4 Negative I. If $\delta$ lies in $G L(3, F)$ then $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ lies in $S L(3, F)$. If $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ has the eigenvalue $\lambda$ so does ${ }^{t}(\delta \sigma(\delta))$. Hence for some vector $v$ we have $\lambda v={ }^{t}(\delta \sigma(\delta)) v$ $=J \delta^{-1} J^{t} \delta v$ and $\delta J^{t} \delta^{-1} J \cdot \delta J v=\lambda^{-1} \cdot \delta J v$, so that $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ has the eigenvalue $\lambda^{-1}$. Hence one of the eigenvalues of $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ is 1 , and the cases where all three eigenvalues are 1 were dealt with in (1.2) and (1.3). It remains to deal with the cases where two eigenvalues are not 1. Replacing $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ by a conjugate, hence $\delta$ by a $\sigma$-conjugate, we may assume that $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ is of the form $h_{1}$, where for any $h=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ in $G L(2)$, we put

$$
h_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & 0 & b \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
c & 0 & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\delta J$ takes $\lambda$-eigenvectors of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}}(\delta \sigma(\delta))$ to $\lambda^{-1}$-eigenvectors of $\delta \sigma(\delta)$, the assumption $\delta \sigma(\delta)=h_{1}$ implies that $\delta J$ fixes the subspaces $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ * \\ 0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{l}* \\ 0 \\ *\end{array}\right)$. So does $\delta$. Hence multiplying by a scalar we have $\delta=a_{1}$ for some $a$ in $G L(2)$.
1.4.1. Note that if $e=\left(\begin{array}{rr}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\delta=(a e)_{1}$, then $N \delta=h_{1}$, where $h=a e w^{t} a^{-1} e w$ $=\frac{-1}{\operatorname{det} a} a^{2}$, and $w=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
1.4.2. If $\delta^{\prime}=\left(a^{\prime} e\right)_{1}$ and $\delta^{\prime}=\beta^{-1} \delta \sigma(\beta)$ [hence $\delta^{\prime} \sigma\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\beta^{-1} \delta \sigma(\delta) \beta$ and $\beta=b_{1}$ for some $b$ in $G L(2)]$, then $a^{\prime} e=b^{-1} a e w^{t} b^{-1} w$ and $a^{\prime}=b^{-1} a(e w)^{t} b^{-1}(e w)^{-1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} b} b^{-1} a b$. Hence $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ are (stably) $\sigma$-conjugate if and only if $a, a^{\prime}$ are projectively (stably) conjugate.
1.4.3. If $\delta \sigma(\delta)=h_{1}$ and $h=-I$ in $G L(2)$ then $a^{2}=\operatorname{det} a\left(\delta=(a e)_{1}\right)$ and $a$ is a scalar $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha\end{array}\right)$. We put $N \delta=-I$, and note that all $\delta$ with $N \delta=-I$ form a single $\sigma$-conjugacy class, since

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & \alpha
\end{array}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha / \beta & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta & 0 \\
0 & \beta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta / \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

1.4.4. If $\delta \sigma(\delta)=h_{1}$ and $h=-$ unipotent $\neq-I$ in $G L(2)$, then up to conjugacy $h=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 2 \alpha \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, hence $a=u^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ with $\alpha \in F^{\times}, u \in F^{\times}$. But $a$ is equal to $\frac{1}{u}\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha u \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, hence projectively conjugate to $\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & \alpha u \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. Now $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\left(\alpha, \beta \in F^{\times}\right)$are (projectively) conjugate only if $\alpha / \beta$ is a square in
$F^{\times}$; they are clearly stably conjugate. Hence the $\sigma$-conjugacy classes within the single stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class of our $\delta$ are parametrized by $F^{\times} / F^{\times 2}$. If $\delta=(a e)_{1}$, $a=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \alpha \neq 0$, we let $N \delta$ be the stable conjugacy class of $h$ in $H(F)$, and define $N_{1} \delta$ to be the conjugacy class in $H_{1}(F)$ of elements which generate $F(\sqrt{\alpha})$ over $F$, and the quotient of whose eigenvalues is -1 . Such an element of $G L(2)$ is $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
1.5. Regulars. If the eigenvalues $\lambda, 1, \lambda^{-1}$ of $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ are distinct then they lie in a quadratic extension of $F$ (or in $F$ ) and define a stable conjugacy class $N \delta$ in $H(F)$ (with eigenvalues $\lambda, \lambda^{-1}$ ) and a (stable) conjugacy class $N_{1} \delta$ in $H_{1}(F)$ [with eigenvalues $\lambda, 1, \lambda^{-1}$ in $S O(3, F)$ or $\lambda, 1$ in $\left.P G L(2, F)\right]$. Given $\lambda$ there exist $\alpha, \beta$ in $F(\lambda)^{x}$ with $\alpha / \beta=-\lambda$; here $\beta=\bar{\alpha}$ and we use Hilbert Theorem 90 if $\lambda \notin F$. The pair $\alpha$, $\beta$ is determined up to a multiple by a scalar $u$ in $F^{\times}$. The matrix $\delta \sigma(\delta)\left(\delta=(a e)_{1}\right)$ has eigenvalues $\lambda, 1, \lambda^{-1}$ iff $a$ has eigenvalues $\alpha, \beta$ so that $\frac{-1}{\operatorname{det} a} a^{2}$ has eigenvalues $-\alpha / \beta$, $-\beta / \alpha$. Hence the norm map is onto the set of regular elements of $H(F)$, and the $\delta$ in $G(F)$ with regular $N \delta$ make a single stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class, as $a$ and $u a\left(u\right.$ in $\left.F^{\times}\right)$ are projectively stably conjugate.

But $a$ and $a^{\prime}=u^{-1} a$ are projectively conjugate only if $u^{-1} a=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} b} b^{-1} a b$ for some $b$ in $G L(2, F)$. Then $u^{2}=\operatorname{det} b^{2}$, and $u= \pm \operatorname{det} b$. If $u=-\operatorname{det} b$ then $-a$ $=b^{-1} a b, a$ has eigenvalues $\gamma,-\gamma$, and $h=I$ does not have eigenvalues different than 1. Hence $u=\operatorname{det} b, a=b^{-1} a b$ and $u=\operatorname{det} b$ lies in $N_{K / F} K^{\times}$, where $K=F(a)$. It follows that in the unique stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $\delta$ with regular $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ the $\sigma$-conjugacy classes are parametrized by $u$ in $F^{\times} / N K^{\times}, K=F(\delta \sigma(\delta))$. A set of representatives is given by $\delta=(u a e)_{1}$.
Corollary. Let $F$ be a global field, u a place of $F$, and $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ stably $\sigma$-conjugate but non $\sigma$-conjugate elements of $G(F)$. Then there is a place $v \neq u$ of $F$ such that $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ are not $\sigma$-conjugate in $G\left(F_{v}\right)$.
1.6. Kappa. If $N \delta$ is regular then $N \delta=1$, where $\delta=\frac{1}{2}\left[\delta J+{ }^{t}(\delta J)\right] J$. We define $\kappa(\delta)$ to be $\kappa(\delta)$ [see (1.2)], namely 1 if $S O(3, \delta J)$ is split and -1 otherwise. Note that if $\delta \sigma(\delta)=1$ then $\delta J==^{t}(\delta J)$ and $\delta=\delta$; the present definition then generalizes the one of (1.2).
1.6.1. $\kappa$ depends only on the $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $\delta$. Indeed if $\delta$ is replaced by $\beta \delta J^{t} \beta J$ then $\delta J+^{t}(\delta J)$ is replaced by

$$
\beta \delta J^{t} \beta+\beta J^{t} \delta^{t} \beta=\beta\left[\delta J+{ }^{t}(\delta J)\right]^{t} \beta,
$$

and the form $\delta J+^{t}(\delta J)$ splits if and only if $\beta\left[\delta J+{ }^{t}(\delta J)\right]^{t} \beta$ does.
1.6.2. If $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ are stably $\sigma$-conjugate with regular norm, but they are not conjugate, then the forms $\delta J$ and $\delta^{\prime} J$ are not equivalent [see (1.5)], and $\kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=-\kappa(\delta)$. Thus if $\delta=(a e)_{1}$ and $\delta^{\prime}=(\text { uae })_{1}$, then $\kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\chi(\mathrm{u}) \kappa(\delta), \chi$ being the quadratic character of $F^{\times}$ trivial on $N K^{\times}, K=F(\delta \sigma(\delta))$.
1.6.3. If $N \delta=\gamma$ is regular in $H(F)$ then $G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \cong H_{\gamma}$. Indeed, if $g^{-1} \delta \sigma(\mathrm{~g})=\delta$ then $g^{-1} \delta \sigma(\delta) g=\delta \sigma(\delta)$; if $\delta=(a e)_{1}$ then $g=b_{1}$ and $b^{-1} a b=a$, since $\delta \sigma(\delta)=h_{1}$,
$h=\frac{-1}{\operatorname{det} a} a^{2}$. Hence $b^{-1} a e w^{t} b^{-1} w e=a$, namely $\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} b} b^{-1} a b=1$, so that $\operatorname{det} b=1$. It is clear that $H_{y}=H_{a}$.

It is clear that $H_{\gamma} \cong G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ also in the cases (1.4.3), (1.4.4).
1.7. Lemma. Suppose that $J$ is a linear algebraic group, defined over a local field $F$, in the matrix algebra $M, \delta$ is in $J(F)$ and $\varepsilon$ in the centralizer $J_{\delta}(F)$ of $\delta$ in $J(F)$ is near 1. Then $J_{\varepsilon \delta} \subset J_{\delta}$.

Proof. $J$ acts on $M$ by inner automorphisms, and $M=\oplus M(\lambda)$ if we enlarge $F$; the sum is over the eigenvalues $\lambda$ of $\delta$ and $M(\lambda)$ is the corresponding eigenspace. $J_{\delta}$ is the intersection of $J$ and $M(1)$. Since $\varepsilon$ lies in $J_{\delta}(F), \varepsilon \delta$ leaves each $M(\lambda)$ invariant. If $\varepsilon$ is near 1 all fixed vectors of $\varepsilon \delta$ lie in $M(1)$. Indeed, if $v$ lies in $M(\lambda)$, then $v=\varepsilon \delta \cdot v$ $=\lambda \varepsilon \cdot v$ and $\lambda^{-1}$ is an eigenvalue of $\varepsilon$. This is impossible if $\lambda \neq 1$ and $\varepsilon$ is near 1 . But then $J_{\varepsilon \delta} \subset J \cap M(1)=J_{\delta}$, as required.

Applying the lemma with $J=G>\{\{1, \sigma\}$ and $\delta$ in $G(F)$, we have:
1.7.1. Corollary. If $\varepsilon$ in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}(F)$ is near 1 then $G_{\varepsilon \delta}^{\sigma} \subset G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$.
1.8. Lemma. If $N \delta=1, \varepsilon \in G_{\delta}^{o}(F)$ is near 1 and $N(\varepsilon \delta)$ has distinct eigenvalues, then $\kappa(\varepsilon \delta)=\kappa(\delta)$.
Proof. $\varepsilon \delta J+^{t}(\varepsilon \delta J)=\varepsilon \delta J+{ }^{t}\left(\delta J^{t} \varepsilon^{-1}\right)=\varepsilon \delta J+\varepsilon^{-1 t}(\delta J)=\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \delta J . \kappa(\varepsilon \delta)$ is 1 if and only if $G_{\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \delta / 2}^{\sigma}$ splits; but this is contained in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ by Corollary 1.7.1. Hence the two special orthogonal groups split together.
1.9. Lemma. If $N \delta=-I ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}$ in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}(F) \cong H(F)$ are stably conjugate but not conjugate, and $N(\varepsilon \delta)$ has distinct eigenvalues, then $\kappa(\delta \delta)=-\kappa\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta\right)$.
Proof. We may assume that $\delta=e_{1}, e=\left(\begin{array}{rr}-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, and then $\varepsilon=a_{1}, \varepsilon^{\prime}=a_{1}^{\prime}$, with $a, a^{\prime}$ in $S L(2, F) . \varepsilon \delta$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta$ are $\sigma$-conjugate (and define equivalent forms) if and only if $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ are conjugate (not only projectively conjugate, since $N(\varepsilon \delta)$ has distinct eigenvalues).

## 2. Differential Forms

2.1. To compare orbital integrals on different groups we need to compare Haar measures, or differential forms which we always take to be invariant of highest degree. To introduce these differential forms we need to recall the construction from [9, Lemma 6.1]. Let $\mathbb{G}_{a}$ be the additive group and $\zeta: H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a}$ the trace map. If $\gamma$ has distinct eigenvalues $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}=\gamma_{1}^{-1}$, then the differential $d \zeta$ of $\zeta$ at $\gamma$ is given by

$$
d \zeta=d \gamma_{1}+d \gamma_{2}=d \gamma_{1}-\frac{d \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}^{2}}=\gamma_{1} \frac{d \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}-\gamma_{1}^{-1} \frac{d \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}=\left(\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}\right) \frac{d \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}},
$$

and it is non-zero. At a neighborhood of $\gamma$ with $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}$ we may assume that $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ with $a \neq 0, d=(1+b c) / a$; then $\zeta(\gamma)=a+d$ has the differential $\left(1-a^{-2}(1+b c)\right) d a+\frac{c}{a} d b+\frac{b}{a} d c$. It vanishes only if $a^{2}=1+b c, b=0, c=0$, namely at $\gamma= \pm I$. The subset $H_{r}$ of $H$ where $d \zeta$ is non-zero is called the regular set.

Fix (non-zero invariant) differential forms $\omega_{H}$ and $\mu$ (of highest degrees 3 and 1) on $H$ and $\mathbb{G}_{a} . \mu$ defines a non-zero invariant form $\omega_{\gamma}(\mu)$ on $H_{\gamma}$ (which is independent of $\omega_{H}$ ). If $\mu=d x$ then $\omega_{\gamma}(\mu)=\frac{d \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}$ or $=d x$ if $\gamma= \pm\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. If $\gamma$ is stably conjugate to $\gamma^{\prime}$ then $\omega_{\gamma^{\prime}}(\mu)$ is obtained from $\omega_{\gamma}(\mu)$ by transport of structure. The fibers of $\zeta$ are the stable conjugacy classes in $H_{r}$ and the quotient of $\omega_{H}$ by $\mu$ defines an invariant form on the fibers of $\zeta$ in $H_{r}$.

In $\left[9\right.$, Sect. 6], the corresponding map $\tilde{\zeta}$ is from $G L(2)$ to $X=\mathbb{G}_{a}^{2}$, by $\tilde{\zeta}(\gamma)=(\operatorname{tr} \gamma$, $\operatorname{det} \gamma)=(a+d, a d-b c)$. It has $2 \times 4$ differential $\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ d & -c & -b & a\end{array}\right)^{t}(d a d b d c d d)$, which is non-singular if one of $a-d, b, c$ is non-zero. The singular set consists of the scalars. Our $\omega_{\gamma}(\mu)$ is denoted there by $\eta_{\gamma}$.
2.2. Similarly, let $\xi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a}$ be defined by $\xi(\delta)=\operatorname{tr} N \delta$. To compute its differential note that $\xi(\delta)+1=\operatorname{tr}\left(\delta J^{t} \delta^{-1} J\right)$. Then $d \xi$ is the trace of the differential of the map $\delta \mapsto \delta J^{\mathbf{t}} \delta^{-1} J$, which is

$$
d \delta \cdot J^{t} \delta^{-1} J+\delta J \cdot d\left(\delta^{t} \delta^{-1}\right) \cdot J
$$

But

$$
0=d I=d\left(\delta \delta^{-1}\right)=d \delta \cdot \delta^{-1}+\delta \cdot d \delta^{-1}
$$

hence

$$
d \delta^{-1}=-\delta^{-1} \cdot d \delta \cdot \delta^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left[\delta J \cdot{ }^{t} \delta^{-1} \cdot d\left(^{t} \delta\right) \cdot{ }^{t} \delta^{-1} \cdot J\right]=\operatorname{tr}\left[J \delta^{-1} \cdot d \delta \cdot \delta^{-1} J^{t} \delta\right]=\operatorname{tr}\left[d \delta \cdot \delta^{-1} \cdot J^{t} \delta J \delta^{-1}\right]
$$

So

$$
\delta \xi=\operatorname{tr} d \delta\left[\sigma(\delta)-\delta^{-1} \sigma\left(\delta^{-1}\right) \delta^{-1}\right]
$$

Then $d \xi$ is non-zero for all $d \delta$ only if $\delta \sigma(\delta)=(\delta \sigma(\delta))^{-1}$ has square 1 , hence has eigenvalues 1 or -1 . Since $\delta \sigma(\delta)$ has determinant 1 , it is semi-simple and $N \delta$ is $\pm I$. We conclude that the regular set $G_{r}$ of $G$ of $\delta$ where $d \xi \neq 0$ consists of all $\delta$ with $N \delta \neq \pm I$.

The fibers of $\xi$ on the regular set $G_{r}$ are stable $\sigma$-conjugacy classes. We fix an invariant differential form $\omega_{G}$ of highest degree on $G$. As above $\mu$ determines an invariant form $\omega_{\delta}(\mu)$ of maximal degree on $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$. If $\delta^{\prime}$ is stably $\sigma$-conjugate to $\delta$ then $\mathrm{G}_{\delta^{\prime}}^{\sigma}$ is isomorphic to $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ over $\bar{F}$ and $\omega_{\delta}(\mu)$ transforms to a form $\omega_{\delta^{\prime}}(\mu)$ of $G_{\delta^{\prime}}^{\sigma}$.
2.3. The map $\varphi: H_{y} \rightarrow G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ of (1.2) and (1.6.3) can be used to pull back the form $\omega_{\delta}(\mu)$ to a form $\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta}(\mu)\right)$ on $H_{\gamma}$. The comparison is given by
2.3.1. Lemma. The form $\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta}(\mu)\right)$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\gamma}(\mu)$.
2.4. The trace map $\zeta_{1}: H_{1}=S O(3) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a}$ is smooth on the regular set $H_{1 r}$ of $\gamma_{1}$ with distinct eigenvalues, and $\omega_{\gamma_{1}}(\mu)$ can be introduced for such $\gamma_{1}$. Note that the centralizer $H_{1 \gamma_{1}}$ of $\gamma_{1}$ in $H_{1}$ is isomorphic to $G_{\delta}^{\delta}$. The pullback of $\omega_{\delta}(\mu)$ to $H_{1 \gamma_{1}}$ is denoted again by $\omega_{\delta}(\mu)$.
2.4.1. Lemma. If $\gamma_{1}=N_{1} \delta$ has distinct eigenvalues $1, \gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}=\gamma^{-1}$ (see (1.5)) then $\omega_{\gamma_{1}}(\mu)=2\left(1+\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\gamma^{\prime \prime}\right) \omega_{\delta}(\mu)$.

The two lemmas are verified below.
2.5. Suppose that $\delta \times \sigma$ is semi-simple in $G>\left\langle\langle\sigma\rangle\right.$ (hence $\gamma=N \delta$ and $\gamma_{1}=N_{1} \delta$ are semi-simple in $H$ and $H_{1}$ ). Choose a neighborhood $X_{\delta}$ of the trivial coset $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \backslash G$, a section $s: G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \backslash G \rightarrow G$, and a neighborhood $Y_{\delta}$ of the identity in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ so that the morphism $Y_{\dot{\delta}} \times X_{\dot{\delta}} \rightarrow G,(\varepsilon, g) \mapsto s(g)^{-1} \varepsilon \delta \sigma(s(g))$ is an immersion (its differential is non-singular at each point). For a local field $F$ the map $Y_{\delta}(F) \times X_{\delta}(F) \rightarrow G(F)$ is an analytic isomorphism onto an open subset of $G(F) . X_{\gamma}, Y_{\gamma}, X_{\gamma_{1}}, Y_{\gamma_{1}}$ can be introduced for $\gamma$ in $H, \gamma_{1}$ in $H_{1}$.
2.6 Lemma. Locally the invariant form $\omega_{G}$ on $G$ can be taken to be $\Theta(\varepsilon) \omega_{\delta}^{1} \wedge \omega^{2}$, where $\omega_{\delta}^{1}$ is an invariant form of maximal degree on $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}, \omega^{2}$ a highest degree invariant form on $G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \backslash G$, and $\Theta(\varepsilon)$ is the determinant of the transformation $1-\operatorname{Ad}(\varepsilon \delta \times \sigma)$ on the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \backslash G\right)$ of $G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \backslash G$.
Proof. To compute the differential we introduce an extension $F(\eta)$ of $F$, the quotient of the polynomial ring $F[x]$ by the ideal $\left(x^{2}\right)$. For any algebraic group $J$ over $F$ there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie} J(F) \rightarrow J(F(\eta)) \rightarrow J(F) \rightarrow 1
$$

with maps $X \mapsto 1+\eta X, h(1+\eta X) \mapsto h$. To study the map $(\varepsilon, h) \mapsto h^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon \delta \times \sigma \cdot h(\varepsilon$ in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}, h$ in $\left.G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \backslash G\right)$ we replace $h$ by $(1+\eta Y) h$, where $Y$ is in $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \backslash G\right)$, and $\varepsilon \delta \times \sigma$ by $(\varepsilon \delta \times \sigma)(1+\eta X)$. Then $h^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon \delta \times \sigma \cdot h$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{-1}(1-\eta Y)(\varepsilon \delta \times \sigma)(1+\eta X)(1+\eta Y) h \\
& \quad=h^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon \delta \times \sigma \cdot(1-\eta \cdot \operatorname{Ad}(\varepsilon \delta \times \sigma) Y)(1+\eta(X+Y)) h \\
& \quad=h^{-1} \cdot \varepsilon \delta \times \sigma \cdot[1+\eta(X+[1-\operatorname{Ad}(\varepsilon \delta \times \sigma)] Y)] \cdot h .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used the relation $(1+\eta Y)^{-1}=1-\eta Y$, and $Y \varepsilon=\varepsilon \cdot$ Ad $\varepsilon \cdot Y$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{G}(X+Y) & =\omega^{1}(X) \wedge \omega^{2}([1-\operatorname{Ad}(\varepsilon \delta \times \sigma)] Y) \\
& =\Theta(\varepsilon) \cdot \omega^{1}(X) \wedge \omega^{2}(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
2.7. Let $\xi^{\prime}: G_{\delta}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a}$ be $\xi^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=\xi(\varepsilon \delta)=\operatorname{tr} N(\varepsilon \delta)$. Then $\xi^{\prime}, \mu$, and $\omega_{\delta}^{1}$ can be used as above to define a form $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\mu)$ on the centralizer of $\varepsilon$ in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$, which is equal to $G_{\varepsilon \delta}^{\sigma}$ by Corollary 1.7.1 if $\varepsilon$ is near 1. One has $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\mu)=\Theta(\varepsilon) \omega_{8 \delta}(\mu)$.

Similarly we have $\omega_{H}=\theta(\eta) \omega_{\gamma}^{1} \wedge \omega^{2}, \omega_{H_{1}}=\theta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \omega_{\gamma_{1}}^{1} \wedge \omega^{2}$, where $\theta(\eta)$ and $\theta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)$ are the functions $\operatorname{det}[1-\operatorname{Ad}(\eta \gamma)]_{\text {Lie } H_{\gamma} \backslash H}, \operatorname{det}\left[1-\operatorname{Ad}\left(\eta_{1} \gamma_{1}\right)\right]_{\text {Lie } H_{1 \gamma_{\nu}} \backslash H_{H}}$, on $H_{\gamma}$ and $H_{1 \gamma_{1}}$. The maps $\zeta^{\prime}(\eta)=\operatorname{tr}(\eta \gamma), \zeta_{1}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\eta_{1} \gamma_{1}\right)$ are used to define $\omega_{\eta}^{\prime}(\mu), \omega_{\eta_{1}}^{\prime}(\mu)$, and we have $\omega_{\eta}^{\prime}(\mu)=\theta(\eta) \omega_{\eta \gamma}(\mu), \omega_{\eta_{1}}^{\prime}(\mu)=\theta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \omega_{\eta_{1} \gamma_{1}}(\mu)$.
2.8. If $\gamma=N \delta, \gamma_{1}=N_{1} \delta$ and $\varepsilon$ is in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$, then $\varepsilon \delta \sigma(\varepsilon \delta)=\varepsilon^{2} \delta \sigma(\delta)$ and $\varepsilon$ commutes with $\delta \sigma(\delta)$, so that $N(\varepsilon \delta)=\eta \gamma\left(\eta\right.$ in $\left.H_{\gamma}\right), N_{1}(\varepsilon \delta)=\eta_{1} \gamma_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right.$ in $\left.H_{1 \gamma_{1}}\right)$. To compute $\Theta(\varepsilon), \theta(\eta)$, $\theta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)$ we may assume that $\varepsilon$, hence $\eta, \eta_{1}$, is semi-simple, since these functions depend only on the semi-simple parts of $\varepsilon, \eta, \eta_{1}$ in their Jordan decomposition.

Further, we can work over the algebraic closure $\bar{F}$, and take $\delta$ to be the diagonal matrix ( $a, b, c$ ). Then $\varepsilon$ can also be taken to be diagonal; hence $\varepsilon=\left(d, 1, d^{-1}\right)$ since it lies in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$. If the eigenvalues of $N(\varepsilon \delta)$ are denoted by $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}=\beta_{1}^{-1}$, then it is easily checked that:
2.8.1. If $\gamma=1$ then $\theta(\eta)=1$ and

$$
\Theta(\varepsilon)=2(1+d)\left(1+d^{-1}\right)\left(1+d^{2}\right)\left(1+d^{-2}\right) .
$$

2.8.2. If $\gamma=-1$ then $\theta(\eta)=1$ and

$$
\Theta(\varepsilon)=2\left(1+d^{2}\right)\left(1+d^{-2}\right)
$$

2.8.3. If $\gamma \neq \pm 1$ then $\theta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\left(1-\beta_{1}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}\right)$,

$$
\Theta(\varepsilon)=2\left(1-\beta_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}^{2}\right), \quad \theta(\eta)=\left(1-\beta_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

2.9. To verify the lemmas it suffices to take the standard form $\mu=d x$ on $\mathbb{G}_{a}$. If $N \delta$ has distinct eigenvalues then $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ is abelian, one-dimensional, and isomorphic to $H_{\gamma}$ and to $H_{1 \gamma_{1} .}$. As in (2.1) we compute

$$
\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)^{*}(\mu)=d \xi^{\prime}=\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \frac{d \beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}
$$

But $\omega_{\delta}^{1}=e \frac{d \beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}$ for some constant $e$. It is the product of $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\mu)$ and the quotient $\omega_{\delta}^{1} /\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)^{*}(\mu)=e /\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)$ of one-forms on $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ and $\mathbb{G}_{a}$. The same computation yields the same value for $\omega_{\eta}^{\prime}(\mu)$ and $\omega_{\eta_{1}}^{\prime}(\mu)$. So it remains to note that $\Theta(\varepsilon) / \theta(\eta)=2$ and $\Theta(\varepsilon) / \theta_{1}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=2\left(1+\beta_{1}\right)\left(1+\beta_{2}\right)$, and $\beta_{i}=\gamma_{i}$ when $\varepsilon=1$, to have Lemmas (2.3.1), (2.4.1) for $\delta$ with $N \delta \neq \pm I$.
2.10. If $\gamma=N \delta$ is $I$ or $-I$ then the epimorphism $\varphi: H_{\gamma} \rightarrow G_{\delta}^{\sigma}, \varphi\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\varepsilon$, satisfies $\eta=N\left(\varphi\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)=\eta_{1}^{a}$ with $a=4$ if $\gamma=I$ and $a=2$ if $\gamma=-I$. Indeed, if $\gamma=I$ we may take $\delta=I$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in H_{\gamma} \\
& =S L_{2} \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{2} & & 0 \\
& 1 & \\
0 & & a^{-2}
\end{array}\right) \in G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \stackrel{N}{\mapsto}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{4} & & 0 \\
& 1 & \\
0 & & a^{-4}
\end{array}\right) \cong \eta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{4} & 0 \\
0 & a^{-4}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\gamma=-I$ we may take $\delta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \\ 0 & & 1\end{array}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in H_{\gamma} \\
& =S L_{2} \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & & 0 \\
& 1 & \\
0 & & a^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \xrightarrow{N}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{2} & & 0 \\
& 1 & \\
0 & & a^{-2}
\end{array}\right) \cong \eta=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{2} & 0 \\
0 & a^{-2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given $\varepsilon$ near 1 we may choose $\eta_{1}$ near 1: then $H_{\eta_{1} y}=H_{\eta \gamma}$ and $G_{\varepsilon \delta}^{\sigma}=\varphi\left(H_{\eta_{1},}\right)$. All we need to show is that $\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\mu)\right)=a^{2} \omega_{\eta}^{\prime}(\mu)$ at a unipotent $\varepsilon$ in $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$, for then $\Theta(\varepsilon) \varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon \delta}(\mu)\right)=a^{2} \theta(\eta) \omega_{\eta \gamma}(\mu)$, and at $\varepsilon=1,2 \varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta}(\mu)\right)=\omega_{\gamma}(\mu)$.

Let $O_{\eta}, O_{\eta_{1}}, O_{\varepsilon}$ be the conjugacy classes of $\eta, \eta_{1}, \varepsilon$. Since we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{\eta_{1} \gamma} \backslash H_{\gamma} \cong O_{\eta_{1}} & \hookrightarrow & H_{\gamma} \\
\cong \downarrow^{\varphi} & \downarrow^{\varphi} \\
G_{\varepsilon \delta}^{\delta} \backslash G_{\delta}^{\sigma} \cong O_{\varepsilon} \quad \hookrightarrow & G_{\delta}^{\sigma}
\end{array}
$$

the pullback $\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\mu)\right)$ of the form $\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\mu)$ on $G_{\varepsilon \delta}^{a}$ is a form on $H_{\eta_{1} \gamma}$ defined by the function $\xi^{\prime} \circ \varphi: H_{\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a}$ and the form $\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta}^{1}\right)$ on $H_{\gamma}$. Note that if $\lambda\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\eta_{1}^{a}$, then

$$
\xi^{\prime}\left(\varphi\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr} N(\varepsilon \delta)=\operatorname{tr} \eta \gamma=\operatorname{tr}\left(\eta_{1}^{a} \gamma\right)=\zeta^{\prime}\left(\lambda\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)
$$

There is also a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
H_{\eta_{1} \gamma} \backslash H_{\gamma} \cong O_{\eta_{1}} \hookrightarrow & H_{\gamma} \\
\cong \downarrow \lambda & \\
& \downarrow \lambda, \\
H_{\eta \gamma} \backslash H_{\gamma} \cong O_{\eta} & \hookrightarrow
\end{array} H_{\gamma},
$$

hence $\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\mu)\right)=\lambda^{*}\left(\omega_{\eta}^{\prime}(\mu)\right)$. But

$$
\lambda^{*}\left(\omega_{\eta}^{\prime}(\mu)\right) / \omega_{\eta}^{\prime}(\mu)=\lambda^{*}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta}^{1}\right)\right) / \varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta}^{1}\right)=\theta(\eta) / \theta\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\frac{\left(1-\beta_{1}^{2 a}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}^{2 a}\right)}{\left(1-\beta_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-\beta_{2}^{2}\right)}
$$

is equal to $a^{2}$ as $\beta_{1} \rightarrow 1$, as required.

## 3. Orbital Integrals

3.1. Let $F$ be a local field. The highest degree invariant differential form $\omega_{G}$ determines a Haar measure on $G(F)$. A maximal degree invariant form $\omega_{\delta}$ on $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ determines a measure on $G_{\delta^{\prime}}^{\sigma}(F)$ for any $\delta^{\prime}$ in $G(F)$ stably $\sigma$-conjugate to $\delta$. The two forms determine a measure on the quotient $G_{\delta^{\prime}}^{\sigma}(F) \backslash G(F)$. Let $f$ be a smooth compactly supported function on $G(F)$, and put

$$
\Phi_{f}(\delta)=\Phi_{f}\left(\delta ; \omega_{\delta}, \omega_{G}\right)=\int_{G_{\delta}^{g}(F) \backslash G(F)} f\left(g^{-1} \delta \sigma(g)\right)
$$

If $N \delta \neq 1$ put

$$
\Phi_{f}^{s t}(\delta)=\Phi_{f}^{s t}\left(\delta ; \omega_{\delta}, \omega_{G}\right)=\sum_{\delta^{\prime}} \Phi_{f}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

The sum is over a set of representatives for the $\sigma$-conjugacy classes in the stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $\delta$. If $N \delta=1$ put

$$
\Phi_{f}^{s t}(\delta)=\sum_{\delta^{\prime}} \kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{f}\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

If $f_{0}$ is a smooth compactly supported function on $H(F)$ define

$$
\Phi_{f_{0}}(\gamma)=\Phi_{f_{0}}\left(\gamma ; \omega_{\gamma}, \omega_{H}\right)=\int_{\boldsymbol{H}_{\gamma}(F \backslash \boldsymbol{H}(F)} f_{0}\left(g^{-1} \gamma g\right),
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}(\gamma)=\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\gamma ; \omega_{\gamma}, \omega_{H}\right)=\sum_{\gamma^{\prime}} \Phi_{f_{0}}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)
$$

If $\gamma=N \delta$ then there is $\varphi: H_{\gamma} \rightarrow G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$, and we take $\omega_{\gamma}=[\operatorname{ker} \varphi]^{-1} \varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta}\right)$. If the functions $f$ and $f_{0}$ satisfy the relation

$$
\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\gamma ; \omega_{\gamma}, \omega_{H}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{f}^{s t}\left(\delta ; \omega_{\delta}, \omega_{H}\right)
$$

for all $\gamma, \delta$ with $\gamma=N \delta$, we write $f_{0}=\lambda^{*}(f)$.
3.1.1 Proposition. For each $f$ there is $f_{0}$ with $f_{0}=\lambda^{*}(f)$. For each $f_{0}$ there is $f$ with $f_{0}=\lambda^{*}(f)$.
3.2. Applying partition of unity and translating, when passing from $f$ to $f_{0}$ (resp. $f_{0}$ to $f$ ) we may assume that $f$ (resp. $f_{0}$ ) is supported in a small neighborhood of a semi-simple element $\delta_{0}$ (resp. $\gamma_{0}$ ). The lemma is proved by dealing with the various possible $\gamma_{0}, \delta_{0}$. If $\delta_{0}$ and $\gamma_{0}$ are such that $\gamma_{0}=N \delta_{0}$ is non-scalar then the proof is simple, and it remains to deal with $\gamma_{0}=-I$ and $\gamma_{0}=I$.

Suppose that $\gamma_{0}=-I$. Given $f$ and $\eta_{1}$ in $H_{\gamma_{0}}(F)=H(F)$, put $\varepsilon=\varphi\left(\eta_{1}\right)$, and define [after choosing a section $s$ of $G_{\delta_{0}}^{\sigma}(F) \backslash G(F)$ in $G(F)$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\int_{G_{\delta_{0}}^{( }(F) \backslash G(F)} f\left(g^{-1} \varepsilon \delta_{0} \sigma(g)\right) \frac{\omega_{G}}{\omega_{\delta_{0}}} \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}\left(\eta \gamma_{0}\right)=f_{0}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \quad\left(\eta_{1}=\lambda^{-1}(\eta)\right) \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\eta$ is near 1 ; note that $\lambda: \eta_{1} \rightarrow \eta=\eta_{1}^{a}$ [see (2.10)] has an analytic inverse; put $f_{0}\left(\eta \gamma_{0}\right)=0$ otherwise. Note that $\varphi(H)=G_{\delta_{0}}^{\sigma}$, that $\varphi\left(H_{\eta_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=\left(G_{\delta_{0}}^{\sigma}\right)_{\varepsilon}=G_{\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta_{0}}^{\sigma}$ if $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ is near 1 and $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\varphi\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and that $\omega_{H}=\omega_{\gamma_{0}}=\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta_{0}}\right), \omega_{\eta_{1}}=\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon \delta_{0}}\right), \omega_{\eta}=\omega_{\eta_{1}}$, yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\eta \gamma_{0} ; \omega_{\eta^{\prime}}, \omega_{\gamma_{0}}\right)=\sum_{\eta^{\prime}} \int_{H_{\eta^{\prime}}(F) \backslash \boldsymbol{H}(F)} f_{0}\left(h^{-1} \eta^{\prime} \gamma_{0} h\right) \frac{\omega_{\gamma_{0}}}{\omega_{\eta}} \\
& =\sum_{\eta_{1}^{\prime} H_{\eta_{i}}(F) \backslash \boldsymbol{H}(F)} f_{0}\left(h^{-1} \eta_{1}^{\prime} h\right) \frac{\omega_{\gamma_{0}}}{\omega_{\eta_{1}}}=\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\eta_{1} ; \omega_{\eta_{1}}, \omega_{\gamma_{0}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\eta_{1}^{\prime}} \int_{H_{\eta_{1}}(F) \backslash \boldsymbol{H}(F)} \int_{G_{\delta_{0}}^{\delta}(F \backslash G(F)} f\left(g^{-1} \varphi\left(h^{-1} \eta_{1}^{\prime} h\right) \delta_{0} \sigma(g)\right) \frac{\omega_{\gamma_{0}}}{\omega_{\eta_{1}}} \frac{\omega_{G}}{\omega_{\delta_{0}}} \\
& \quad=\sum_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \int_{G_{\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta_{0}}^{\delta}(F) \backslash G(F)} f\left(g^{-1} \varepsilon^{\prime} \delta_{0} \sigma(g)\right) \frac{\omega_{G}}{\omega_{\varepsilon \delta_{0}}}=\Phi_{f}^{s t}\left(\varepsilon \delta_{0} ; \omega_{\varepsilon \delta_{0}}, \omega_{G}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\eta$ is near 1 , and $\eta^{\prime}$ ranges over a set of representative for the conjugacy classes within the stable conjugacy class of $\eta . \eta^{\prime}$ can be taken to be near 1 ; the same comment applies to $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$. Then $\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta_{0}$ ranges over a set of representatives for the $\sigma$-conjugacy classes within the stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $\varepsilon \delta_{0}$. Note that $\eta \gamma_{0}$ $=N\left(\varepsilon \delta_{0}\right)$, so that $f_{0}$ is the desired function.

Conversely, given $f_{0}$ with support near $\gamma_{0}$, (3.2.2) defines $f_{0}^{\prime}$ for $\eta_{1}$ near 1 , and $f$ is defined by

$$
f\left(s(g)^{-1} \varepsilon \delta_{0} \sigma(s(g))\right)=f_{0}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right) \beta(g)
$$

where $\beta$ is a smooth compactly supported function on $G_{\delta_{0}}^{\sigma}(F) \backslash G(F)$ with

$$
\int_{G_{\delta_{0}(F) \backslash G(F)}^{g_{1}}} \beta(g) d g=1 .
$$

3.3. Suppose that $\gamma=1$, and replace $H$ by an inner form $H^{\prime}$ if necessary, so that $\varphi: H^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ is defined over $F$. Then $\varphi: H^{\prime}(F) \rightarrow G_{\delta}^{g}(F)$ is a local isomorphism and (3.2.1) defines a function $f_{0}^{\prime}$ on $H^{\prime}(F)$. If $\eta_{1} \neq \pm I$ then $\varphi$ restricted to $H_{n_{1}}=H_{n_{1}}^{\prime}$ is not $\varphi_{\eta_{1}}: H_{\eta_{1}} \rightarrow\left(G_{\delta}^{\sigma}\right)_{\varepsilon}=G_{\varepsilon \delta}^{\sigma}$, but its square. Here we take $\eta_{1}$ near $\pm I$. Hence $\omega_{\eta_{1}}$ $=\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\varepsilon \delta_{0}}\right) ;$ we have taken $\omega_{y_{0}}=\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta_{0}}\right)$. As in (3.2) we have

$$
\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\eta_{1} ; \omega_{\eta_{1}}, \omega_{\gamma_{0}}\right)=\Phi_{f}^{s t}\left(\varepsilon \delta_{0} ; \omega_{\varepsilon \delta_{0}}, \omega_{G}\right) .
$$

Both sides are 0 when $\eta_{1}$ is not close to $\pm I$. Since $\lambda: \eta_{1} \mapsto \eta^{\prime}=\eta_{1}^{a}(a=4)$ has an analytic inverse on $H^{\prime}(F)$ in a neighborhood of $I$, we may define a function $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ on $H^{\prime}(F)$ by $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)=f_{0}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)$. As is well-known, the orbital integrals of $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be transferred to $H(F)$. This is clear if $H^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $H$ over $F$. Otherwise there exists $f_{0}$ on $H(F)$ with

$$
\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\eta ; \omega_{\eta}, \omega_{H}\right)=\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\eta^{\prime} ; \omega_{\eta^{\prime}}, \omega_{H^{\prime}}\right)
$$

when $\eta$ in $H(F)$ is regular and corresponds to $\eta^{\prime}$ in $H^{\prime}(F)$, and with

$$
\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\eta ; \omega_{\eta}, \omega_{H}\right)=0
$$

if $\eta$ has distinct eigenvalues in $F^{\times}$or it is a scalar multiple of a non-trivial unipotent. In this case $f_{0}( \pm I)=-f_{0}^{\prime \prime}( \pm I)$. This is the required $f_{0}$. The passage back from $f_{0}$ to $f$ is done as in (3.2), but we have to choose $\delta_{0}$ with $N \delta_{0}=I$ so that $\kappa\left(\delta_{0}\right)=1$.
3.4 Corollary. If $f, f_{0}$ are compactly supported smooth functions on $G(F), H(F)$ with

$$
\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}\left(\gamma ; \omega_{\gamma}, \omega_{H}\right)=\Phi_{f}^{s t}\left(\delta ; \omega_{\delta}, \omega_{G}\right)
$$

for all $\gamma=N \delta$ with distinct eigenvalues, then $\lambda^{*}(f)=f_{0}$.
Proof. Choose $f_{0}^{\prime}$ with $f_{0}^{\prime}=\lambda^{*}(f)$. Then the stable orbital integrals of $\mathrm{f}_{0}-\mathrm{f}_{0}^{\prime}$ are 0 on the regular semi-simple set, hence identically 0 , since the germs of $\Phi_{f}^{s t}$ at $u= \pm I$ are scalar multiples of $f_{0}(u)$ and $\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s}\left(u\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right)$.
3.5. Analogous discussion has to be carried out for the transfer of functions from $G(F)$ to $H_{1}(F)=S O(3, F)$. If $\gamma=N_{1} \delta$ has eigenvalues $1, \gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime \prime}$ with $\gamma^{\prime} \neq \gamma^{\prime \prime}$ put

$$
\Phi_{f}^{1 \mathrm{bb}}(\delta)=\Phi_{f}^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(\delta, \omega_{\delta}, \omega_{G}\right)=\sum_{\delta^{\prime}} \kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right) \Phi_{f}\left(\delta^{\prime} ; \omega_{\delta}, \omega_{G}\right) .
$$

If $f_{1}$ is a smooth compactly supported function on $H_{1}(F)$ then

$$
\Phi_{f_{1}}(\gamma)=\Phi_{f_{1}}\left(\gamma ; \omega_{\gamma}, \omega_{H_{1}}\right)=\int_{H_{1 \gamma}(F) \backslash H_{1}(F)} f_{1}\left(h^{-1} \gamma h\right),
$$

for all regular semi-simple $\gamma$. We say that $\mathrm{f}_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}(f)$ if

$$
\Phi_{f_{1}}(\gamma)=\left|\left(1+\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} \Phi_{f}^{\mathrm{tab}}(\delta)
$$

for all $\gamma=N_{1} \delta$ with distinct eigenvalues, where $\omega_{\gamma}=\varphi^{*}\left(\omega_{\delta}\right)$ and $\varphi: H_{1 \gamma} \mathcal{\rightarrow} G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$.
3.5.1 Proposition. For each $f$ there is $f_{1}$, and for each $f_{1}$ there is $f$, with $f_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}(f)$.

This is easily verified for a function $f$ with support near $\delta_{0}$ and a function $f_{1}$ with support near $\gamma_{0}$, if $\gamma_{0}=N_{1} \delta_{0}$ has distinct eigenvalues, due to Lemma 2.4.1. The difficulty is when $N \delta_{0}$ is $-I$, for then there are several conjugacy classes in $H_{1}(F)$ of elements $\gamma_{0}$ with eigenvalues $1,-1,-1$. For each quadratic extension of $F$ there is such $\gamma_{0}$ in $H_{1}(F)\left(\right.$ with representative $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & \theta \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ in $G L(2, F), \theta$ in $F$ but not in $F^{2}$ ). The lemma defines $\Phi_{f_{1}}\left(\gamma ; \omega_{\gamma}, \omega_{H_{1}}\right)$ at any $\gamma$ in $H_{1}(F)$ with distinct eigenvalues; it is 0 unless the eigenvalues of $\gamma$ are close to those of $\gamma_{0}$. It has to be shown that the function $\Phi_{f_{1}}(\gamma)$ is smooth at $\gamma_{0}$ to use the classification theorem of orbital integrals on $H_{1}(F)$ to deduce the existence of $f_{1}$. Namely, we have to establish the smoothness at $\gamma_{0}$ of the sum

$$
\sum_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \kappa\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta\right) \Phi_{f}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta_{0} ; \omega_{\delta}, \omega_{G}\right)=\sum_{\eta_{1}} \kappa\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} \delta_{0}\right) \Phi_{f_{0}}\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime} ; \omega_{\eta_{1}}, \omega_{y_{0}}\right)
$$

of (3.2), multiplied by

$$
\left|\left(1+\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}=\left|\gamma^{\prime \prime}\right|^{1 / 2}\left|1+\gamma^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Here $\varphi\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varphi: H \rightarrow G_{\delta}^{\boldsymbol{f}}$, and the product is smooth by, e.g., Proposition 2 on p. 231 of [6], as required. Note that the eigenvalues $\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime-1}$ of $\gamma=N\left(\varepsilon \delta_{0}\right)$ are near -1 .
3.6. It was noted above that there is a natural bijection between the conjugacy classes of $\gamma$ in $H_{1}(F)$ with eigenvalues $1,-1,-1$ and the quotient $F^{\times} / F^{\times 2}$. The $\sigma$-conjugacy classes of $\delta$ in $G(F)$ with $N \delta$ equals the product of -1 and a non-trivial unipotent are also parametrized by $F^{\times} / F^{\times 2}$. The Hilbert symbol defines a pairing, which we denote by $\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle$.
3.6.1 Proposition. If $\gamma$ in $H_{1}(F)$ has eigenvalues $1,-1,-1$, and $f_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{*}(f)$, then

$$
\lim _{\gamma_{1} \rightarrow \gamma}\left|\left(1+\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} \Phi_{f_{1}}\left(\gamma_{1} ; \omega_{\gamma_{1}}(\mu), \omega_{H_{1}}\right)=\sum_{\delta}\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle \Phi_{f}\left(\delta ; \omega_{\delta}(\mu), \omega_{G}\right) .
$$

The sum is over $\sigma$-conjugacy classes of $\delta$ in $G(F)$ with $N \delta=-1$ times a non-trivial unipotent. The eigenvalues of $\gamma_{1}$ are $1, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}$.
Proof. As in (3.5) the expression on the left is

$$
\left|\left(1+\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\gamma_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} \Phi_{f_{1}}\left(\gamma_{1} ; \omega_{\gamma}(\mu), \omega_{H_{1}}\right)=\Phi_{f}^{\mathrm{lab}}\left(\delta_{1} ; \omega_{\delta^{\prime}}(\mu), \omega_{G}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{1}=\varepsilon \delta_{0}$ and $N \delta_{1}=\gamma_{1}$. If $\varphi\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon^{\prime}, \varphi: H \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} G_{\delta_{0}}^{\sigma}$, by Lemma 2.3.1 this is equal to (the sum is over the conjugacy classes $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ in the stable class)

$$
\sum_{\eta_{1}^{\prime}} \kappa\left(\varphi\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right) \delta_{0}\right) \Phi_{f_{0}}\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime} ; \omega_{\eta_{1}}(\mu), \omega_{H}\right) .
$$

$\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ is a regular element of $H(F)$, and lies in some torus $T(F)$.
The right side

$$
\sum_{\left\{\sigma_{0}, \text { dd }=- \text { unip } F-n\right.}\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle \Phi_{f}\left(\delta ; \omega_{\delta}(\mu), \omega_{G}\right)
$$

is equal to

$$
\sum_{\eta_{1}}\left\langle\gamma, \varphi\left(\eta_{1}\right) \delta_{0}\right\rangle \Phi_{f_{0}}\left(\eta_{1} ; \omega_{\eta_{1}}(\mu), \omega_{H}\right),
$$

where $\delta=\varphi\left(\eta_{1}\right) \delta_{0}$, and the sum ranges over the non-trivial unipotent classes $\eta_{1}$ in $H(F)$. It suffices to show the equality of the two sums only for $f$ supported on a small neighborhood of $\delta^{\prime}=\varphi\left(\eta_{1}^{\prime}\right) \delta_{0}$, where $\delta^{\prime}$ is close to $\delta=\varphi\left(\eta_{1}\right) \delta_{0}$, where $\eta_{1}$ is a non-trivial unipotent in $H(F)$.

So we may assume that

$$
\delta_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & & 0 \\
& 1 & \\
0 & & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \delta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & & x \\
& 1 & , \\
0 & & 1
\end{array}\right) \delta_{0}, \quad \delta_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & & \alpha x \\
& 1 & \\
\alpha \varepsilon & & \alpha
\end{array}\right) \delta_{0}
$$

where $x \in F^{\times}, \eta_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \varepsilon$ is near $0, \eta_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \alpha x \\ \alpha \varepsilon & \alpha\end{array}\right)$ where $\alpha^{2}(1-\varepsilon x)=1$ since $1-\varepsilon x \in F^{\times 2}$ as $\varepsilon$ is small; we may assume that $\alpha$ is also a square, since it is close to 1. It has to be shown that: when $N \delta_{1}=\gamma_{1} \rightarrow \gamma$, and $\delta_{1}$ is near $\delta$, namely $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ lies in the centralizer $H_{\gamma}(F)$ of $\gamma$ in $H(F)\left(\right.$ as $\left.N \delta_{1}=\frac{-1}{\operatorname{det} \eta_{1}^{\prime}} \eta_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)$, and it is near $\eta_{1}$, then $\kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle$. But

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left[\delta^{\prime} J+^{\prime}\left(\delta^{\prime} J\right)\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x \alpha & & 0 \\
& -1 & \\
0 & & -\varepsilon \alpha
\end{array}\right)
$$

hence $\kappa\left(\delta^{\prime}\right)=(x,-\varepsilon)$. The centralizer $H_{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$ splits over $F(\lambda)$ with $\lambda^{2}-c=0$ for some $c$ in $F^{\times}$, hence $\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle=(c, x)$. But $\eta_{1}^{\prime}$ lies in $H_{\gamma}$ only if $(\lambda-1)^{2}-\varepsilon x=0$ splits in $F(\lambda)$, namely if $\varepsilon x / c$ is a square in $F^{\times}$. Hence

$$
\langle\gamma, \delta\rangle=(x, c)=(x, \varepsilon x)=(x,-\varepsilon)=\kappa\left(\delta_{1}\right),
$$

as required.
3.7 Proposition. If $\lambda_{1}^{*}(f)=f_{1}$ then $f_{1}(1)=|2| \sum \Phi_{f}(\delta)$, where the sum is over the $\sigma$-conjugacy classes of $\delta$ with $N \delta=1$. If $\gamma=N \delta$ is a non-trivial unipotent then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{f_{1}}\left(\gamma ; \omega_{\gamma}(\mu), \omega_{\mathbf{H}_{1}}\right)=|2| \Phi_{f}\left(\delta ; \omega_{\delta}(\mu), \omega_{G}\right) \tag{3.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $N \delta=1$ and $f_{0}^{\prime}$ is defined by (3.2.1) then

$$
\Phi_{f}^{\mathrm{tab}}\left(\varepsilon \delta ; \omega_{\varepsilon \delta}, \omega_{G}\right)=\kappa(\delta) \Phi_{f_{0}}\left(\eta_{1} ; \omega_{\eta_{1}}, \omega_{H}\right)
$$

where $\varphi: H \rightarrow G_{\delta_{0}}^{\sigma}, \eta_{1}$ is near 1 with $\varphi\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\varepsilon$, hence $\kappa(\varepsilon \delta)=\kappa(\delta)$ by Lemma 1.8. The factor $\left|\left(1+\gamma^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}$ is smooth for $\gamma^{\prime}$ near 1 , the asymptotic behavior permits the application of [10, Lemma 6.1], hence $f_{1}$ satisfies $f_{1}(1)=\kappa(\delta)|2| f_{0}^{\prime}(1)$. When $\kappa(\delta)=1$ the right side of (3.7.1) is the limit of $\left(\Delta \Phi_{f 0}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right.$ as $\eta_{1} \rightarrow 1$, and the left side is the corresponding limit of $\Delta \Phi_{f_{1}}$ as $N(\varepsilon \delta)=\varepsilon^{2} N \delta=\varepsilon^{2}=\eta_{1}^{4} \rightarrow 1 ; \eta_{1}$ can be taken in the split set.

## 4. Unit of Hecke Algebra

4.1. Let $\mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{X}_{F}(G)$ denote the Bruhat-Tits building (see [11]) of $G=P G L(3)$ over the local non-archimedean field $F$ of characteristic zero and odd residual characteristic. It is a simplical complex of dimension two. To describe its vertices
let $\mathscr{R}$ be the ring of integers in $F$, and $X$ the space of column 3-vectors. The set of vertices of $\mathfrak{X}$ is the quotient of the set of $\mathscr{R}$-lattices in $X$ by the equivalence relation $M_{1} \sim M_{2}$ if $M_{1}=\lambda M_{2}, \lambda$ in $F^{\times}$. Two vertices are joined by an edge if there are representatives $M_{1}, M_{2}$ with $\boldsymbol{m} M_{1} \subsetneq M_{2} \subsetneq M_{1}$. Here $m$ denotes a local uniformizer of the maximal ideal of $\mathscr{R}$. Three vertices form a two-simplex if there are representatives $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ with

$$
\varpi M_{1} \subsetneq M_{3} \subsetneq M_{2} \subsetneq M_{1} .
$$

Write $X$ as a direct sum $X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{F} X_{i}=i$. Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ denote the Bruhat-Tits building associated with $X_{2}$. It is a simplical complex of dimension 1 , or the tree of $H=S L(2)$. A vertex of $\mathfrak{Y}$ is the equivalence class of an $\mathscr{R}$-lattice $L_{2}$ in $X_{2}$, two vertices are joined by an edge if there are representatives $L_{2}, L_{2}^{\prime}$ with $\pi L_{2} \subsetneq L_{2}^{\prime} \subsetneq L_{2}$. If $L_{1}^{0}$ is any $\mathscr{R}$-lattice in $X_{1}$ then any lattice in $X_{1}$ has the form $\varpi^{-\lambda} L_{1}^{0}$. The lattices $M(\lambda)=\sigma^{-\lambda} L_{1}^{0}+L_{2}(\lambda$ in $\mathbb{Z})$ define a line in $\mathfrak{X}$, identifying $\mathcal{Z}=\mathfrak{Y} \times \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathfrak{Y} \times$ line, with the set of vertices in $\mathfrak{X}$ with a representative $M$ in $X$ which satisfies $M=M$ $\cap X_{1}+M \cap X_{2}$. The convention when drawing diagrams will be to increase $\lambda$ to the right. If $\varpi L_{2} \notin L_{2}^{\prime} \nsubseteq L_{2}$ put $M^{\prime}(\lambda)=\varpi^{-\lambda} L_{1}^{0}+L_{2}^{\prime}$. The strip associated to the edge joining $L_{2}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}$ is described by


Any vertex in $\mathfrak{X}$, represented by a lattice $M$, determines a unique pair in 3 , represented by $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$, and a diagram


The equilateral triangle with vertices represented by $M, M^{\prime}, M^{\prime \prime}$ will be called the characteristic triangle of $M$; it lies in an apartment and its intersection with 3 is the segment, called the characteristic segment of $M$, from $M^{\prime}$ to $M^{\prime \prime}$. If $\operatorname{Pr}_{1}, \operatorname{Pr}_{2}$ are the projections of $X$ on $X_{1}, X_{2}$ then $M^{\prime}=\operatorname{Pr}_{1} M+\operatorname{Pr}_{2} M$ and $M^{\prime \prime}=M \cap X_{1}+M \cap X_{2}$. Put $L_{1}^{0}=\operatorname{Pr}_{1} M$; then $M \cap X_{1}=\varpi^{a} L_{1}^{0}$ for some $a \geqq 0$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{i} M / M \cap X_{i} \cong M /\left(M \cap X_{1}+M \cap X_{2}\right)
$$

there is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Pr}_{1} M / M \cap X_{1} \cong \operatorname{Pr}_{2} M / M \cap X_{2},
$$

and we denote by $L_{2}^{\lambda}\left(M \cap X_{2} \subset L_{2}^{\lambda} \subset \operatorname{Pr}_{2} M\right)$ the image of $L_{1}^{\lambda}=w^{\lambda} L_{1}^{0}(0 \leqq \lambda \leqq a)$. The base of the characteristic triangle consists of the vertices $L_{1}^{\lambda}+L_{2}^{\lambda}(0 \leqq \lambda \leqq a)$. The vertices of the edge from $M$ to $M^{\prime}$ are $M+L_{1}^{a-\lambda}(0 \leqq \lambda \leqq a)$, and those from $M$ to $M^{\prime \prime}$ are $\varpi^{\lambda} M+M^{\prime \prime}(0 \leqq \lambda \leqq a)$. In fact elementary divisor theory yields the existence of three rank one $\mathscr{R}$-lattices $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ with $M^{\prime}=N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}, M=\varpi^{a} N_{1}+N_{2}$ $+N_{3}, M^{\prime \prime}=\sigma^{a} N_{1}+\varpi^{a} N_{2}+N_{3}$. The vertices in the characteristic triangle are $\varpi^{\lambda_{1}} N_{1}+\varpi^{\lambda_{2}} N_{2}+N_{3}\left(0 \leqq \lambda_{2} \leqq \lambda_{1} \leqq a\right)$.
4.2. The group $G(F)$ acts on $\mathfrak{X}, \delta$ maps the lattice $M$ to $\delta M . \mathcal{Z}=\mathfrak{3}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is mapped to $\mathcal{3}\left(\delta X_{1}, \delta X_{2}\right)$ and characteristic segments and triangles with respect to $X_{1}, X_{2}$ are mapped to such objects with respect to $\delta X_{1}, \delta X_{2}$. Note that an edge in $\mathfrak{X}$, from the vertex represented by $M_{1}$ to the vertex of $M_{2}$, has positive direction if the representatives are such that $w M_{2} \subsetneq M_{1} \subsetneq M_{2}$ and $M_{2} / M_{1}$ is a module of rank one over the residue field. The positive direction on the lines in 3 is from left to right, and the action of $\delta$ preserves the direction.

Let $\sigma$ denote the outer automorphism of $G(F)$ defined by $\sigma(g)=J^{t} g^{-1} J$, where $J=\left(\begin{array}{lll}0 & & 1 \\ & -1 & \\ 1 & & 0\end{array}\right)$. To define its action on $\mathfrak{X}$ fix the bilinear pairing of $X$ with itself given by $\langle x, y\rangle={ }^{t} y J x$. Given $M$, the dual lattice is

$$
M^{\vee}=\left\{y \text { in } X ;{ }^{\tau} y J x \text { in } \mathscr{R} \text { for all } x \text { in } M\right\}
$$

Then $\sigma$ takes the vertex represented by $M$ to the vertex of $M^{\vee}$. It takes $3\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ to $3\left(X_{2}^{\perp}, X_{1}^{\perp}\right)$, where $X_{i}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $X_{i}$, but it reverses the directions on lines. The extremes vertices $M^{\prime}, M^{\prime \prime}$ in the characteristic segment of $M$ are mapped to the extreme vertices $(\sigma M)^{\prime}=\left(M^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\vee},(\sigma M)^{\prime \prime}=\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}$ in the characteristic segment of $\sigma M=M^{\vee}$ with respect to $X_{2}^{\perp}, X_{1}^{\perp}$. The actions of $G(F)$ and $\sigma$ are compatible and extend to an action of $G(F)><\langle\sigma\rangle=G^{\prime}(F)$ on $\mathfrak{X}$.

Replacing $\delta$ in $G(F)$ by a $\sigma$-conjugate $g \delta \sigma\left(g^{-1}\right)$ [g in $\left.G(F)\right]$, if necessary, we may assume that $\delta$ is of the form $(\alpha e)_{1}$, where

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right), \quad e=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \alpha_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & 0 & b \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
c & 0 & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $N \delta=\delta \sigma(\delta)=\left(\frac{-1}{\operatorname{det} \alpha} \alpha^{2}\right)_{1}$, and we consider here only $\delta$ with regular $\gamma=\frac{-1}{\operatorname{det} \alpha} \alpha^{2}$; thus $\gamma$ is an element of $H(F)=S L(2, F)$ with distinct eigenvalues. We
 $x, y$ in $F\}$. Then $\delta X_{i}=X_{i}$ and as $X_{i}^{\perp}=X_{j}(i \neq j)$ we have that $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{Z}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is stable under $\delta \times \sigma$. Also $\gamma$ acts on the building $\mathbb{Y}$ associated with $X_{2}$. As $\delta \times \sigma$ acts on 3 by transforming one line into another, reversing its direction, it acts on 3 too. The square of $\delta \times \sigma$ is $\delta \sigma(\delta) \times 1$, fixing each vector in $X_{1}$ and inducing on $\mathfrak{Y}$ the transformation $\gamma$.
4.3. Suppose that $\gamma$ is regular elliptic, namely $E=F(\gamma)$ is a quadratic extension of $F$. In this case the $\sigma$-centralizer $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}$ is isomorphic to the centralizer $H_{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$ in $H$ over $F$, and $G_{\delta}^{\sigma}(F)$ is compact. If $f$ is the characteristic function of $K=G(\mathscr{R})$ divided by the volume $|K|$ of $K$, then its orbital integral is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{f}(\delta) & =\int_{G_{g}^{g}(F) \backslash G(F)} f\left(g^{-1} \delta \sigma(g)\right) \frac{\omega_{G}}{\omega_{\delta}} \\
& =\left|G_{\delta}^{g}(F)\right|^{-1} \int_{G(F)} f\left(g^{-1} \delta \sigma(g)\right) \omega_{G} \\
& =\left|G_{\delta}^{\sigma}(F)\right|^{-1} \sum_{(\delta \times \sigma) P=P} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum is taken over the vertices $P$ represented by $M=g M_{0}$ with $(\delta \times \sigma) P=P . M_{0}$ is a fixed vertex, say the lattice of vectors in $X$ with integral entries. It is clear that $\Phi_{f}(\delta)$ depends only on the $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $\delta$. There are two $\sigma$-conjugacy classes in the stable $\sigma$-conjugacy class of the above $\delta$; if $\delta=(\alpha e)_{1}$ and $\delta_{u}=(u \alpha e)_{1}$ with a scalar $u$ in $F^{\times}$, then $\delta, \delta^{\prime}$ are $\sigma$-conjugate if and only if $u$ lies in $N_{E / F} E^{\times}$, where $E=F(\gamma)$. Note that all $\delta_{u} \times \sigma$ define the same action on $\mathfrak{Y}$, and $\left(\delta_{u} \times \sigma\right)^{2}=\gamma_{1} \times 1$ is independent of $u$. The stable $\sigma$-orbital integral of $f$ at $\delta$ is the product of $\left|G_{\delta}^{\sigma}(F)\right|^{-1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left[U_{0}: U\right]} \sum_{u \in U_{0} / U} \sum_{i=0,1} \sum_{\left(\delta_{u i} \times \sigma\right) P=P} 1 . \tag{4.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we put $\delta_{u i}=\left(u \varpi^{i} \alpha e\right)_{1}$, and observe that each element of $F^{\times}$can be expressed in the form $u \sigma^{i}$ for a unique unit $u$ in $U_{0}=\mathscr{R}^{\times}$and integer $i$, if $\sigma$ is fixed; of course $\omega^{2}=N_{E / F} \sigma$ as $\omega$ is in $F . U$ is a compact open subgroup of $N_{E / F} E^{\times}, u$ ranges over a set of representatives in $U_{0}$ for $U_{0} / U$, and each $\sigma$-conjugacy class is obtained [ $\left.U_{0}: U\right]$ times as the first two sums range over $2\left[U_{0}: U\right]$ values of $u$ and $i$.
Remark. In [4] we take $\delta$ such that $G_{\delta^{\prime}}^{\sigma}$ is split over $F$, where $\delta^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta+J^{t} \delta J\right)$, and study by completely different means the unstable $\sigma$-orbital integral

$$
\frac{1}{\left[U_{0} / U\right]} \sum_{u \in U_{0} / V} \sum_{i=0,1} \sum_{\left(\delta_{u i} \times \sigma\right) P=P} \kappa(u),
$$

where $\kappa$ is the non-trivial character of $F^{\times} / N_{E / F} E^{\times}$.
4.4. If the lattice $M$ represents a vertex $P$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ then it specifies a vertex $p=p(P)$ of $\mathfrak{Y}$. Let $d$ be the maximum distance between two fixed points of $\gamma$, and take $U$ to be in

$$
U_{d}=\left\{x \text { in } \mathscr{R}^{\times} ; x \equiv 1\left(\bmod \varpi^{d}\right)\right\} .
$$

Lemma. Suppose $p^{\prime}, p^{\prime \prime}$ lie in $\mathfrak{Y}$ ) and $(\delta \times \sigma) p^{\prime}=p^{\prime \prime},(\delta \times \sigma) p^{\prime \prime}=p^{\prime}$. Then (a) For each $(u, i)$ there is at most one pair $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ in 3 with $p^{\prime}=p\left(P^{\prime}\right), p^{\prime \prime}=p\left(P^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(\delta_{u i} \times \sigma\right) P^{\prime}=P^{\prime \prime},\left(\delta_{u i}\right.$ $\times \sigma) P^{\prime \prime}=P^{\prime}$, such that $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ form the extreme vertices of a characteristic segment.
(b) The set of $(u, i) ; u$ in $U_{0} / U ; i=0,1$; for which $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ exists, consists of [ $\left.U_{0} / U\right]$ elements; the pair $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ is the same for all such $u$.
(c) The number of pairs $((u, i) ; P)$ with $(u, i)$ such that $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ exists, and $\left(\delta_{u i}\right.$ $\times \sigma) P=P$, and $P$ has characteristic segment $\left(P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}\right)$, is $\left[U_{0} / U\right]$.
Proof. (a) and (b) are verified on considering the diagram


The broken lines are reflected about the center point $C$ by $\delta \times \sigma$, and $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ exist for $\delta \times \sigma$ if the points $C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}$ are vertices. If $C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}$ are not vertices they lie at midpoints of edges. Replacing $\delta \times \sigma$ by $\delta_{u} \times \sigma$ with $|u|=|\sigma|^{2}$ shifts $C$ a distance $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$ to the right, and (a), (b) follow.

To prove (c) we may assume by the proof of (a), (b) that $P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}$ exists for $i=0$ and $\delta_{u i} \times \sigma=\delta_{u} \times \sigma, u$ in $U_{0}$. We may choose three rank one $\mathscr{R}$-modules $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ so that the vertex $P^{\prime}$ is represented by $N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ by $\sigma^{k} N_{1}+\sigma^{k} N_{2}+N_{3}$, and the vertices $Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime \prime}$ are represented by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{k} N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3}$ and $N_{1}+\sigma^{k} N_{2}+N_{3}$.


A vertex $P$ with characteristic segment $\left(P^{\prime}, P^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is represented by a lattice $L+N_{3}$, where $L$ is an $\mathscr{R}$-lattice in the space $Z$ generated by $N=N_{1}+N_{2}$. $L$ satisfies
(ii)
(iv)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varpi^{k} N \subsetneq L \cong N,  \tag{i}\\
\sigma^{k-1} N \subsetneq L, \\
L \subsetneq \varpi N,  \tag{iii}\\
L \subsetneq \sigma N_{1}+N_{2}=N^{\prime}, \\
L \subsetneq N_{1}+m N_{2}=N^{\prime \prime} . \tag{v}
\end{gather*}
$$

Namely $L$ is at distance at most $k$ from $N$, at least $k$ from $N$, not in the direction of $P^{\prime \prime}$, or $Q^{\prime}$, or $Q^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. In the Bruhat-Tits tree of $Z$ we have


The transformation $\delta \times \sigma$ acts on the set of $P$ represented by $L+N_{3}$ with the above $L$, and so do the transformations $u_{1}=\operatorname{diag}(1, u, 1)$ in $P G L(3, F)$, with $u$ in $U_{0}$. The induced action on the set of lattices $L$ is defined by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & u\end{array}\right)$ on the tree of $Z$. Thus $U_{0}$ acts transitively on the set of $L$, the stabilizer of any point being $U_{d}$. Hence for each $P$ there exists $u$ in $U_{0}$ with

$$
P=u_{1}((\delta \times \sigma) P)=\left(\delta_{u} \times \sigma\right) P
$$

This $u$ is uniquely determined modulo $U_{d}$, and (c) follows.
4.5. It is clear from Lemma 4.4 that the sum (4.3.1) is equal to the number of vertices $p^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{Y}$ which are fixed by $\gamma$, as a fixed point $p^{\prime}$ of $\gamma$ is equivalent to an ordered pair $p^{\prime}, p^{\prime \prime}$ in $\mathfrak{Y}$ with $p^{\prime \prime}=(\delta \times \sigma) p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}=(\delta \times \sigma) p^{\prime \prime}$. If $f_{0}$ is the characteristic function of $K_{0}=S L(2, \mathscr{R})$ divided by the volume $\left|K_{0}\right|$ of $K_{0}$, and $H_{\gamma}(F)$ is the (compact) centralizer of $\gamma$ in $H(F)$, then the stable orbital integral of $f_{0}$ at $\gamma$ is computed as usual to be the product of $\left|H_{\gamma}(F)\right|^{-1}$ and the integral over $h$ in $\operatorname{PGL}(2, F)$ of $f_{0}\left(h^{-1} \gamma h\right)$. This integral is the number of vertices $p$ in $\mathfrak{Y}$ fixed by $\gamma$, and as we choose the measures with $\left|H_{\gamma}(F)\right|=\left|G_{\delta}^{\sigma}(F)\right|$ we deduce that:

## Proposition. We have

$$
\Phi_{f}^{s t}(\delta)=\Phi_{f_{0}}^{s t}(\gamma)
$$

whenever $\gamma=N \delta$ is regular elliptic and $f, f_{0}$ are the characteristic functions of $K=G(R), K_{0}=H(R)$ divided by their volumes.

Concluding remarks. (1) Less elementary but conceptually clear, representation theoretic proofs of the existence assertions of Propositions 3.1.1 and 3.5.1, can now be given (see [0] for analogous cases), on using the elegant results of [7].
(2) The delicate germ computations in Sect. 3 here are not indispensible for the work of [1-5].
(3) Proposition 4.5 is crucial for [1-5]; its proof was suggested to me by R. Langlands. It would be interesting to find a conceptual, representation theoretic proof of this result, perhaps along the lines of [4].
(4) The proofs of this paper apply to any local field $F$ of characteristic $\neq 2$. Alternatively, by virtue of Theorem $A$ of [8] (see also the lines prior to Proposition 1 in [4]), our results can be transferred from the case of $F$ with characteristic zero and residual characteristic $p>0$, to the case of a local $F$ with char $F=p$.
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