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9. Introduction. During the past fifteen years many new structures have arisen in the topology of low-dimensional manifolds: the Jones and HOMFLY polynomials of links, Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of 3-manifolds, Floer homology groups of homology 3-spheres, and Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten invariants of 4manifolds. These invariants of 3- and 4-manifolds naturally split into two groups. Members of the first group are combinatorially defined invariants of knots and 3manifolds, such as various link polynomials, finite-type invariants, and quantum invariants of 3-manifolds. Floer and Seiberg-Witten homology groups of 3-manifolds and Donaldson-Seiberg-Witten invariants of 4-manifolds constitute the second group. While invariants from the first group have a combinatorial description and in each instance can be computed algorithmically, invariants from the second group are understood through moduli spaces of solutions of suitable differential-geometric equations and the infinite-dimensional Morse theory and have evaded all attempts at a finite combinatorial definition. These invariants have been computed for many 3and 4-manifolds, yet the methods of computation use some extra structure on these manifolds, such as Seifert fibering or complex structure. The problem of finding an algorithmic construction of these invariants remains open.

It is probably due to this striking difference in the origins and computational complexity that so far not many direct relations have been found between invariants from different groups. The most notable connection is the Casson invariant of homology 3 -spheres (see [AM]), which is equal to the Euler characteristic of Floer homology (refer to $[\mathrm{F}]$ ). Yet the Casson invariant is computable and intimately related to the Alexander polynomial of knots and to Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants (see $[\mathrm{M}]$ ), which are examples of invariants from the first group. A similar relation has recently been discovered between Seiberg-Witten invariants and Milnor torsion of 3manifolds (see [MT]). In summary, Euler characteristics of Floer and Seiberg-Witten homology groups bear an algorithmic description, while no such procedure is known for finding the groups themselves.

A speculative question now comes to mind: Quantum invariants of knots and 3manifolds tend to have good integrality properties. Can these invariants be interpreted as Euler characteristics of some homology theories of 3-manifolds?

Our results suggest that such an interpretation exists for the Jones polynomial of
links in 3-space (see [Jo]). We give an algorithmic procedure that to a generic plane projection $D$ of an oriented link $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ associates cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$ that depend on two integers $i, j$. If two diagrams $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ of the same link $L$ are related by a Reidemeister move, a canonical isomorphism of groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(D_{2}\right)$ is constructed. Thus, isomorphism classes of these groups are invariants of the link $L$. These groups are finitely generated and may have nontrivial torsion. Tensoring these groups with $\mathbb{Q}$, we get a 2-parameter family $\left\{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\right)\right\}_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of integervalued link invariants.

From our construction of groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$, we immediately conclude that the graded Euler characteristic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j}(-1)^{i} q^{j} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal, up to a simple change of variables, to the Jones polynomial of $L$, multiplied by $q+q^{-1}$.

We conjecture that not just the isomorphism classes of $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$ but the groups themselves are invariants of links. We will consider this conjecture in a subsequent paper.

To define cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$, we start with the Kauffman state sum model (see[Ka]) for the Jones polynomial and then, roughly speaking, turn all integers into complexes of abelian groups. In the Kauffman model a link is projected generically onto the plane so that the projection has a finite number of double transversal intersections. There are two ways to "smooth" the projection near the double point, that is, erase the intersection of the projection with a small neighbourhood of the double point and connect the four resulting ends by a pair of simple, nonintersecting arcs. See Figure 1.

A diagram $D$ with $n$ double points admits $2^{n}$ resolutions of these double points. Each of the resulting diagrams is a collection of disjoint simple closed curves on the plane. In [Ka] Kauffman associates the Laurent polynomial $\left(-q-q^{-1}\right)^{k}$ to a collection of $k$ simple curves and then forms a weighted sum of these numbers over all $2^{n}$ resolutions. After normalization, Kauffman obtains the Jones polynomial of the link $L$. The principal constant in this construction is $-q-q^{-1}$, the number associated


Figure 1
to a simple closed curve.
In our approach $q+q^{-1}$ becomes a certain module $A$ over the base ring $\mathbb{Z}[c]$. In detail, we work over the graded ring $\mathbb{Z}[c]$ of polynomials in $c$, where $c$ has degree 2 , and we define $A$ to be a free $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-module of rank 2 with generators in degrees 1 and -1 . This is the object we associate to a simple closed curve in the plane.

Given a diagram $D$, to each resolution of all double points of $D$ we associate the graded $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-module $A^{\otimes k}$, where $k$ is the number of curves in the resolution. Then we glue these modules over all $2^{n}$ resolutions into a complex $C(D)$ of graded $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-modules. The gluing maps come from commutative algebra and cocommutative coalgebra structure on $A$. When two diagrams $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are related by a Reidemeister move, we construct a quasi-isomorphism between the complexes $C\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $C\left(D_{2}\right)$.

The cohomology groups $H^{i}(D)$ of the complex $C(D)$ are graded $R$-modules, and we prove that isomorphism classes of $H^{i}(D)$ do not depend on the choice of a diagram of the link. We then look at some elementary properties of these groups and introduce several cousins of $H^{i}(D)$.

Outline of the paper. In Section 2 we define an algebra $A$ over the ring $R=$ $\mathbb{Z}[c]$ and use $A$ to construct a 2-dimensional topological quantum field theory. In our case this topological quantum field theory is a functor from the category of 2dimensional cobordisms between 1-dimensional manifolds to the category of graded $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-modules. In Section 2.4 we review the Kauffman state sum model of [Ka] for the Jones polynomial of oriented links. In Section 3 we review the notions of a commutative cube and a map between commutative cubes.

In Section 4.1 we review Reidemeister moves. In Section 4.2 we associate a complex of $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-modules to a plane diagram of a link. As an intermediate step, to a diagram $D$ we associate a commutative cube $V_{D}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-modules and maps between them. That is, we consider an $n$-dimensional cube with its edges standardly oriented, and, given a plane projection with $n$ double points of a link, to each vertex of the cube we associate a $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-module and to each oriented edge a map of modules so that all square facets of this diagram are commutative squares. This is done in Section 4.2. In the same section we pass from commutative cubes to complexes of $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-modules and to a diagram $D$ we associate a complex $C(D)$ of graded $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-modules.

In Section 5, which is the technical core of the paper, to a Reidemeister move between diagrams $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ we associate a quasi-isomorphism between the complexes $C\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $C\left(D_{2}\right)$. These isomorphisms seem to be canonical. We conjecture that the quasi-isomorphisms are coherent, which would naturally associate cohomology groups to links. Our quasi-isomorphism result shows that the isomorphism classes of the cohomology groups are invariants, but not necessarily that the groups are functorial under link isotopy.

We define $H^{i}(D)$ to the be $i$ th cohomology group of the complex $C(D)$. These cohomology groups are graded $\mathbb{Z}[c]$-modules, and the isomorphism class of each $H^{i}(D)$ is a link invariant. If we split these groups into the direct sum of their graded components,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(D)=\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{i, j}(D) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get a two-parameter family of "abelian-group-valued" link invariants. These results are stated at the end of Section 4.2, as Theorems 1 and 2. For a diagram $D$, the groups $H^{i, j}(D)$ are trivial for $j \ll 0$. Moreover, for each $j$ only finitely many of the groups $H^{i, j}(D)$ are nonzero. Consequently, the graded Euler characteristic of $C(D)$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}(C(D))=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i} q^{j} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(H^{i, j}(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined as a Laurent series in $q$. Since our construction of $C(D)$ lifts Kauffman's construction of the Jones polynomial, it is not surprising that the graded Euler characteristic of $C(D)$ is related to the Jones polynomial. Namely, $\widehat{\chi}(C(D))$, multiplied by $\left(1-q^{2}\right) /\left(q+q^{-1}\right)$, is equal, after a simple change of variables, to the Jones polynomial of $L$.

If a link in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ has cohomology groups, then cobordisms between links, that is, surfaces embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0,1]$, should provide maps between the associated groups. A surface embedded in the 4 -space can be visualized as a sequence of plane projections of its 3-dimensional sections (see [CS]). Given such a presentation $J$ of a compact oriented surface $S$ properly embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0,1]$ with the boundary of $S$ being the union of two links $L_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{0\}$ and $L_{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{1\}$, we explain in Section 6.3 how to associate to $J$ a map of cohomology groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{J}: H^{i, j}\left(D_{0}\right) \longrightarrow H^{i, j+\chi(S)}\left(D_{1}\right), \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\chi(S)$ being the Euler characteristic of the surface $S$ and $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ being diagrams of $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ induced by $J$. We conjecture that, up to an overall minus sign, this map does not depend on the choice of $J$; in other words, $\pm \theta_{J}$ behaves invariantly under isotopies of $S$.

If this conjecture is true, we get a 4-dimensional topological quantum field theory, restricted to links in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0,1]$-cobordisms between them. Because the theory has a combinatorial definition, all cohomology groups and maps between them are algorithmically computable. If successful, this program can realize the Jones polynomial as the Euler characteristic of a cohomology theory of link cobordisms.

In Section 7 we explain how a version of our construction, when the base algebra $\mathbb{Z}[c]$ is reduced to $\mathbb{Z}$ by taking $c=0$, produces graded cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$. The complex that is used to define $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$ is given by tensoring $C(D)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[c]$. As before, the isomorphism classes of these groups are invariants of links. These groups are "smaller" than the groups $H^{i, j}(D)$. In particular, for each $D$, these groups are nonzero for only finitely many pairs $(i, j)$ of integers. As with the groups
$H^{i, j}(D)$, the graded Euler characteristic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j}(-1)^{i} q^{j} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

divided by $q+q^{-1}$, is equal to the Jones polynomial of the link represented by the diagram $D$. In Section 7.5 we exhibit a spectral sequence whose $E_{1}$-term is made of $\mathscr{H}(D)$ and which converges to $H(D)$. Apparently, $H(D)$ is a kind of $S^{1}$-equivariant version of the groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$. In Section 7.7 we use these cohomology groups to reprove a result of Thistlethwaite on the crossing number of adequate links.

Section 8 presents mild variations on cohomology groups $H^{i}(D)$ and $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$. There we switch from links to $(1,1)$-tangles. We consider the category $A$ - $\bmod _{0}$ of graded $A$-modules and grading-preserving homomorphisms between them. Given a plane diagram $D$ of a $(1,1)$-tangle $L$ and a graded $A$-module $M$, in Section 8.3 we define cohomology groups $H^{i}(D, M)$, which are graded $A$-modules. The arguments of Sections 4-5 go through without a single alteration and show that isomorphism classes of $H^{i}(D, M)$ do not depend on the choice of $D$ and are invariants of the underlying (1,1)-tangle $L$. In fact, to every (1,1)-tangle and an integer $i$ we associate an isomorphism class of functors from the category of graded $A$-modules to itself.

Motivations for this work and its relations to representation theory. What is the representation-theoretical meaning of the cohomology groups $H^{i, j}(D)$ ? The Jones polynomial of links is encoded in the finite-dimensional representation theory of the quantum group $U_{q}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$. It is shown in [FK] and [K] that the integrality and positivity properties of the Penrose-Kauffman $q$-spin networks calculus, of which the Jones polynomial is a special instance, are related to Lusztig canonical bases in tensor products of finite-dimensional $U_{q}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$-representations. Lusztig's theory [L], among other things, says that various structure coefficients of quantum groups can be obtained as dimensions of cohomology groups of sheaves on quiver varieties. This suggests a "categorification" of quantum groups and their representations; that is, there exist certain categories and 2-categories whose Grothendieck groups produce quantum groups and their representations.

Crane and Frenkel [CF] conjecture that quantum $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}$ invariants of 3-manifolds can be lifted to a 4-dimensional topological quantum field theory via canonical bases of Lusztig. They also introduce a notion of Hopf category and associated to it 4dimensional invariants. Representations of a Hopf category form a 2-category, and a relation between 2-categories and invariants of 2-knots in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ are established in [Fs].

In a joint work with Bernstein and Frenkel [BFK], we propose a categorification of the representation theory of $U_{q}\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ via categories of highest-weight representations for Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g l}_{n}$ for all natural $n$. This approach can be viewed as an algebraic counterpart of Lusztig's original geometric approach to canonical bases. Motivated by the geometric constructions of [BLM] and [GrL], we obtain a categorification of the Temperley-Lieb algebra and Schur quotients of $U\left(\mathfrak{s l}_{2}\right)$ via projective and Zuckerman
functors. We consider categories $O_{n}$ that are direct sums of certain singular blocks of the category 0 for $\mathfrak{g l}_{n}$. Given a tangle $L$ in the 3 -space with $n$ bottom and $m$ top ends and a plane projection $P$ of $L$, we associate to $P$ a functor between derived categories $D^{b}\left(\mathbb{O}_{n}\right)$ and $D^{b}\left(\mathbb{O}_{m}\right)$. Properties of these functors suggest that their isomorphism classes, up to shifts in the derived category, are invariants of tangles. When the tangle is a link $L$, we expect to get cohomology groups $\mathbb{-}^{i}(L)$ as invariants of links. These groups are a special case of the cohomology groups constructed in this paper: conjecturally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{W}^{i}(L)=\bigoplus_{j}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(L) \otimes \mathbb{C}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. The ring $R$. Let $R=\mathbb{Z}[c]$ denote the ring of polynomials with integral coefficients. Introduce a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $R$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(1)=0, \quad \operatorname{deg}(c)=2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $R-\bmod _{0}$ the abelian category of graded $R$-modules. Denote the $i$ th graded component of an object $M$ of $R-\bmod _{0}$ by $M_{i}$. Morphisms in the category $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$ are grading-preserving homomorphisms of modules. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote by $\{n\}$ the automorphism of $R-\bmod _{0}$ given by shifting the grading of a module down by $n$. Thus for a graded $R$-module $N=\oplus_{i} N_{i}$, the shifted module $N\{n\}$ has graded components $N\{n\}_{i}=N_{i+n}$.

In this paper we sometimes consider graded, rather than just grading-preserving, maps. A map $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$ of graded $R$-modules is called graded of degree $i$ if $\alpha\left(M_{j}\right) \subset N_{i+j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $R$-mod be the category of graded $R$-modules and graded maps between them. This category has the same objects as the category $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$ but more morphisms. It is not an abelian category.

A graded map $\alpha$ is a morphism in the category $R-\bmod _{0}$ if and only if the degree of $\alpha$ is zero. At the end we favor grading-preserving maps, and when at some point we
look at a graded map $\alpha: M \rightarrow N$ of degree $i$, later we will make it grading-preserving by appropriately shifting the degree of one of the modules. For example, $\alpha$ gives rise to a grading-preserving map $M \rightarrow N\{i\}$, also denoted $\alpha$.

Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module. As an abelian group, $M$ is the direct sum of its graded components: $M=\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{j}$, where each $M_{j}$ is a finitely generated abelian group. Define the graded Euler characteristic $\widehat{\chi}(M)$ of $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}(M)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(M_{i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right) q^{j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}(R)=1+q^{2}+q^{4}+\cdots=\frac{1}{1-q^{2}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\widehat{\chi}(M)$ is not, in general, a Laurent polynomial in $q$, but an element of the Laurent series ring. Moreover, for any $M$ as above, there are Laurent polynomials $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}(M)=a+\frac{b}{1-q^{2}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. The algebra A. Let $A$ be a free graded $R$-module of rank 2 spanned by $\mathbf{1}$ and $X$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{1})=1, \quad \operatorname{deg}(X)=-1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We equip $A$ with a commutative algebra structure with the unit $\mathbf{1}$ and multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1} X=X \mathbf{1}=X, \quad X^{2}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\iota$ the unit map $R \rightarrow A$ that sends 1 to $\mathbf{1}$. This map is a graded map of graded $R$-modules and it increases the degree by 1 .

We equip $A$ with a coalgebra structure with a coassociative cocommutative comultiplication

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(\mathbf{1}) & =\mathbf{1} \otimes X+X \otimes \mathbf{1}+c X \otimes X  \tag{13}\\
\Delta(X) & =X \otimes X \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and a counit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\mathbf{1})=-c, \quad \epsilon(X)=1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$, equipped with these structures, is not a Hopf algebra. Instead, the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \circ m=(m \otimes \operatorname{Id}) \circ(\operatorname{Id} \otimes \Delta) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.

Grading deg, given by (7), (11), induces a grading, also denoted deg, on tensor powers of $A$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{deg}\left(a_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hereafter all tensor products are taken over the ring $R$ unless specified otherwise.
We next describe the effect of the structure maps $\iota, m, \epsilon, \Delta$ on the gradings. We say that a map $f$ between two graded $R$-modules $V=\oplus V_{n}$ and $W=\oplus W_{n}$ has degree $k$ if $f(x) \in W_{n+k}$ whenever $x \in V_{n}$.

Proposition 1. Each of the structure maps $\iota, m, \epsilon, \Delta$ is graded relative to the grading deg. Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(l)=1, \quad \operatorname{deg}(m)=-1, \quad \operatorname{deg}(\epsilon)=1, \quad \operatorname{deg}(\Delta)=-1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2. We have an $R$-module decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \otimes A=(A \otimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus \Delta(A) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which respects the grading deg.
2.3. Algebra $A$ and $(1+1)$-dimensional cobordisms. Consider the surfaces $S_{2}^{1}$, $S_{1}^{2}, S_{0}^{1}, S_{1}^{0}, S_{2}^{2}$, and $S_{1}^{1}$ depicted in Figure 2. Each of the surfaces $S_{a}^{b}$ defines a cobordism from a union of $a$ circles to a union of $b$ circles.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the category whose objects are closed 1-dimensional manifolds and whose morphisms are 2-dimensional cobordisms between these manifolds generated by the above cobordisms. Specifically, objects of $\mathcal{M}$ are enumerated by nonnegative integers $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{M})=\left\{\bar{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right\}$. A morphism between $\bar{n}$ and $\bar{m}$ is a compact oriented surface $S$ with boundary being the union of $n+m$ circles. The boundary circles are split into two sets $\partial_{0} S$ and $\partial_{1} S$ with $\partial_{0} S$ containing $n$ and $\partial_{1} S$ containing $m$ circles. An ordering of elements of each of these two sets is fixed. The surface $S$ is presented as a concatenation of disjoint unions of elementary surfaces, depicted in Figure 2. Morphisms are composed in the usual way by gluing boundary circles. Two morphisms are equal if the surfaces $S, T$ representing these morphisms are diffeomorphic via a diffeomorphism that extends the identification $\partial_{0} S \cong \partial_{0} T, \partial_{1} S \cong$ $\partial_{1} T$ of their boundaries. $\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal category with tensor product of morphisms defined by taking the disjoint union of surfaces.

Let us construct a monoidal functor from $\mathcal{M}$ to the category $R$ - $\bmod$ of graded $R$ modules and graded module maps. Assign graded $R$-module $A^{\otimes n}$ to the object $\bar{n}$, and to the elementary surfaces $S_{2}^{1}, S_{1}^{2}, S_{0}^{1}, S_{1}^{0}, S_{2}^{2}, S_{1}^{1}$ assign morphisms $m, \Delta, \iota, \epsilon$, Perm, Id, respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
F\left(S_{2}^{1}\right)=m, & F\left(S_{1}^{2}\right)=\Delta, & F\left(S_{0}^{1}\right)=\iota \\
F\left(S_{1}^{0}\right)=\epsilon, & F\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)=\text { Perm }, & F\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)=\mathrm{Id} \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 2
where Perm : $A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is the permutation map, $\operatorname{Perm}(u \otimes v)=v \otimes u$, and Id is the identity map Id : $A \rightarrow A$.

To check that $F$ is well defined, one must verify that for any two ways to glue an arbitrary surface $S$ in $\operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{M})$ from copies of these six elementary surfaces, the two maps of $R$-modules, defined by these two decompositions of $S$, coincide. This follows from the commutative algebra and cocommutative coalgebra axioms of $A$ and the identity (16).

Remark. Suppose that a surface $S \in \mathcal{M}$ contains a punctured genus-2 surface as a subsurface. Then $F(S)$ is the zero map. Indeed, we only need to check this when $S$ has genus 2 and one boundary component. Then $F(S)=0$ follows from $m \circ \Delta \circ m \circ \Delta=0$.

Maps $F\left(S_{2}^{1}\right), F\left(S_{1}^{2}\right), F\left(S_{0}^{1}\right), F\left(S_{1}^{0}\right), F\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)$, and $F\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)$ between tensor powers of $A$ are graded relative to deg with degrees

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left(F\left(S_{2}^{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(F\left(S_{1}^{2}\right)\right)=-1 \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(F\left(S_{0}^{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(F\left(S_{1}^{0}\right)\right)=1 \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(F\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(F\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\langle\circlearrowleft|=q+q^{-1}
$$

Figure 3

$$
\langle\lambda\rangle=\langle\backsim\rangle-q\langle \rangle\langle \rangle
$$

Figure 4

Proposition 3. For a surface $S \in \operatorname{Mor}(\mathcal{M})$, the degree of the map $F(S)$ of graded $R$-modules is equal to the Euler characteristic of $S$.
2.4. Kauffman bracket. In this section we review the Kauffman bracket and its relation to the Jones polynomial, following Kauffman [Ka]. Fix an orientation of the 3-space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. A plane projection $D$ of an oriented link $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is called generic if it has no triple intersections, no tangencies, and no cusps. In this paper, a plane projection means a generic plane projection. Given a plane projection $D$, we assign a Laurent polynomial $\langle D\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\left[q, q^{-1}\right]$ to $D$ by the following rules:
(1) A simple closed loop evaluates to $q+q^{-1}$ : see Figure 3.
(2) Each over- and undercrossing is a linear combination of two simple resolutions of this crossing: see Figure 4.
(3) $\left\langle D_{1} \bigsqcup D_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle D_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle D_{2}\right\rangle$ where $\left\langle D_{1} \bigsqcup D_{2}\right\rangle$ stands for the disjoint union of the diagrams $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$.
From these rules we deduce what is depicted in Figure 5.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\bigcap\rangle=-q^{2}\langle\bigcap\rangle, \quad\langle\Omega\rangle=q^{-1}\langle\bigcap\rangle \\
& \rangle\rangle=-q\langle || \rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 5
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Figure 7


Figure 8

Curves of the diagram $D$ inherit orientations from that of $L$. Let $x(D)$ be the number of double points in the diagram $D$ that look like those in Figure 6 and $y(D)$ the number of double points that look like those in Figure 7. Then the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(D)=(-1)^{x(D)} q^{y(D)-2 x(D)}\langle D\rangle \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not depend on the choice of a diagram $D$ of the oriented link $L$ and is an invariant of $L$. We denote this invariant by $K(L)$. Up to a simple normalization, $K(L)$ is the Kauffman bracket of link $L$ and is equal to the Jones polynomial of $L$. The Kauffman bracket, $f[L]$, as defined in $[\mathrm{Ka}]$, is a Laurent polynomial in an indeterminate $A$. (This $A$ has no relation to the algebra $A$ in Section 2.2 of this paper.) One easily sees that, by setting our $q$ to $-A^{-2}$ and dividing by $\left(-A^{2}-A^{-2}\right)$, we get $f[L]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(L)_{\left(q=-A^{-2}\right)}=\left(-A^{2}-A^{-2}\right) f[L] . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we call $K(L)$ the scaled Kauffman bracket.
Let $L_{1}, L_{2}$, and $L_{3}$ be three oriented links that differ as shown in Figure 8. The rules for computing the Kauffman bracket imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{-2} K\left(L_{1}\right)-q^{2} K\left(L_{2}\right)=\left(q^{-1}-q\right) K\left(L_{3}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $K(L)=q+q^{-1}$ if $L$ is the unknot.
The Jones polynomial $V(L)$ of an oriented link $L$ is determined by two properties:
(1) The Jones polynomial of the unknot is 1.
(2) For oriented links $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$ as above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{-1} V\left(L_{1}\right)-t V\left(L_{2}\right)=\left(\sqrt{t}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) V\left(L_{3}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the scaled Kauffman bracket and the Jones polynomial are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(L)_{\sqrt{t}=-q}=\frac{K(L)}{q+q^{-1}} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Cubes

3.1. Complexes of $R$-modules. Denote by $\operatorname{Kom}(\mathscr{B})$ the category of complexes of an abelian category $\mathscr{B}$. An object $N$ of $\operatorname{Kom}(\mathscr{B})$ is a collection of objects $N^{i} \in \mathscr{B}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, together with morphisms $d^{i}: N^{i} \longrightarrow N^{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $d^{i+1} d^{i}=0$. A morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ of complexes is a collection of morphisms $f^{i}: M^{i} \rightarrow N^{i}$ such that $f^{i+1} d^{i}=d^{i} f^{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ is called a quasi-isomorphism if the induced map of the cohomology groups $H^{i}(f): H^{i}(M) \rightarrow H^{i}(N)$ is an isomorphism for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote by $[n]$ the automorphism of $\operatorname{Kom}(\mathscr{B})$ that is defined on objects by $N[n]^{i}=N^{i+n}, d[n]^{i}=(-1)^{n} d^{i+n}$ and continued to morphisms in the obvious way.

The cone of a morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ of complexes is a complex $C(f)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(f)^{i}=M[1]^{i} \oplus N^{i}, \quad d_{C(f)}\left(m^{i+1}, n^{i}\right)=\left(-d_{M} m^{i+1}, f\left(m^{i+1}\right)+d_{N} n^{i}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The grading shift automorphism $\{n\}$, introduced in Section 2.1, can be extended naturally to an automorphism of the category $\operatorname{Kom}\left(R-\bmod _{0}\right)$ of complexes of graded $R$-modules. This automorphism of $\operatorname{Kom}\left(R-\bmod _{0}\right)$ is also denoted $\{n\}$.

To a complex $M$ of graded $R$-modules we associate a graded $R$-module $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{i}$. Each $M^{i}$ is a graded $R$-module, $M^{i}=\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{j}^{i}$, and thus $\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{i}$ is a bigraded $R$-module when we extend our usual grading of $R$ to a bigrading with $c \in R$ having degree $(0,2)$. From this viewpoint the differential $d_{M}$ of a complex $M$ is a homogeneous map of degree $(1,0)$ of bigraded $R$-modules.
3.2. Commutative cubes. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be a finite set. Denote by $|\mathscr{I}|$ the cardinality of $\mathscr{I}$ and by $r(\mathscr{F})$ the set of all pairs $(\mathscr{L}, a)$ where $\mathscr{L}$ is a subset of $\mathscr{I}$ and $a$ an element of $\Phi$ that does not belong to $\mathscr{L}$. To simplify notation we often
(a) denote a one-element set $\{a\}$ by $a$,
(b) denote a finite set $\{a, b, \ldots, d\}$ by $a b \cdots d$,
(c) denote the disjoint union $\mathscr{L}_{1} \sqcup \mathscr{L}_{2}$ of two sets $\mathscr{L}_{1}, \mathscr{L}_{2}$ by $\mathscr{L}_{1} \mathscr{L}_{2}$; in particular, we denote by $\mathscr{L} a$ the disjoint union of a set $\mathscr{L}$ and a one-element set $\{a\}$; similarly, $\mathscr{L} a b$ means $\mathscr{L} \sqcup\{a\} \sqcup\{b\}$, and so on.

Definition 1. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be a finite set and $\mathscr{B}$ a category. A commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V$ over $\mathscr{B}$ is a collection of objects $V(\mathscr{L}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{B})$ for each subset $\mathscr{L}$ of $\mathscr{I}$ and morphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L}): V(\mathscr{L}) \longrightarrow V(\mathscr{L} a) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $(\mathscr{L}, a) \in r(\mathscr{F})$, such that for each triple $(\mathscr{L}, a, b)$, where $\mathscr{L}$ is a subset of $\mathscr{I}$ and $a, b, a \neq b$ are two elements of $\mathscr{I}$ that do not lie in $\mathscr{L}$, there is an equality of morphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{b}^{V}(\mathscr{L} a) \xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L})=\xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L} b) \xi_{b}^{V}(\mathscr{L}) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, the following diagram is commutative:


We say a commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube is an $\mathscr{\mathscr { S }}$-cube or, sometimes, a cube when $\mathscr{\mathscr { I }}$ is clear. Maps $\xi_{a}^{V}$ are called the structure maps of $V$.

Example. If $\mathscr{I}$ is the empty set, an $\mathscr{F}$-cube is an object in $\mathscr{B}$. If $\mathscr{I}$ consists of one element, an $\mathscr{F}$-cube is a morphism in $\mathscr{B}$. If $\mathscr{I}$ consists of two elements, $\mathscr{I}=\{a, b\}$, an $\mathscr{I}$-cube is a commutative square of objects and morphisms in $\mathscr{B}$ :


In general, an $\mathscr{I}$-cube can be visualized in the following manner. Let $n$ be the cardinality of $\mathscr{I}$. We take an $n$-dimensional cube in a standard position in the Euclidean $n$-dimensional space, that is, each vertex has coordinates $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ where $a_{i} \in$ $\{0,1\}$. We orient each edge in the direction of the vertex with the bigger sum of the coordinates. Then the edges of any 2-dimensional facet of this cube are oriented as shown in Figure 9.


Figure 9

Choose a bijection between elements of $\mathscr{I}$ and coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This bijection defines a bijection between vertices of the $n$-cube and subsets of $\mathscr{I}$, with the $(0, \ldots, 0)$ vertex associated to the empty set. Oriented edges of the $n$-cube correspond to pairs $(\mathscr{L}, a) \in r(\mathscr{F})$.

Given an $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cube $V$, put an object $V(\mathscr{L})$ into the vertex associated to the set $\mathscr{L}$ and assign a morphism $\xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L})$ to the arrow going from the vertex associated to $\mathscr{L}$ to the vertex associated to $\mathscr{L} a$. Equation (28) is equivalent to the commutativity of diagrams in all 2-dimensional faces of the $n$-cube.

Given two $\mathscr{I}$-cubes $V, W$ over a category $\mathscr{B}$, an $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cube map $\psi: V \longrightarrow W$ is a collection of maps

$$
\psi(\mathscr{L}): V(\mathscr{L}) \longrightarrow W(\mathscr{L}), \quad \text { for all } \mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}
$$

that make diagrams

commutative for all $(\mathscr{L}, a) \in r(\mathscr{F})$. The map $\psi$ is called an isomorphism if $\psi(\mathscr{L})$ is an isomorphism for all $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$. The map $\psi$ of $\mathscr{I}$-cubes over an abelian category $\mathscr{B}$ is called injective/surjective if $\psi(\mathscr{L})$ is injective/surjective for all $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{\mathscr { F }}$.

The class of $\mathscr{F}$-cubes over an abelian category $\mathscr{B}$ and maps between $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$-cubes constitute an abelian category in the obvious way. In particular, direct sums of $\mathscr{G}$-cubes are defined.

For a finite set $\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}$ and $a \in \mathscr{I}$, let $\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}$ be the complement, $\mathscr{I}=\mathscr{F} \sqcup\{a\}$. Given an $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V$, let $V_{a}(* 0), V_{a}(* 1)$ be $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cubes defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{a}(* 0)(\mathscr{L})=V(\mathscr{L}), \quad V_{a}(* 1)(\mathscr{L})=V(\mathscr{L} a), \quad \text { for } \mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{F} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The structure maps of $V_{a}(* 0), V_{a}(* 1)$ are determined by the structure maps $\xi_{b}^{V}, b \in \mathscr{F}$ of $V$ in the obvious fashion. Sometimes we write $V(* 0)$ for $V_{a}(* 0)$, and so forth. The structure map $\xi_{a}^{V}$ of $V$ defines an $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cube map $\xi_{a}^{V}: V_{a}(* 0) \rightarrow V_{a}(* 1)$. This provides a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cubes and maps of $\mathscr{F}$-cubes.

We say that a map $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ of $\mathscr{I}$-cubes over the category $R$-mod of graded $R$-modules and graded maps is graded of degree $i$ if the map $\psi(\mathscr{L}): V(\mathscr{L}) \rightarrow W(\mathscr{L})$ has degree $i$ for all $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$.

For a cube $V$ over $R-\bmod _{0}$, denote by $V\{i\}$ the cube $V$ with the grading shifted by $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\{i\}(\mathscr{L})=V(\mathscr{L})\{i\} \quad \text { for all } \mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{F} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

the structure maps are the appropriate shifts of the structure maps of $V$. A degree $i$ map $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ of $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cubes over $R-\bmod _{0}$ induces a grading-preserving map $V \rightarrow W\{i\}$, also denoted $\psi$.
3.3. Skew-commutative cubes. We next define skew-commutative $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cubes over an additive category $\mathscr{B}$. A skew-commutative $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$-cube is almost the same as a commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube, but now we require that for every square facet of the cube the associated diagram of objects and morphisms of $\mathscr{B}$ anticommutes.

Definition 2. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be a finite set and $\mathscr{B}$ an additive category. A skew-commutative $\mathscr{L}$-cube $V$ over $\mathscr{B}$ is a collection of objects $V(\mathscr{L}) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{B})$ for $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$, and morphisms

$$
\xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L}): V(\mathscr{L}) \longrightarrow V(\mathscr{L} a)
$$

such that for each triple $(\mathscr{L}, a, b)$, where $\mathscr{L}$ is a subset of $\mathscr{I}$ and $a, b, a \neq b$, are two elements of $\mathscr{I}$ that do not lie in $\mathscr{L}$, there is an equality

$$
\xi_{b}^{V}(\mathscr{L} a) \xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L})+\xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L} b) \xi_{b}^{V}(\mathscr{L})=0 .
$$

We call a skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube over $\mathscr{B}$ a skew $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$-cube or, without specifying $\mathscr{I}$, a skew cube. Given $\mathscr{I}$-cubes or skew $\mathscr{I}$-cubes $V$ and $W$ over $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$, their tensor product is defined to be an $\mathscr{I}$-cube (if $V$ and $W$ are both cubes or both skew cubes) or a skew $\mathscr{I}$-cube (if one of $V, W$ is a cube and the other is a skew cube), denoted $V \otimes W$, given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(V \otimes W)(\mathscr{L})=V(\mathscr{L}) \otimes W(\mathscr{L}), & \mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I} \\
\xi_{a}^{V \otimes W}(\mathscr{L})=\xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L}) \otimes \xi_{a}^{W}(\mathscr{L}), & (\mathscr{L}, a) \in r(\mathscr{I})
\end{array}
$$

where, we recall, the tensor products are taken over $R$.
For a finite set $\mathscr{L}$ denote by $o(\mathscr{L})$ the set of complete orderings or elements of $\mathscr{L}$. For $x, y \in o(\mathscr{L})$ let $p(x, y)$ be the parity function. $p(x, y)=0$ if $y$ can be obtained from $x$ via an even number of transpositions of two neighboring elements in the ordering. Otherwise, $p(x, y)=1$. To a finite set $\mathscr{L}$ associate a graded $R$-module $E(\mathscr{L})$ defined as the quotient of the graded $R$-module, freely generated by elements $x$ for all $x \in o(\mathscr{L})$, by relations $x=(-1)^{p(x, y)} y$ for all pairs $x, y \in o(\mathscr{L})$. Module $E(\mathscr{L})$ is a free graded $R$-module of rank 1 . For $a \notin \mathscr{L}$ there is a canonical isomorphism of graded $R$-modules $E(\mathscr{L}) \rightarrow E(\mathscr{L} a)$ induced by the map $o(L) \rightarrow o(L a)$ that takes $x \in o(L)$ to $x a \in o(L a)$. Moreover, for $a, b, a \neq b$, the diagram below anticommutes:


Denote by $E_{\mathscr{I}}$ the skew $\mathscr{I}$-cube with $E_{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{L})=E(\mathscr{L})$ for $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$ and the structure $\operatorname{map} E_{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{L}) \rightarrow E_{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{L} a)$ being canonical isomorphism $E(\mathscr{L}) \rightarrow E(\mathscr{L} a)$. We use $E_{\mathscr{I}}$ to pass from $\mathscr{I}$-cubes over $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$ to skew $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cubes over $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$ by tensoring an $\mathscr{I}$-cube with $E_{\mathscr{g}}$.
3.4. Skew-commutative cubes and complexes. Let $V$ be a skew $\mathscr{I}$-cube over an abelian category $\mathscr{B}$. To $V$ we associate a complex $\bar{C}(V)=\left(\bar{C}^{i}(V), d^{i}\right), i \in \mathbb{Z}$ of objects of $\mathscr{B}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}^{i}(V)=\bigoplus_{\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{F},|\mathscr{L}|=i} V(\mathscr{L}) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential $d^{i}: \bar{C}^{i}(V) \rightarrow \bar{C}^{i+1}(V)$ is given on an element $x \in V(\mathscr{L}),|\mathscr{L}|=i$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{i}(x)=\sum_{a \in \mathscr{I} \backslash \mathscr{L}} \xi_{a}^{V}(\mathscr{L}) x \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Examples. (1) If $|\mathscr{F}|=1, \mathscr{I}=\{a\}$,

$$
\bar{C}^{i}(V)= \begin{cases}V(\emptyset) & \text { if } i=0 \\ V(a) & \text { if } i=1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The differential $d^{0}=\xi_{a}^{V}(\emptyset)$ and $d^{i}=0$ if $i \neq 0$, so $\bar{C}(V)$ is the complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow V(\emptyset) \xrightarrow{\xi_{a}^{V}(\emptyset)} V(\mathscr{F}) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $\mathscr{I}$ contains two elements, say, $\mathscr{I}=\{a, b\}$, then

$$
\bar{C}^{i}(V)= \begin{cases}V(\emptyset) & \text { if } i=0 \\ V(a) \oplus V(b) & \text { if } i=1 \\ V(a b) & \text { if } i=2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and the differentials are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{0}: V(\emptyset) \longrightarrow V(a) \oplus V(b) \\
& d^{0}=\xi_{b}^{V}(\emptyset)+\xi_{a}^{V}(\emptyset) \\
& d^{1}: V(b) \oplus V(a) \longrightarrow V(a b) \\
& d^{1}=\left(\xi_{a}^{V}(b), \xi_{b}^{V}(a)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4. Let $V$ be a skew $\mathscr{I}$-cube over an abelian category $\mathscr{B}$ and suppose that for some $a \in \mathscr{I}$ and any $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I} \backslash\{a\}$ the map $\xi_{a}^{V}: V(\mathscr{L}) \rightarrow V(\mathscr{L} a)$ is an isomorphism. Then the complex $\bar{C}(V)$ is acyclic.

Proof. The complex $\bar{C}(V)$ is isomorphic to the cone of the identity map of the complex $\bar{C}\left(V_{a}(* 1)\right)[-1]$ and, therefore, is acyclic.

Every map of $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$-cubes $\phi: V \longrightarrow W$ over $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$ induces a map of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}(\phi): \bar{C}\left(V \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(W \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\phi$ is an isomorphism of commutative cubes, $\bar{C}(\phi)$ is an isomorphism of complexes.
Proposition 5. Let $V$ be an $\mathscr{G}$-cube over $R-\bmod _{0}$ and suppose that for some $a \in \mathscr{I}$ the structure map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{a}^{V}: V_{a}(* 0) \longrightarrow V_{a}(* 1) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism. Then the complex $\bar{C}\left(V \otimes E_{\mathscr{q}}\right)$ is acyclic.
Proof. The proof is immediate from Proposition 4.
The following proposition and its corollary are obvious.
Proposition 6. We have a canonical splitting of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}(V \oplus W)=\bar{C}(V) \oplus \bar{C}(W) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ and $W$ are skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cubes over an abelian category and $V \oplus W$ is the direct sum of $V$ and $W$.

Corollary 1. We have a canonical splitting of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}\left((V \oplus W) \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}}\right)=\bar{C}\left(V \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}}\right) \oplus \bar{C}\left(W \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}}\right), \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ and $W$ are $\Phi$-cubes over $R-\bmod _{0}$.

## 4. Diagrams

4.1. Reidemeister moves. Given a link $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we can take its generic projection on the plane. A generic projection is the one without triple points and double tangencies. An isotopy class of such projections is called a plane diagram of $L$ or, simply, a diagram. Four types of transformations of plane diagrams, shown in Figures 10-13, preserve the isotopy type of the associated link.

Proposition 7. If plane diagrams $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ represent isotopic oriented links, these diagrams can be connected by a chain of moves as depicted in Figures 10-13.


Figure 10. Addition/removal of a left-twisted curl


Figure 11. Addition/removal of a right-twisted curl


Figure 12. Tangency move


Figure 13. Triple point move
4.2. Constructing cubes and complexes from plane diagrams. Fix a plane diagram $D$ with $n$ double points of an oriented link $L$. Denote by $\mathscr{I}$ the set of double points of $D$. To $D$ we associate an $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V_{D}$ over the category $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$ of graded $R$-modules. This cube does not depend on the orientation of components of $L$.

Given a double point of a diagram $D$, it can be resolved in two possible ways, as seen in Figure 14. Let us call the resolution on the left the 0-resolution, and the one on the right the 1 -resolution. A resolution of $D$ is a resolution of each double point of $D$. Thus, $D$ admits $2^{n}$ resolutions. There is a one-to-one correspondence between resolutions of $D$ and subsets $\mathscr{L}$ of the set $\mathscr{I}$ of double points. Namely, to $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$ we associate a resolution, denoted $D(\mathscr{L})$, by taking a 1-resolution of each double point that belongs to $\mathscr{L}$ and a 0 -resolution if the double point does not lie in $\mathscr{L}$.


Figure 14

D

$D(\emptyset)$




Figure 15



Figure 16

A resolution of a diagram $D$ is always a collection of simple disjoint curves on the plane and is thus a 1 -manifold embedded in the plane. Now the functor $F$ from $(1+1)$ cobordisms to $R$-modules (see Section 2.3) comes into play. To a union of $k$ circles it assigns the $k$ th tensor power of $A$. The functor $F$, applied to the diagram $D(\mathscr{L})$, considered as a 1 -dimensional manifold, produces a graded $R$-module $A^{\otimes k}$ where $k$ is the number of components of $D(\mathscr{L})$. We raise the grading of $A^{\otimes k}$ by $|\mathscr{L}|$, the cardinality of $\mathscr{L}$, and assign the $R$-module $F(D(a))\{-|\mathscr{L}|\}$ to the vertex $V_{D}(\mathscr{L})$ of the cube $V_{D}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D}(\mathscr{L})=F(D(\mathscr{L}))\{-|\mathscr{L}|\} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall from Section 3.1 that the automorphism $\{1\}$ of the category $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$ lowers the grading by 1.) Let us now define maps between vertices of $V_{D}$. Choose ( $\left.\mathscr{L}, a\right) \in r(\mathscr{F})$. We want to have a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{a}^{V_{D}}(\mathscr{L}): V_{D}(\mathscr{L}) \longrightarrow V_{D}(\mathscr{L} a) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diagrams $D(\mathscr{L})$ and $D(\mathscr{L} a)$ differ only in the neighbourhood of the double point $a$ of $D$, as Figure 15 demonstrates (for $n=1$, so that $D$ has one double point, $\mathscr{I}=\{a\}$ ).

Take the direct product of the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and the interval $[0,1]$. We identify the diagram $D(\mathscr{L})$ (respectively, $D(\mathscr{L} a)$ ) with a 1-dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\{0\}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\{1\}$ ). We can choose a small neighbourhood $U$ of $a$ such that $D(\mathscr{L})$ and $D(\mathscr{L} a)$ coincide outside $U$ and inside they look as shown in Figure 16. The boundary of $U$ is depicted by a dashed circle; the central picture shows the intersection of $D(\mathscr{L})$ and $U$; and the rightmost picture shows the intersection of $D(\mathscr{L} a)$ and $U$.

Let $S$ be a surface properly embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times[0,1]$ such that
(1) the boundary of $S$ is the union of the diagrams $D(\mathscr{L})$ and $D(\mathscr{L} a)$;


Figure 17


Figure 18
(2) outside of $U \times[0,1]$ surface $S$ is the direct product of $D(\mathscr{L}) \cap\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash U\right)$ and the interval [0, 1];
(3) the connected component of $S$ that has a nonempty intersection with $U \times[0,1]$ is homeomorphic to the 2 -sphere with three holes;
(4) the projection $S \longrightarrow[0,1]$ onto the second component of the product $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times$ $[0,1]$ has only one critical point-the saddle point that lies inside $U \times[0,1]$.

Example. Let $D$ be a diagram with two double points, $\mathscr{I}=\{a, b\}$, as in Figure 17. Diagram $D(a)$ (respectively, $D(a b)$ ) consists of two (respectively, three) simple curves. The boundary of the neighbourhood $U$ of the double point $a$ is depicted by the dashed circle. Then Figure 18 shows what the surface $S$ looks like.

Recall that earlier we defined $V_{D}(\mathscr{L})$ to be $F(D(\mathscr{L})$ ) for $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$, with the degree raised by $|\mathscr{L}|$. Now define the map

$$
\xi_{a}^{V_{D}}(\mathscr{L}): V_{D}(\mathscr{L}) \longrightarrow V_{D}(\mathscr{L} a)
$$

to be given by

$$
F(S): F(D(\mathscr{L})) \longrightarrow F(D(\mathscr{L} a))
$$

Note that the degree of $F(S)$ is equal to -1 , the Euler characteristic of the surface $S$ (Proposition 3). But $|\mathscr{L} a|=|\mathscr{L}|+1$, so, with degrees shifted,



Figure 19. Diagram $D$ and four resolutions

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{D}(\mathscr{L}) & =F(D(\mathscr{L}))\{-|\mathscr{L}|\},  \tag{42}\\
V_{D}(\mathscr{L} a) & =F(D(\mathscr{L} a))\{-|\mathscr{L}|-1\} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

and the $\operatorname{map} \xi_{a}^{V_{D}}(\mathscr{L})$ is a grading-preserving map of graded $R$-modules.
Proposition 8. $\quad V_{D}$, defined in this way, is an $\mathscr{I}$-cube over the category $R-\bmod _{0}$ of graded $R$-modules and grading-preserving maps.

The proof consists of verifying commutativity relations (28) for maps $\xi_{a}^{V_{D}}(\mathscr{L})$. They follow immediately from the functoriality of $F$.

Example. For the diagram $D$ and its four resolutions depicted in Figure 19 we get

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
F(D(\emptyset)) & =A^{\otimes 2}, & F(D(a))
\end{array}=A, ~ 子(D(a b))=A^{\otimes 2} .
$$

The cube $V_{D}$ has the form


Let us now go back to our construction. So far, to a plane diagram $D$ with the set $\mathscr{I}$ of double points we associated an $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V_{D}$ over the category $R$ - $\bmod _{0}$ of graded
$R$-modules. We would like to build a complex of graded $R$-modules out of $V_{D}$. We know how to build a complex from a skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube (see Section 3.4). To make a skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube out of an $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cube $V_{D}$, we put minus sign in front of some structure maps $\xi^{V_{D}}$ of $V_{D}$ so that for any commutative square of $V_{D}$ an odd number of the four maps constituting the square change signs. A more intrinsic way to do this is to tensor $V_{D}$ with the skew-commutative $\mathscr{G}$-cube $E_{\mathscr{I}}$, defined at the end of Section 3.3.

To the skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{I}}$ there is associated the complex $\bar{C}\left(V_{D} \otimes\right.$ $E_{\mathscr{q}}$ ) of graded $R$-modules (see Section 3.4). Denote this complex by $\bar{C}(D)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}(D) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bar{C}\left(V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\bar{C}(D)$ is a complex of graded $R$-modules and grading-preserving homomorphisms. It does not depend on the orientations of the components of the link $L$.

Recall (Section 3.1) that the category $\operatorname{Kom}\left(R-\bmod _{0}\right)$ has two commuting automorphisms: [1], which shifts a complex 1 step to the left, and $\{1\}$, which lowers the grading of each component of the complex by 1.

To the diagram $D$ of link $L$ we associated (Section 2.4) two numbers, $x(D)$ and $y(D)$. Define a complex $C(D)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(D)=\bar{C}(D)[x(D)]\{2 x(D)-y(D)\} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $H^{i}(D)$ as the $i$ th cohomology group of $C(D)$. It is a finitely generated graded $R$-module.

Theorem 1. If $D$ is a plane diagram of an oriented link $L$, then for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the isomorphism class of the graded $R$-module $H^{i}(D)$ is an invariant of $L$.

The proof of this theorem occupies Section 5, together with some preliminary material contained in Section 4.3.

Define $H^{i, j}(D)$ as the $i$ th cohomology group of the degree $j$ subcomplex of $C(D)$. Thus, $H^{i, j}(D)$ is the graded component of $H^{i}(D)$ of degree $j$, and we have a decomposition of abelian groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(D)=\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{i, j}(D) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $H^{i}(L)$ the isomorphism class of $H^{i}(D)$ in the category of graded $R$-modules. For an oriented link $L$, only finitely many of $H^{i}(L)$ are nonzero as $i$ varies over all integers.

Corollary 2. If $D$ is a plane diagram of an oriented link $L$, then for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the isomorphism class of the abelian group $H^{i, j}(D)$ is an invariant of $L$.

We next show that the Kauffman bracket is equal to a suitable Euler characteristic of these cohomology groups.
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Proposition 9. For an oriented link L,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(L)=\left(1-q^{2}\right) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i} \widehat{\chi}\left(H^{i}(D)\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(L)$ is the scaled Kauffman bracket defined in Section 2.4, $\widehat{\chi}$ is the Euler characteristic of Section 2.1, and D is any diagram of $L$.

Proof. First notice that $\widehat{\chi}(M\{n\})=q^{-n} \widehat{\chi}(M)$ for a finitely generated graded $R-$ module $M$. Given a bounded complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
M: \cdots \longrightarrow M^{i} \longrightarrow M^{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

of finitely generated graded $R$-modules, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}(M)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i} \widehat{\chi}\left(M^{i}\right) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}(C(D))=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i} \widehat{\chi}\left(H^{i}(D)\right), \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is enough to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(L)=\left(1-q^{2}\right) \widehat{\chi}(C(D)) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

For three diagrams $D_{1}, D_{2}$, and $D_{3}$ that differ as shown in Figure 20, the complex $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)[1]$ is isomorphic, up to a shift, to the cone of a map of complexes $\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $\bar{C}\left(D_{3}\right)\{-1\}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}\left(\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)\right)=\widehat{\chi}\left(\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)\right)-\widehat{\chi}\left(\bar{C}\left(D_{3}\right)\{-1\}\right)=\widehat{\chi}\left(\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)\right)-q \widehat{\chi}\left(\bar{C}\left(D_{3}\right)\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for diagrams $D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3}$ as in Figure 20, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle D_{2}\right\rangle-q\left\langle D_{3}\right\rangle \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Section 2.4, where $\langle D\rangle$ is defined). If the diagram $D$ is a disjoint union of $k$ simple plane curves, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}(\bar{C}(D))=\widehat{\chi}\left(A^{\otimes k}\right)=\left(q+q^{-1}\right)^{k} \widehat{\chi}(R)=\frac{\left(q+q^{-1}\right)^{k}}{1-q^{2}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 21


Figure 22
and $\langle D\rangle=\left(q+q^{-1}\right)^{k}$. Therefore, for any diagram $D$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle D\rangle=\left(1-q^{2}\right) \widehat{\chi}(\bar{C}(D)) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{\chi}(C(D)) & =\widehat{\chi}(\bar{C}(D))[x(D)]\{2 x(D)-y(D)\} \\
& =(-1)^{x(D)} q^{y(D)-2 x(D)} \widehat{\chi}(\bar{C}(D)), \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

and in view of (21), the proposition follows.
4.3. Surfaces and cube morphisms. Let $U$ be a closed disk in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\dot{U}$ the interior of $U$ so that $U=\partial U \cup \dot{U}$. Let $T^{\prime}$ be a tangle in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \dot{U}\right) \times[0,1]$ with $m$ points (where $m$ is even) on the boundary $\partial U \times[0,1]$ and $T$ a generic projection of $T^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \dot{U}$. The intersection of $T$ with $\partial U$ consists of $m$ points. Denote them by $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ (see Figure $21 ; \partial U$ is shown by a dashed circle).

Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the set of double points of $T$. Pick two systems $Q_{0}$ and $Q_{1}$ of $m / 2$ simple disjoints arcs in $U$ with ends in points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$, as depicted in Figure 22. Then $Q_{0} \cup T$ and $Q_{1} \cup T$ (here and further on we denote them by $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$, respectively) can be considered as two plane diagrams of links in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, shown in Figure 23. To $P_{0}$ and $P_{1}$ there are associated $\mathscr{I}$-cubes $V_{P_{0}}$ and $V_{P_{1}}$.
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Let $S$ be a compact-oriented surface in $U \times[0,1]$ such that the boundary of $S$ is the union of $Q_{0} \times\{0\}, Q_{1} \times\{1\}$, and $\left(p_{1} \cup \cdots \cup p_{m}\right) \times[0,1]$. To $S$ we associate an $\mathscr{I}$-cube map

$$
\psi_{S}: V_{P_{0}} \longrightarrow V_{P_{1}}
$$

as follows. For each $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$ we must construct a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{S, \mathscr{L}}: V_{P_{0}}(\mathscr{L})\{-|\mathscr{L}|\} \longrightarrow V_{P_{1}}(\mathscr{L})\{-|\mathscr{L}|\} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and check the commutativity of diagrams (29).
To $\mathscr{L}$ there is associated a resolution $T(\mathscr{L})$ of double points of $T$. Thus $T(\mathscr{L})$ is a collection of simple closed curves and arcs in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \dot{\mathrm{U}}$ with ends in $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$. Then, by (40),

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{P_{0}}(\mathscr{L})=F\left(T(\mathscr{L}) \cup Q_{0}\right)\{-|\mathscr{L}|\},  \tag{58}\\
& V_{P_{1}}(\mathscr{L})=F\left(T(\mathscr{L}) \cup Q_{1}\right)\{-|\mathscr{L}|\}, \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F$ is the functor described in Section 2.3. (Notice that $T(\mathscr{L}) \cup Q_{0}$ and $T(\mathscr{L}) \cup Q_{1}$ are collections of simple closed curves on the plane, so that we can apply functor $F$ to them.)

Let $S^{\prime}$ be a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times[0,1]$ that is $S$ inside $U \times[0,1]$ and $T(\mathscr{L}) \times[0,1]$ outside $U \times[0,1]$. The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(S^{\prime}\right): F\left(T(\mathscr{L}) \cup Q_{0}\right) \longrightarrow F\left(T(\mathscr{L}) \cup Q_{1}\right) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a graded map of $R$-modules of degree $\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\chi(S)-m / 2$. Define $\psi_{S, \mathscr{L}}$ as this map, shifted by $|\mathscr{L}|$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{S, \mathscr{L}}=F\left(S^{\prime}\right)\{-|\mathscr{L}|\}: V_{P_{0}}(\mathscr{L})\{-|\mathscr{L}|\} \longrightarrow V_{P_{1}}(\mathscr{L})\{-\mathscr{L} \mid\} . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutativity condition (29) is immediate. We sum up our result as follows.
Proposition 10. The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{S}: V_{P_{0}} \longrightarrow V_{P_{1}} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a degree $(\chi(S)-m / 2)$ map of $\mathscr{I}$-cubes.
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Everything in this section extends to the case when the diagrams $Q_{0}$ and $Q_{1}$ are allowed to have simple closed circles in addition to $m / 2$ simple disjoint acts joining points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$. For instance, $Q_{0}$ may look like Figure 24.

In this more general case, to each compact oriented surface $S$ in $U \times[0,1]$ such that the boundary of $S$ is the union of $Q_{0} \times\{0\}, Q_{1} \times\{1\}$ and $\left(p_{1} \cup \cdots \cup p_{m}\right) \times[0,1]$, in exactly the same fashion as before, we associate an $\mathscr{I}$-cube map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{S}: V_{P_{0}} \longrightarrow V_{P_{1}} . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

This map is a graded map of cubes over $R-\bmod _{0}$ of degree equal to the Euler characteristic of $S$ minus $m / 2$.

Tensoring the map $\psi_{S}$ with the identity map of the skew-commutative $n$-cube $E_{\Phi}$ and passing to associated complexes, we obtain a map of complexes of graded $R$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{S}^{\prime}: \bar{C}\left(P_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(P_{1}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general this map is not a morphism in the category $\operatorname{Kom}\left(R-\bmod _{0}\right)$ of complexes of graded $R$-modules and grading-preserving homomorphism, as it shifts the grading by $\chi(S)-m / 2$, but $\psi_{S}^{\prime}$ becomes a morphism in $\operatorname{Kom}\left(R-\bmod _{0}\right)$ when the grading of $\bar{C}\left(P_{0}\right)$ or $\bar{C}\left(P_{1}\right)$ is appropriately shifted.
5. Transformations. In this section we prove Theorem 1 . We associate a quasiisomorphism of complexes of graded $R$-modules $C(D) \rightarrow C\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ to a Reidemeister move between two plane diagrams $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ of an oriented link $L$.
5.1. Left-twisted curl. Let $D$ be a plane diagram with $n-1$ double points and let $D_{1}$ be a diagram constructed from $D$ by adding a left-twisted curl. Denote by $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$ the set of double points of $D_{1}$, by $a$ the double point in the curl, and by $\mathscr{I}$ the set of double points of $D$. There is a natural bijection of sets $I \rightarrow \mathscr{I}^{\prime} \backslash\{a\}$, coming from identifying a double point of $D$ with the corresponding double point of $D_{1}$. We use this bijection to identify the two sets $\mathscr{I}$ and $\mathscr{I}^{\prime} \backslash\{a\}$.

$D_{2}$


Figure 25


Figure 26
The crossing $a$ of $D_{1}$ can be resolved in two ways; see Figure 25. The 0 -resolution of $a$ is a diagram $D_{2}$ that is a disjoint union of $D$ and a circle. The 1 -resolution is a diagram isotopic to $D$, and we identify this diagram with $D$.

In this section we define a quasi-isomorphism of the complexes $C(D)$ and $C\left(D_{1}\right)$. This quasi-isomorphism arises from a splitting of the $\mathscr{\Psi}^{\prime}$-cube $V_{D_{1}}$ as a direct sum of two cubes, $V_{D_{1}}=V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}$. This splitting induces a decomposition of the complex $C\left(D_{1}\right)$ into a direct sum of an acyclic complex and a complex isomorphic to $C(D)$.

Recall that $V_{D}, V_{D_{1}}$, and $V_{D_{2}}$ are the cubes associated with the diagrams $D, D_{1}$, and $D_{2}$, respectively. $V_{D_{1}}$ has index set $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$, while $V_{D}$ and $V_{D_{2}}$ are $\mathscr{I}$-cubes. From the decomposition of $D_{2}$ as a union of $D$ and a simple circle we get a canonical isomorphism of cubes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D_{2}}=V_{D} \otimes A \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{D} \otimes A$ is the $\mathscr{I}$-cube obtained from $V_{D}$ by tensoring graded $R$-modules $V_{D}(\mathscr{L}), \mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{\mathscr { I }}$ with $A$ and tensoring the structure maps $\xi_{a}^{V_{D}}(\mathscr{L})$ with the identity map of $A$.
Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a small neighborhood of $a$ that contains the curl as depicted in Figure 26. The figure shows how the diagram $D_{1}$ looks inside $U$. The boundary of $U$ is shown by a dashed circular line. Intersections of $U$ with diagrams $D$ and $D_{2}$ are depicted in Figure 27.
Outside of $U$, diagrams $D, D_{1}$, and $D_{2}$ coincide. It is explained in Section 4.3 how surfaces in $U \times[0,1]$, satisfying certain conditions, give rise to cube maps. Using this construction we now define three cube maps between cubes $V_{D}$ and $V_{D_{2}}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
m_{a}: V_{D_{2}} \longrightarrow V_{D}, \\
\Delta_{a}: V_{D} \longrightarrow V_{D_{2}}, \\
\iota_{a}: V_{D} \longrightarrow V_{D_{2}} . \tag{68}
\end{array}
$$
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The map $m_{a}$ is associated to the surface presented in Figure 28. Hereafter we depict surfaces embedded in $U \times[0,1]$ by a sequence of their cross sections $U \times$ $\{t\}, t \in[0,1]$, the leftmost one being the intersection of the surface with $U \times\{0\}$, the rightmost being the intersection with $U \times\{1\}$. For such a surface $S \in U \times[0,1]$, we call the projection $S \rightarrow[0,1]$ the height function of $S$. These surfaces have only nondegenerate critical points relative to the height function. We depict enough sections of $S$ to make it obvious what surface we are considering, sometimes adding extra information, for example, that the surface in Figure 28 has one saddle point and no other critical points relative to the height function.

In Figure 28, the intersections $S \cap U \times\{0\}, S \cap U \times\{1\}$ of the surface $S$ with the boundary disks $U \times\{0\}, U \times\{1\}$ are isomorphic to the intersections $D_{2} \cap(U \times\{0\})$ (respectively, $D \cap(U \times\{1\})$ ). Thus, $S$ defines a map $m_{a}$ from the cube $V_{D_{2}}$ to $V_{D}$.

The cube map $\Delta_{a}$ is associated to the surface shown in Figure 29. This surface has one saddle point and no other critical points relative to the height function.

The cube map $t_{a}$ is associated to the surface shown in Figure 30. The only critical


Figure 30
point of the height function is a local minimum.
The cube maps $m_{a}, \Delta_{a}, l_{a}$ are graded maps and change the grading by $-1,-1,1$, respectively. So let us keep in mind that $m_{a}, \Delta_{a}, l_{a}$ become grading-preserving if we appropriately shift gradings of our cubes; for example,

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{a}: V_{D_{2}} \longrightarrow V_{D}\{-1\},  \tag{69}\\
& \Delta_{a}: V_{D} \longrightarrow V_{D_{2}}\{-1\}  \tag{70}\\
& \iota_{a}: V_{D} \longrightarrow V_{D_{2}}\{1\} \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

are grading-preserving maps of cubes over $R-\bmod _{0}$.
The composition $m_{a} l_{a}$ is equal to the identity map from $V_{D}$ to itself. Denote by $J_{a}$ the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{a} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \Delta_{a}-\iota_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a}: V_{D} \longrightarrow V_{D_{2}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map $J_{a}$ is a graded map of degree -1 .
Proposition 11. The $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V_{D_{2}}$ splits as a direct sum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D_{2}}=\iota_{a}\left(V_{D}\right) \oplus J_{a}\left(V_{D}\right) . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is enough to consider the case when $D$ is a single circle. Then $\mathscr{夕}^{\prime}=\{a\}$, $\mathscr{I}=\emptyset, V_{D}=A$, and $\iota_{a}\left(V_{D}\right)=\mathbf{1} \otimes A$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{a} \mathbf{1} & =\left(\Delta_{a}-\iota_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a}\right) \mathbf{1}=X \otimes \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1} \otimes X+c X \otimes X, \\
J_{a} X & =\left(\Delta_{a}-\iota_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a}\right) X=X \otimes X
\end{aligned}
$$

and, thus, $A \otimes A$ is a direct sum of $\mathbf{1} \otimes A$ and the $R$-submodule spanned by $J_{a} \mathbf{1}$ and $J_{a} X$.

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a} J a=m_{a}\left(\Delta_{a}-\iota_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a}\right)=0 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $m_{a} \iota_{a}=\mathrm{Id}$. The $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cube $V_{D_{1}}$ contains $V_{D}$ and $V_{D_{2}}$ as subcubes of codimension 1. Namely, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{D_{1}}(* 0) \cong V_{D_{2}}  \tag{75}\\
& V_{D_{1}}(* 1) \cong V_{D}\{-1\} . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall from Section 3.2 that $V_{D_{1}}(* 0)$ denotes the $\mathscr{I}^{\prime} \backslash\{a\}$-cube (i.e., $\mathscr{I}$-cube) with $V_{D_{1}}(* 0)(\mathscr{L})=V_{D_{1}}(\mathscr{L})$ for $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$, and so on. Under these isomorphisms the structure $\operatorname{map} \xi_{a}^{V_{D_{1}}}$ (denoted below by $\xi_{a}$ ) for the $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cube $V_{D_{1}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{a}: V_{D_{1}}(* 0) \longrightarrow V_{D_{1}}(* 1) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to the map $m_{a}$ of $\mathscr{\mathscr { L }}$-cubes; that is, the following diagram is commutative:


Using the splitting (73) of $V_{D_{2}}$, we can decompose the $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cube $V_{D_{1}}$ as a direct sum of two $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cubes as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D_{1}}=V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{\prime}(* 0) & =\jmath_{a}\left(V_{D}\right)  \tag{79}\\
V^{\prime}(* 1) & =0  \tag{80}\\
V^{\prime \prime}(* 0) & =\iota_{a}\left(V_{D}\right)  \tag{81}\\
V^{\prime \prime}(* 1) & =V_{D_{1}}(* 1) \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

Some explanation: In the formula (79), $J_{a}\left(V_{D}\right)$ is a subcube of $V_{D_{2}}$ and, due to (75), $J_{a}\left(V_{D}\right)$ sits inside $V_{D_{1}}$ as a subcube of codimension 1. Equation (80) means that $V^{\prime}(* 1)(\mathscr{L})=0$ for all $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}^{\prime}$. Thus, $V^{\prime}(\mathscr{L})=J_{a}\left(V_{D}(\mathscr{L})\right) \subset V_{D_{1}}(\mathscr{L})$ for $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}^{\prime}$ if $\mathscr{L}$ does not contain $a$. If $\mathscr{L}$ contains $a, V^{\prime}(\mathscr{L})=0$.

Tensoring (78) with $E_{\mathscr{\Phi}^{\prime}}$, we get a splitting of skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cubes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D_{1}} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}=\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

This induces a splitting of complexes associated to these skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cubes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}\left(V_{D_{1}} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right)=\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{q}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 12. The complex $\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{夕^{\prime}}\right)$ is acyclic.
Proof. The complex $\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{q}^{\prime}}\right)$ is isomorphic to the cone of the identity map of the complex $\bar{C}\left(V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{G}}\right)[-1]\{-1\}$.

Proposition 13. The complexes $\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{ף^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\bar{C}\left(V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}}\right)\{1\}$ are isomorphic.
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Proof. We have a chain of isomorphisms of complexes

$$
\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right)=\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime}(* 0) \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}}\right)=\bar{C}\left(V_{D}\{1\} \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}}\right)=\bar{C}\left(V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}}\right)\{1\}
$$

Corollary 3. The complexes $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\bar{C}(D)\{1\}$ are quasi-isomorphic.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right) & =\bar{C}\left(V_{D_{1}} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\bar{C}\left(V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}}\right)\{1\} \oplus \bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\bar{C}(D)\{1\} \oplus(\text { acyclic complex }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $x\left(D_{1}\right)=x(D)$ and $y\left(D_{1}\right)=y(D)+1$. By (45),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(D)=\bar{C}(D)[x(D)]\{2 x(D)-y(D)\} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(D_{1}\right) & =\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)\left[x\left(D_{1}\right)\right]\left\{2 x\left(D_{1}\right)-y\left(D_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)[x(D)]\{2 x(D)-y(D)-1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, complexes $C(D)$ and $C\left(D_{1}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphic.
5.2. Right-twisted curl. Let $D$ be a diagram with $n-1$ double points and let $D_{1}$ be a diagram constructed from $D$ by adding a right-twisted curl. Denote by $a$ the new crossing that appears in the curl. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the set of crossings of $D$ and $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$ the set of crossings of $D_{1}$. We have a natural bijection of sets $\mathscr{I} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}^{\prime} \backslash\{a\}$ and use it to identify these two sets.

Crossing $a$ can be resolved in two ways; see Figure 31. 0-resolution gives a diagram, isotopic to $D$ and canonically identified with $D$. 1-resolution produces a diagram, denoted $D_{2}$, which is a disjoint union of $D$ and a simple circle. Note that diagrams $D$ and $D_{2}$ are the same as diagrams $D$ and $D_{2}$ from Section 5.1, and we can use cube maps $m_{a}, \Delta_{a}, l_{a}$ defined in that section.

Also define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{a}: V_{D_{2}} \longrightarrow V_{D}, \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $\epsilon_{a}$ is associated to the surface shown in Figure 32. This surface has one critical point relative to the height function and it is a local maximum. The cube map $\epsilon_{a}$ changes the grading by 1 and becomes grading-preserving after an appropriate shift:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{a}: V_{D_{2}} \longrightarrow V_{D}\{1\} . \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\aleph$ be the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\aleph=\iota_{a}-c \iota_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a}: V_{D} \longrightarrow V_{D_{2}} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\aleph$ is graded of degree 1 .
Proposition 14. We have a cube splitting

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D_{2}}=\aleph\left(V_{D}\right) \oplus \Delta_{a}\left(V_{D}\right) \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It suffices to check this when $D$ is a simple circle. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\aleph(\mathbf{1}) & =\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}-2 c \mathbf{1} \otimes X, \\
\aleph(X) & =\mathbf{1} \otimes X .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $R$-submodule of $A \otimes A$ generated by these two vectors complements $\Delta(A)$, and there is direct sum decomposition of $R$-modules

$$
A \otimes A=R \cdot \aleph(\mathbf{1}) \oplus R \cdot \aleph(X) \oplus \Delta(A)
$$

Denote by $\wp$ the cube map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp=m_{a}-m_{a} \Delta_{a} \epsilon_{a}: V_{D_{2}} \longrightarrow V_{D} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\wp$ is a graded map of degree -1 .
Lemma 1. We have equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\wp \Delta_{a} & =0  \tag{91}\\
\wp \aleph & =\operatorname{Id}\left(V_{D}\right) \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Map $\wp \Delta_{a}: V_{D} \rightarrow V_{D}$ is the zero map because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp \Delta_{a}=m_{a} \Delta_{a}-m_{a} \Delta_{a} \epsilon_{a} \Delta_{a}=m_{a} \Delta_{a}-m_{a} \Delta_{a}=0 \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the second equality uses that $\epsilon_{a} \Delta_{a}=\mathrm{Id}$ ). The equality (92) is checked similarly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\wp \aleph & =\left(m_{a}-m_{a} \Delta_{a} \epsilon_{a}\right)\left(\iota_{a}-c l_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a}\right) \\
& =m_{a} l_{a}-c m_{a} l_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a}-m_{a} \Delta_{a} \epsilon_{a} \iota_{a}+c m_{a} \Delta_{a} \epsilon_{a} l_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a} \\
& =\operatorname{Id}-c m_{a} \Delta_{a}+c m_{a} \Delta_{a}-c^{2} m_{a} \Delta_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a} \\
& =\operatorname{Id}-c^{2} m_{a} \Delta_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a} \\
& =\mathrm{Id}
\end{aligned}
$$

The third equality in the computation above follows from the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{a} l_{a}=\mathrm{Id}, \quad \epsilon_{a} l_{a}=-c \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fifth equality is implied by $m_{a} \Delta_{a} m_{a} \Delta_{a}=0$. This identity follows from the nilpotence property $m \Delta m \Delta=0$ of the structure maps $m$ and $\Delta$ of $A$.

Using the splitting (89) of $V_{D_{2}}$ and Lemma 1 , we can decompose the $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cube $V_{D_{1}}$ as a direct sum of two $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cubes as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D_{1}}=V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{\prime}(* 0) & =0  \tag{96}\\
V^{\prime}(* 1) & =\aleph\left(V_{D}\right)\{-1\} \subset V_{D_{2}}\{-1\}=V_{D_{1}}(* 1)  \tag{97}\\
V^{\prime \prime}(* 0) & =V_{D}=V_{D_{1}}(* 0)  \tag{98}\\
V^{\prime \prime}(* 1) & =\Delta_{a}\left(V_{D}\right)\{-1\} \subset V_{D_{2}}\{-1\}=V_{D_{1}}(* 1) \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

Tensoring (95) with $E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}$, we get a splitting of skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cubes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D_{1}} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}=\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

This induces a splitting of complexes associated to these skew $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cubes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}\left(V_{D_{1}} \otimes E_{\mathscr{\Psi}^{\prime}}\right)=\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{夕}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 15. The complex $\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{ף^{\prime}}\right)$ is acyclic.
Proof. The complex $\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}^{\prime}}\right)$ is isomorphic to the cone of the identity map of the complex $\bar{C}\left(V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{G}}\right)[-1]$.

Proposition 16. The complexes $\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\bar{C}(D)[-1]\{-2\}$ are isomorphic.
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Proof. We have a chain of isomorphisms of complexes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathcal{Y}^{\prime}}\right) & =\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime}(* 1) \otimes E_{\mathscr{f}}\right)[-1] \\
& =\bar{C}\left(V_{D}\{-2\} \otimes E_{\mathscr{F}}\right)[-1] \\
& =\bar{C}\left(V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{q}}\right)[-1]\{-2\} \\
& =\bar{C}(D)[-1]\{-2\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first isomorphism here follows from (96) and is obtained by fixing an isomorphism between skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cubes $E_{\mathscr{I}^{\prime}}(* 1)$ and $E_{\mathscr{g}}$. The second isomorphism comes from an isomorphism $V^{\prime}(* 1)=V_{D}\{-2\}$, induced by $\kappa$.

Corollary 4. The complexes $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\bar{C}(D)[-1]\{-2\}$ are quasi-isomorphic.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right) & =\bar{C}\left(V_{D_{1}} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\bar{C}\left(V^{\prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \oplus \bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{T}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\bar{C}(D)[-1]\{-2\} \oplus \bar{C}\left(V^{\prime \prime} \otimes E_{\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\bar{C}(D)[-1]\{-2\} \oplus(\text { acyclic complex })
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $x\left(D_{1}\right)=x(D)+1$ and $y\left(D_{1}\right)=y(D)$. By (45),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(D)=\bar{C}(D)[x(D)]\{2 x(D)-y(D)\} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(D_{1}\right) & =\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)\left[x\left(D_{1}\right)\right]\left\{2 x\left(D_{1}\right)-y\left(D_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& =\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)[x(D)+1]\{2 x(D)-y(D)+2\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, complexes $C(D)$ and $C\left(D_{1}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphic.
5.3. The tangency move. Let $D$ and $D_{1}$ be two diagrams that differ as depicted in Figure 33. In this section we construct a quasi-isomorphism of complexes $C(D)$ and $C\left(D_{1}\right)$.

We assume that $D$ has $n-2$ double points. Consequently, $D_{1}$ has $n$ double points. Let $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$ be the set of double points of $D_{1}$, let $\mathscr{I}$ be $\mathscr{I}^{\prime} \backslash\{a, b\}$, where $a$ and $b$ are double points of $D_{1}$ depicted in Figure 33. We identify $\mathscr{I}$ with the double points set of $D$.


Denote by $d$ the differential of the complex $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$. Consider diagrams $D_{1}(* 00)$, $D_{1}(* 01), D_{1}(* 10), D_{1}(* 11)$ obtained by resolving double points $a$ and $b$ of $D_{1}$, as in Figure 34. (E.g., $D_{1}(* 01)$ is constructed from $D_{1}$ by taking the 0 -resolution of $a$ and 1-resolution of $b$, etc.) Each of these four diagrams has $\mathscr{I}$ as the set of its double points.

To each diagram $D_{1}(* u v)$, where $u, v \in\{0,1\}$, there is associated the complex $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* u v)\right)$ of graded $R$-modules. Denote by $d_{u v}$ the differential in this complex:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{u v}: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* u v)\right) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* u v)\right) . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $d_{u v}^{(i)}$ the differential in shifted complexes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{u v}^{(i)}: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* u v)\right)[i]\{i\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* u v)\right)[i]\{i\} \quad \text { for } i \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V_{D_{1}}$ can be viewed as a commutative square of $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cubes

where $\phi_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 4$, denote the corresponding cube maps. Recall that these cube maps are associated to certain elementary surfaces (see Sections 4.2, 4.3) that have one saddle point relative to the height function and no other critical points. For example, $\phi_{1}$ is associated to the surface shown in Figure 35. The maps $\phi_{i}$ induce maps $\psi_{i}$ between complexes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1}: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)\{-1\}, \\
& \psi_{2}: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)\{-1\}, \\
& \psi_{3}: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-1]\{-2\}, \\
& \psi_{4}: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-1]\{-2\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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We can decompose $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$, considered as a $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-graded $R$-module (see the end of Section 3.1), into the following direct sum of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-graded $R$-modules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)= & \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right) \oplus \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \\
& \oplus \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \oplus \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us say a few words about this decomposition: $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ is the direct sum of $R$ modules $V_{D_{1}}(\mathscr{L})$, which sit in the vertices of the $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cube $V_{D_{1}}$. Since we presented this cube as a commutative square of $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$-cubes $V_{D_{1}(* u v)}\{-u-v\}$ for $u, v \in\{0,1\}$, the above decomposition results. Well, almost. Indeed, when we pass from $\mathscr{I}$-cubes to complexes, we tensor with the fixed skew cube $E_{\mathscr{g}}$. To define the left-hand side of the above formula, we tensor $V_{D_{1}}$ with the skew $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$-cube $E_{\mathscr{夕}^{\prime}}$, while for the right-hand side similar tensor products are formed with the skew $\mathscr{I}$-cube $E_{\mathscr{I}}$. Therefore, we must say how we identify $R$-modules sitting in the vertices of $E_{\mathscr{夕}^{\prime}}$ with $R$-modules sitting in the vertices of $E_{\mathscr{g}}$. For $D_{1}(* 00)$, we map $E_{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{L})$, where $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$, to $E_{\mathscr{I}^{\prime}}(\mathscr{L})$ by sending $z \in o(\mathscr{L})$ to $z \in o(\mathscr{L})$. For $D_{1}(* 10)$, we map $E_{\mathscr{I}}(\mathscr{L})$, where $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$, to $E_{\mathscr{T}^{\prime}}(\mathscr{L} a)$ by sending $z \in o(\mathscr{L})$ to $z a \in o(\mathscr{L} a)$. We proceed similarly for $D_{1}(* 01)$. For $D_{1}(* 11)$, we map $E_{\mathscr{G}}(\mathscr{L})$ to $E_{\mathscr{G}^{\prime}}(\mathscr{L} a b)$ by sending $z \in o(\mathscr{L})$ to $z a b \in o(\mathscr{L} a b)$. This is not a canonical choice, since we could have sent $z$ to $z b a$ and would have gotten minus the original map. So, to define the latter map, we implicitly fix an ordering of $a$ and $b$.

Note that the above decomposition is not a direct sum of complexes, as the differential $d_{u v}^{(-u-v)}$ of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* u v)\right)$ differs from $d$ restricted to $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* u v)\right)[-u-v]\{-u-$ $v\} \subset \bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$, except when $u=v=1$. Exactly, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d x=d_{00} x+[-1] \psi_{1} x+[-1] \psi_{2} x & \text { for } x \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right), \\
d x=-d_{01}^{(-1)} x-[-1] \psi_{3} x & \text { for } x \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\}, \\
d x=-d_{10}^{(-1)} x+[-1] \psi_{4} x & \text { for } x \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\}, \\
d x=d_{11}^{(-2)} x & \text { for } x \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\} .
\end{array}
$$

Some explanation. Applying $\psi_{1}$ to $x \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)$, we get an element of the complex $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)\{-1\}$, so that we shift $\psi_{1} x$ by $[-1]$ to land it in $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)$ $[-1]\{-1\} \subset \bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$, and so forth. Various signs in the above formulas come from our previous four identifications of the skew cube $E_{\mathscr{q}}$ with codimension 2 faces of $E_{\mathscr{q}^{\prime}}$.
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Let $\alpha$ be the map of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated to the surface shown in Figure 36. Considered as a map of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-graded $R$-modules, $\alpha$ is grading-preserving.

Let $\beta$ be the map of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated to the surface shown in Figure 37. Note that $\beta$ is a graded map of degree $(-1,0)$.

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ be $R$-submodules of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\left\{z+\alpha(z) \mid z \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\}\right\}  \tag{107}\\
& X_{2}=\left\{z+d w \mid z, w \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)\right\}  \tag{108}\\
& X_{3}=\left\{z+\beta(w) \mid z, w \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\}\right\} . \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 17. These submodules are stable under $d$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{i} \subset X_{i} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

and respect the $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$.
Proof. Let us first check that $X_{1}, X_{2}$, and $X_{3}$ are direct sums of their graded components. For $X_{2}$ it follows from the fact that $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)$ is a direct sum of its graded components and $d$ is graded of degree ( 1,0 ). Submodule $X_{3}$ is graded
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because $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\}$ is a direct sum of its graded components and $\beta$ is a graded map. Finally, $X_{1}$ is graded since $\alpha$ is grading-preserving.

We now verify that these three submodules are stable under $d$. For $X_{2}$ this is obvious. To see it for $X_{3}$, notice that $d z \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\}$ whenever $z \in$ $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\}$. Moreover, for such a $z$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \beta(z)=-d_{10}^{(-1)} \beta(z)+[-1] \psi_{4} \beta(z)=-d_{10}^{(-1)} \beta(z)+z=\beta d_{11}^{(-2)}(z)+z \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second equality is implied by $[-1] \psi_{4} \beta=\mathrm{Id}$. Map $\psi_{4} \beta$ is associated to the surface in Figure 38, which is obtained by composing surfaces to which $\psi_{4}$ and $\beta$ are associated. This surface is isotopic, through an isotopy fixing the boundary, to the surface shown in Figure 39, which represents the identity map. Hence $[-1] \psi_{4} \beta=\mathrm{Id}$. Formula (111) implies that $X_{3}$ is stable under $d$, since the rightmost term $\beta d_{11}^{(-2)}(z)+z$ lies in $X_{3}$.

Finally, to check the $d$-stability of $X_{1}$, we compute, for $z \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(z+\alpha(z)) & =d z+d \alpha(z) \\
& =-d_{01}^{(-1)} z-[-1] \psi_{3} z-d_{10}^{(-1)} \alpha(z)+[-1] \psi_{4} \alpha(z) \\
& =-\left(d_{01}^{(-1)} z+d_{10}^{(-1)} \alpha(z)\right)+[-1]\left(-\psi_{3} z+\psi_{4} \alpha(z)\right) \\
& =-\left(d_{01}^{(-1)} z+d_{10}^{(-1)} \alpha(z)\right) \\
& =-\left(d_{01}^{(-1)} z+\alpha d_{01}^{(-1)} z\right) \in X_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the fourth equality we use that $\psi_{4} \alpha=\psi_{3}$, and in the fifth that $\alpha d_{01}^{(-1)}=d_{10}^{(-1)} \alpha$, since $\alpha$ is a grading-preserving map of complexes.

Corollary 5. Submodules $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are graded subcomplexes of the complex $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$.

Proposition 18. (1) We have a direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)=X_{1} \oplus X_{2} \oplus X_{3} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the category $\operatorname{Kom}\left(R-\bmod _{0}\right)$ of complexes of graded $R$-modules.
(2) The complexes $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ are acyclic.
(3) The complex $X_{1}$ is isomorphic to the complex $\bar{C}(D)[-1]\{-1\}$.

Proof. Since we already know that $X_{1}, X_{2}$, and $X_{3}$ are graded subcomplexes of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$, it suffices to check (112) on the level of underlying abelian groups. We have $\alpha=\beta \psi_{3}$ and, therefore, for $z \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha z=\beta \psi_{3} z \in X_{3} . \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subcomplex $X_{1}$ consists of elements $z+\alpha z$ and we know that $\alpha z \in X_{3}$. We are thus reduced to proving the following direct sum splitting of abelian groups:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)=\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \oplus X_{2} \oplus X_{3} \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next recall that $X_{2}$ consists of elements $z+d w$ for $z, w \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)$. The differential $d w$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
d w=d_{00} w+[-1] \psi_{1} w+[-1] \psi_{2} w . \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $[-1] \psi_{2}(w) \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\}$ and $d_{00} w \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)$. Let $X_{2}^{\prime}$ be the subgroup of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{z+[-1] \psi_{1} w \mid z, w \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)\right\} \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is enough to verify that $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ is a direct sum of its subgroups $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]$ $\{-1\}, X_{2}^{\prime}$ and $X_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)=\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \oplus X_{2}^{\prime} \oplus X_{3} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $X_{3}$ contains $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\}$ and $X_{2}^{\prime}$ contains $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)$. Recall the direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)= & \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right) \oplus \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \\
& \oplus \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \oplus \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\}
\end{aligned}
$$

of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$. Let $X_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime}$ be the following abelian subgroups of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{2}^{\prime \prime} & =\left\{[-1] \psi_{1}(w) \mid w \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)\right\}, \\
X_{3}^{\prime} & =\left\{\beta(w) \mid w \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)[-2]\{-2\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Now we are reduced to proving the direct sum decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\}=X_{2}^{\prime \prime} \oplus X_{3}^{\prime} \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the category of abelian groups. As an abelian group, $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 10)\right)[-1]\{-1\}$ is a direct sum of $F\left(D_{1}(\mathscr{L} a)\right)$ over all possible resolutions of the $(n-2)$-double points of $D_{1}$. Similar direct sum splittings can be formed for $X_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $X_{3}^{\prime}$, and one sees then that it suffices to check (118) when $D_{1}$ has only two double points. There are two such $D_{1}$ 's, as seen in Figure 40. In each of these two cases decomposition (118) follows from the splitting (2). That proves part 1 of the proposition.

We next prove part 2 . The complex $\bar{C}\left(X_{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to the cone of the identity map of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 00)\right)[-1]$ and, therefore, acyclic. Similarly, $\bar{C}\left(X_{3}\right)$ is acyclic, being isomorphic to the cone of the identity map of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 11)\right)\{-2\}[-2]$.

To prove part 3 of the proposition, notice that the diagrams $D$ and $D_{1}(* 01)$ are isomorphic. This induces an isomorphism between the complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}(D)=\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right) \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

An isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \xrightarrow{\cong} X_{1} \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(z)=(-1)^{i}(z+\alpha(z)) \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in \bar{C}^{i}\left(D_{1}(* 01)\right)[-1]\{-1\}$. We need $(-1)^{i}$ in the above formula to match the differentials in these two complexes.

Corollary 6. The complexes $\bar{C}(D)[-1]\{-1\}$ and $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphic.
Note that $x\left(D_{1}\right)=x(D)+1$ and $y\left(D_{1}\right)=y(D)+1$. From (45) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(D) & =\bar{C}(D)[x(D)]\{2 y(D)-x(D)\} \\
C\left(D_{1}\right) & =\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)[x(D)+1]\{2 y(D)-x(D)+1\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, together with Corollary 6 , implies that $C(D)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $C\left(D_{1}\right)$.
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5.4. Triple point move. We are given two diagrams with $n$ double points each, $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, that differ as depicted in Figure 41. In this section we construct a quasiisomorphism of complexes $C\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $C\left(D_{2}\right)$.

Let $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}$ be the set of double points of $D_{1}$. We have $\mathscr{I}^{\prime}=\mathscr{I} \sqcup\left\{p_{1}, q_{1}, r_{1}\right\}$, where $\mathscr{I}$ are all double points not shown on Figure 41. In particular, we can identify $\mathscr{I} \sqcup\left\{p_{2}, q_{2}, r_{2}\right\}$ with the set of double points of $D_{2}$.

For starters, consider Figure 42. The diagrams $D_{1}(* 0), D_{1}(* 1), D_{2}(* 0), D_{2}(* 1)$ are obtained by resolving double points $r_{1}$ of $D_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ of $D_{2}$. Note that diagrams $D_{1}(* 1)$ and $D_{2}(* 1)$ are isomorphic and that diagrams $D_{1}(* 0)$ and $D_{2}(* 0)$ represent isotopic links.

We decompose $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)$ into following direct sums:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{C}\left(D_{i}\right)=\bar{C}\left(D_{i}(* 1)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \oplus \bigoplus_{u, v \in\{0,1\}} \bar{C}\left(D_{i}(* u v 0)\right)[-u-v]\{-u-v\} \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are direct sum decompositions of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-graded $R$-modules, not complexes.
The diagrams $D_{i}(* u v 0)$ for $i=1,2$ and $u, v \in\{0,1\}$ are depicted in Figures 43 and 44. For all $i, u, v$, we identify the set of double points of $D_{i}(* u v 0)$ with $\mathscr{I}$. To fix the direct decomposition (122), we need identifications between the skew cube $E_{\mathscr{g}}$ and codimension 3 facets of $E_{\mathscr{\Phi}^{\prime}}$. From the discussion in the previous section it should be clear how these identifications are chosen. For instance, for $D_{1}(* 110)$, we map $E_{\mathscr{9}}$ to a codimension 3 facet of $E_{\mathscr{q}^{\prime}}$ via maps $E_{\mathscr{q}}(\mathscr{L}) \rightarrow E_{\mathscr{I}^{\prime}}\left(\mathscr{L} p_{1} q_{1}\right)$ given by $o(\mathscr{L}) \ni z \longmapsto z p_{1} q_{1} \in o\left(\mathscr{L} \sqcup\left\{p_{1}, q_{1}\right\}\right)$.

Let $\tau_{1}$ be the map of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 100)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 010)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 43
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Figure 45
associated to the surface shown in Figure 45. Relative to the height function, this surface has two critical points, one of which is a saddle point and the other a local minimum. Considered as a map of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-graded $R$-modules, $\tau_{1}$ is grading-preserving.

Let $\delta_{1}$ be the map of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}: \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 110)\right)[-2]\{-2\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 010)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated to the surface depicted in Figure 46. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ be $R$-submodules of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{1}=\left\{x+\tau_{1}(x)+y \mid x \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 100)\right)[-1]\{-1\}, y \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 1)\right)[-1]\{-1\}\right\} \\
& X_{2}=\left\{x+d_{1} y \mid x, y \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 000)\right)\right\} \\
& X_{3}=\left\{\delta_{1}(x)+d_{1} \delta_{1}(y) \mid x, y \in \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 110)\right)[-2]\{-2\}\right\} \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{1}$ denotes the differential of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$. Warning: These $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ have no relation to the complexes $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ considered in Section 5.3.
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Propositions 19-21 can be proved in the same fashion as Propositions 17 and 18 of the previous section. For this reason and to keep this paper concise, the proofs are omitted.

Proposition 19. Submodules $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are stable under $d_{1}$ and respect the $\mathbb{Z} \oplus$ $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$.

Corollary 7. Submodules $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are graded subcomplexes of the complex $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$.

Let $\tau_{2}$ be the map of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}: \bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 010)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 100)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated to the surface shown in Figure 47. Considered as a map of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$-graded $R$-modules, $\tau_{2}$ is grading-preserving. Let $\delta_{2}$ be the map of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{2}: \bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 110)\right)[-2]\{-2\} \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 100)\right)[-1]\{-1\} \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

associated to the surface in Figure 48.
Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}$ be $R$-submodules of $\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{1}=\left\{x+\tau_{2}(x)+y \mid x \in \bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 010)\right)[-1]\{-1\}, y \in \bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 1)\right)[-1]\{-1\}\right\}, \\
& Y_{2}=\left\{x+d_{2} y \mid x, y \in \bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 000)\right)\right\} \\
& Y_{3}=\left\{\delta_{2}(x)+d_{2} \delta_{2}(y) \mid x, y \in \bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 110)\right)[-2]\{-2\}\right\}, \tag{128}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{2}$ stands for the differential of $\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)$.
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Proposition 20. These submodules are stable under $d_{2}$ and respect the $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ grading of $\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)$.

Corollary 8. Subcomplexes $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}$ are graded subcomplexes of the complex $\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 21. (1) We have direct sum decompositions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)=X_{1} \oplus X_{2} \oplus X_{3}  \tag{129}\\
& \bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)=Y_{1} \oplus Y_{2} \oplus Y_{3} \tag{130}
\end{align*}
$$

(2) The complexes $X_{2}, X_{3}, Y_{2}$, and $Y_{3}$ are acyclic.
(3) The complexes $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Parts 1 and 2 of this proposition are proved similarly to Proposition 18. The isomorphism $X_{1} \cong Y_{1}$ comes from the diagram isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1}(* 100) & =D_{2}(* 010) \\
D_{1}(* 1) & =D_{2}(* 1) \tag{131}
\end{align*}
$$

These diagram isomorphisms induce isomorphisms of complexes

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 100)\right) & =\bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 010)\right) \\
\bar{C}\left(D_{1}(* 1)\right) & =\bar{C}\left(D_{2}(* 1)\right) \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

which allow us to identify $x$ in the definition (125) of $X_{1}$ with $x$ in the definition (128) of $Y_{1}$ and, similarly, identify $y$ 's. An isomorphism $X_{1} \cong Y_{1}$ of complexes is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1} \ni x+\tau_{1}(x)+y \longmapsto x+\tau_{2}(x)+y \in Y_{1} . \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 9. Complexes $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphic.
The above isomorphism of complexes $X_{1}$ and $Y_{1}$ induces a quasi-isomorphism of $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\bar{C}\left(D_{2}\right)$. Note that $x\left(D_{1}\right)=x\left(D_{2}\right)$ and $y\left(D_{1}\right)=y\left(D_{2}\right)$. Therefore, the complexes $C\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $C\left(D_{2}\right)$ are quasi-isomorphic, and the cohomology groups $H^{i}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $H^{i}\left(D_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic as graded $R$-modules.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.


Figure 49

## 6. Properties of cohomology groups

6.1. Some elementary properties. Pick an oriented link $L$ and a component $L^{\prime}$ of $L$. Let $L_{0}$ be $L$ with the orientation of $L^{\prime}$ reversed, and let $l$ be the linking number of $L^{\prime}$ and $L \backslash L^{\prime}$. Fixing a plane diagram $D$ of $L$, we count $l$ as half the number of double intersection points in $D$ of $L^{\prime}$ with $L \backslash L^{\prime}$ with weights +1 or -1 according to the convention depicted in Figure 49.

Denote by $D_{0}$ the diagram $D$ with the reversed orientation of $L^{\prime}$. Since $D_{0}$ and $D$ are the same as unoriented diagrams, $\bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)=\bar{C}(D)$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(D_{0}\right)=x(D)-2 l, \quad y\left(D_{0}\right)=y(D)+2 l \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 22. For $L, L_{0}$ as above, there is an equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}\left(L_{0}\right)=H^{i+2 l}(L)\{2 l\} \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

of isomorphism classes of graded $R$-modules.
Let $K, K_{1}$ be oriented knots and $(-K)$ be $K$ with its orientation reversed. In a similar fashion we deduce the following.

Proposition 23. There is an equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}\left(K \# K_{1}\right)=H^{i}\left((-K) \# K_{1}\right) \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

of isomorphism classes of graded $R$-modules.
Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented link $L$ and denote by $\mathrm{cm}(L)$ the number of connected components of $L$. Then it is easy to see that $C_{j}^{i}(D)=0$ if parities of $j$ and $\mathrm{cm}(L)$ differ. This observation implies the next proposition.

Proposition 24. For an oriented link L,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i, j}(L)=0 \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $j+1 \equiv \mathrm{~cm}(L)(\bmod 2)$.


Figure 50. Diagram $D$ and its four resolutions
6.2. Computational shortcuts and cohomology of $(2, n)$ torus links. Given a plane diagram $D$, a straighforward computation of cohomology groups $H^{i}(D)$ is daunting. These groups are cohomology groups of the graded complex $C(D)$, and the ranks of the abelian groups $C_{j}^{i}(D)$ grow exponentially in the complexity of $D$. Probably there is no fast algorithm for computing $H^{i}(D)$, since these groups carry full information about the Jones polynomial, computing which is \# $P$-hard (see [JVW]).

Yet, one can try to reduce $C(D)$ to a much smaller complex, albeit still exponentially large, but more practical for a computation. In this section we provide an example by simplifying $C(D)$ in the case when $D$ contains a chain of positive halftwists, and we apply our result by computing cohomology groups of $(2, n)$ torus links.

Let $D$ be a plane diagram with $n$ crossings and suppose that $D$ contains a subdiagram as pictured in Figure 50. Four possible resolutions of these two double points of $D$ produce diagrams $D(* 00), D(* 01), D(* 10)$, and $D(* 11)$.

Note that diagrams $D(* 01)$ and $D(* 10)$ are isomorphic and $D(* 00)$ is isomorphic to a union of $D(* 01)$ and a simple circle. The complex $\bar{C}(D)$ is isomorphic to the total complex of the bicomplex

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots \longrightarrow \\
& 0 \longrightarrow \bar{C}(D(* 00)) \xrightarrow{\partial^{0}} \bar{C}(D(* 01))\{-1\} \oplus \bar{C}(D(* 10))\{-1\} \\
& \xrightarrow{\partial^{1}} \bar{C}(D(* 11))\{-2\} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the differentials $\partial^{0}$ and $\partial^{1}$ are determined by the structure maps of the skew $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V_{D} \otimes E_{\mathscr{I}}$ (where $\mathscr{I}$ is the set of crossings of $D$ ). Denote this bicomplex by $C$.

To simplify notation we denote the diagram $D(* 01)$ by $D_{0}$ and $D(* 11)$ by $D_{1}$ (see
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Figure 51). Then the bicomplex $C$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots & 0 \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right) \otimes A \xrightarrow{\partial^{0}} \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{-1\} \oplus \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{-1\} \\
& \xrightarrow{\partial^{1}} \bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)\{-2\} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, the differential $\partial^{0}$, if restricted to the subcomplex $\bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right) \otimes \mathbf{1}$ of $\bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right) \otimes A$, is injective, and so the total complex of the subbicomplex

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow C\left(D_{0}\right) \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{0}} C\left(D_{0}\right)\{-1\} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $C$ is acyclic. Denote this subbicomplex by $C_{s}$ and the quotient bicomplex by $C / C_{s}$. The total complexes $\operatorname{Tot}(C)$ and $\operatorname{Tot}\left(C / C_{s}\right)$ of $C$ and $C / C_{s}$ are quasi-isomorphic; so to compute the cohomology of $\bar{C}(D)=\operatorname{Tot}(C)$ it suffices to find the cohomology of $\operatorname{Tot}\left(C / C_{s}\right)$.

We next give a precise description of the bicomplex $\operatorname{Tot}\left(C / C_{s}\right)$. Let $u, l$, $w$ be maps of complexes

$$
\begin{align*}
& u: \bar{C}(D(* 00)) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)  \tag{139}\\
& l: \bar{C}(D(* 00)) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)  \tag{140}\\
& w: \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right) \tag{141}
\end{align*}
$$

induced by the surfaces shown in Figure 52, respectively. Note that each of these maps has degree -1 , and to make them homogeneous we need to shift gradings of our complexes appropriately. We use the same notation for shifted maps, since the shifts are always clear.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
v: \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right) \otimes A=\bar{C}(D(* 00)) \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the map of complexes $v(t)=t \otimes X, t \in \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)$. The map $v$ has degree -1 . Denote by $u_{X}$ and $l_{X}$ the compositions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{X}=u \circ v, \quad l_{X}=l \circ v \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are degree (-2) maps of complexes, and for each $i$ they induce degree 0 maps $\bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{i\} \rightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{i-2\}$, also denoted $u_{X}$ and $l_{X}$.
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Lemma 2. The bicomplex $C / C_{s}$ is isomorphic to the bicomplex

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{1\} \xrightarrow{u_{X}-l_{X}} \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{-1\} \xrightarrow{w} \bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)\{-2\} \longrightarrow 0 . \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

We skip the proof, which is a simple linear algebra.
Corollary 10. Cohomology groups $\bar{H}^{i}(D)$ are isomorphic to the cohomology of the total complex of the bicomplex (144).

We thus see that the cohomology $\bar{H}^{i}(D)$ of the diagram $D$ can be computed via the quotient complex $\operatorname{Tot}\left(C / C_{s}\right)$ of $\bar{C}(D)$. The quotient complex is smaller than the original one, and computing its cohomology requires less work. This reduction is not drastic since ranks of homogeneous components of complexes $\bar{C}(D)$ and $\operatorname{Tot}\left(C / C_{s}\right)$ have the same order of magnitude; but a similar reduction (described next, when $D$ contains a long chain of positive twists) leads to an effective computation of $H^{i}(D)$ for certain diagrams $D$.

Suppose that a diagram $D$ contains a chain of $k$ positive half-twists, as in Figure 53. As before, denote by $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ diagrams that are suitable resolutions of the $k$-chain of $D$.


Figure 53. Diagram $D$ and two resolutions
From our previous discussion we retain degree (-2) maps $u_{X}, l_{X}$ and a degree $(-1)$ map $w$ between (appropriately shifted) complexes $\bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)$ and $\bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the bicomplex

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \longrightarrow \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{k-1\} \xrightarrow{\partial^{0}} \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{k-3\} \xrightarrow{\partial^{1}} \cdots \\
\xrightarrow{\partial^{k-3}} \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{3-k\} \xrightarrow{\partial^{k-2}} \bar{C}\left(D_{0}\right)\{1-k\} \xrightarrow{\partial^{k-1}} \bar{C}\left(D_{1}\right)\{-k\} \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{k-1} & =w \\
\partial^{k-2} & =u_{X}-l_{X} \\
\partial^{k-3} & =u_{X}+l_{X} \\
\partial^{k-4} & =u_{X}-l_{X} \\
& \cdots \\
\partial^{0} & =u_{X}-(-1)^{k} l_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{k-i}=u_{X}-(-1)^{i} l_{X}, \quad \text { for } 2 \leq i \leq k \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 25. The complex $\bar{C}(D)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the total complex $\operatorname{Tot}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ of the bicomplex $C^{\prime}$. Cohomology groups $\bar{H}^{i}(D)$ are isomorphic to the cohomology groups of $\operatorname{Tot}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$.

The proof goes by induction on $k$, induction base $k=2$ being given by Corollary 10 , and consists of finding a suitable acyclic subcomplex by which to quotient. We omit the details.

We conclude this section by applying this proposition to compute cohomology groups of $(2, k)$ torus links. Fix $k>0$ and denote by $D$ the diagram of the $(2, k)$ torus link $T_{2, k}$ depicted in Figure 54.

The diagram $D_{0}$ is isomorphic to a simple circle and $D_{1}$ to a disjoint union of two simple circles. Then $u_{X}=l_{X}$ is the operator $A \rightarrow A$ of multiplication by $X$ and the
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bicomplex $C^{\prime}$ becomes a complex

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \longrightarrow A\{k-1\} \longrightarrow & A\{k-3\} \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{0} A\{5-k\} \\
& \xrightarrow{2 X} A\{3-k\} \xrightarrow{0} A\{1-k\} \xrightarrow{\Delta} A \otimes A\{-k\} \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that $x(D)=k$ and $y(D)=0$, we get the following.
Proposition 26. The isomorphism classes of the graded $R$-modules $H^{i}\left(T_{2, k}\right)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{i}\left(T_{2, k}\right) & =0 \quad \text { for } i<-k \text { and } i>0, \\
H^{0}\left(T_{2, k}\right) & =R\{k\} \oplus R\{k-2\}, \\
H^{-1}\left(T_{2, k}\right) & =0, \\
H^{-2 j}\left(T_{2, k}\right) & =(R / 2 R)\{4 j+k\} \oplus R\{4 j-2+k\} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{k-1}{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
H^{-2 j-1}\left(T_{2, k}\right) & =R\{4 j+2+k\} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{k-1}{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
H^{-k}\left(T_{2, k}\right) & =R\{3 k\} \oplus R\{3 k-2\} \quad \text { for even } k .
\end{aligned}
$$

6.3. Link cobordisms and maps of cohomology groups. In this section, by a surface $S$ in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ we mean an oriented, compact surface $S$, possibly with boundary, properly embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0,1]$. The boundary of $S$ is then a disjoint union

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial S=\partial_{0} S \sqcup-\partial_{1} S \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the intersections of $S$ with two boundary components of $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0,1]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{0} S & =\left(S \cap \mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{0\}\right), \\
-\partial_{1} S & =\left(S \cap \mathbb{R}^{3} \times\{1\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\partial_{0} S$ and $\partial_{1} S$ are oriented links in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
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The surface $S$ can be represented by a sequence $J$ of plane diagrams of oriented links where every two consecutive diagrams in $J$ are related either by one of the Reidemeister moves (see Figures 10-13 of Section 4.1) or by one of the four moves depicted in Figure 55. (See [CS] where such representations by sequences of plane diagrams are studied in detail.) Following Carter-Saito [CS] and Fisher [Fs], we call these moves birth, death, and fusion. ([CS] and [Fs] deal with the nonoriented version of these moves.) We call $J$ a representation of $S$. The first diagram in the sequence $J$ is necessarily a diagram of the oriented link $\partial_{0} S$, and the last diagram is a diagram of $\partial_{1} S$.

The birth move consists of adding a simple closed curve to a diagram $D$. Denote the new diagram by $D_{1}$. Then $C\left(D_{1}\right)=C(D) \otimes_{R} A$ and the unit map $\iota: R \rightarrow A$ of the algebra $A$ induces a map of complexes $C(D) \rightarrow C\left(D_{1}\right)$. This is the map we associate to the birth move.

The death move consists of removing a simple circle from a diagram $D_{1}$ to get a diagram $D$. In this case the counit $\epsilon: A \rightarrow R$ induces a map of complexes $C\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $C(D)$.

Finally, to a fusion move between diagrams $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ we associate a map $C\left(D_{0}\right) \rightarrow C\left(D_{1}\right)$ corresponding to the elementary surface with one saddle point in the manner discussed in Section 4.3.

In Section 5, to each Reidemeister move between diagrams $D_{0}$ and $D_{1}$ we associated a quasi-isomorphism map of complexes $C\left(D_{0}\right) \rightarrow C\left(D_{1}\right)$. Given a representation $J$ of a surface $S$ by a sequence of diagrams, denote the first and last diagrams of $J$ by $J_{0}$ and $J_{1}$, respectively. Then to $J$ we can associate a map of complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{J}: C\left(J_{0}\right) \longrightarrow C\left(J_{1}\right) \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the composition of maps associated to elementary transformations between consecutive diagrams of $J$. The map $\varphi_{J}$ induces a map of cohomology groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{J}: H^{i, j}\left(J_{0}\right) \longrightarrow H^{i, j+\chi(S)}\left(J_{1}\right), \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to state our main conjecture.

Conjecture 1. If two representations $J, \widetilde{J}$ of a surface $S$ have the property that
(a) diagrams $J_{0}$ and $\widetilde{J}_{0}$ are isomorphic,
(b) diagrams $J_{1}$ and $\widetilde{J}_{1}$ are isomorphic,
then the maps $\theta_{J}$ and $\theta_{\tilde{J}}$ are equal up to an overall minus sign: $\theta_{J}= \pm \theta_{\tilde{J}}$.
In other words, we conjecture that, after a suitable $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ extension of the link cobordism category, our construction associates honest cohomology groups $H^{i}(L)$ to oriented links $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (and not just isomorphism classes of groups) and associates homomorphisms between these groups to isotopy classes of oriented surfaces embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \times[0,1]$. In the categorical language, we expect to get a functor from the category of ( $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extended) oriented link cobordisms to the category of bigraded $R$-modules and module homomorphisms.

Suppose that the above conjecture is true. Then, in the case of a closed oriented surface $S$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, the map $\theta_{S}$ of cohomology groups is a homomorphism from $R$ to itself (since $\partial S=\emptyset$ and the cohomology of the empty link is equal to the ground ring $R$ ). This homomorphism has degree $\chi(S)$ and is automatically zero when $\chi(S)<0$. Thus, the conjectural invariants are zero whenever $S$ has empty boundary and the Euler characteristic of $S$ is negative. If $\partial S=\emptyset$ and the Euler characteristic of $S$ is nonnegative (when $S$ is connected, $S$ is then necessarily a 2 -sphere or a 2 -torus), the homomorphism $\theta_{S}: R \rightarrow R$ is determined by $\theta_{S}(1)=k c^{(x(S) / 2)}$ and amounts to an integer number $k$. Hence, we expect to have integer-valued invariants of closed oriented surfaces with nonnegative Euler characteristic, embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.

## 7. Setting $c$ to zero

7.1. Cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}$. Setting $c=0$ and taking $\mathbb{Z}$ instead of $R=\mathbb{Z}[c]$ as the base ring, everything from Sections 2, 4, and 5 goes through in exactly the same manner. The role of the ring $A$ is played by the free graded abelian group $\mathcal{A}$ of rank 2 with generators $\mathbf{1}$ and $X$ in degrees 1 and -1 , correspondingly. $\mathscr{A}$ has commutative algebra and cocommutative coalgebra structures,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{1}^{2}=\mathbf{1}, \quad \mathbf{1} X=X \mathbf{1}=X, \quad X^{2}=0  \tag{149}\\
\Delta(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1} \otimes X+X \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \Delta(X)=X \otimes X, \tag{150}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the identity (16) holds. By abuse of notation, we use $m$ and $\Delta$ to denote multiplication and comultiplication in $A$. Earlier, $m$ and $\Delta$ were used to denote multiplication and comultiplication in A. As in Section 2.3, we construct a functor $\mathscr{F}$ from the category $\mathcal{M}$ of closed 1-manifolds and cobordisms between them to the category of graded abelian groups and graded homomorphisms. To a disjoint union of $k$ circles, the functor $\mathscr{F}$ assigns the group $\mathscr{A}^{\otimes k}$. To elementary surfaces $S_{2}^{1}, S_{1}^{2}, S_{0}^{1}, S_{1}^{0}, S_{2}^{2}$, and $S_{1}^{1}$ (see Section 2.3), the functor $\mathscr{F}$ assigns maps $m, \Delta, \iota, \epsilon$, Perm, and Id between
suitable tensor powers of $\mathscr{A}$. The maps $\iota: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ and $\epsilon: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota(1)=\mathbf{1}, \quad \epsilon(\mathbf{1})=0, \quad \epsilon(X)=1, \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

while Perm is just the permutation map $\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{A}$.
To a diagram $D$ of an oriented link $L$, we can then associate a commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $\mathscr{V}_{D}$ of graded abelian groups and grading-preserving homomorphism, by the same procedure as the one described in Section 4.2, using the functor $\mathscr{F}$ instead of $F$. In particular, for $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$ we have $\mathscr{V}_{D}(\mathscr{L})=\mathscr{F}(D(\mathscr{L}))\{-|\mathscr{L}|\}$ where $\{k\}$ shifts the grading down by $k$.

Let $\mathscr{A} \mathscr{B}$ be the category of graded abelian groups and grading-preserving homomorphisms. Let $\mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{I}}$ be the skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $E_{\mathscr{I}} \otimes_{R} \mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathscr{A} \mathscr{B}$.

Tensoring $\mathscr{V}_{D}$ with $\mathscr{E} \mathscr{I}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, we get a skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $\mathscr{V}_{D} \otimes \mathscr{E} g$ over the category $\mathscr{A} \mathscr{B}$. From this skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube, we get a complex $\overline{\mathscr{C}}\left(\mathscr{V}_{D} \otimes \mathscr{E} \mathscr{F}\right)$ of graded abelian groups with a grading-preserving differential (see Section 3.4). Denote by $\overline{\mathscr{C}}(D)$ this complex and by $\mathscr{C}(D)$ the shifted complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{C}(D)=\overline{\mathscr{C}}(D)[x(D)]\{2 x(D)-y(D)\} . \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider $\mathbb{Z}$ as a graded $R$-module, concentrated in degree zero so that $c \mathbb{Z}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathscr{C}}(D)=\bar{C}(D) \otimes_{R} \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathscr{C}(D)=C(D) \otimes_{R} \mathbb{Z} \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

To a plane diagram $D$ of an oriented link $L$, we thus associate a complex of graded abelian groups $\mathscr{C}(D)$. Denote the $i$ th cohomology group of the $j$ th graded summand of $\mathscr{C}(D)$ by $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$. These cohomology groups are finitely generated abelian groups. For each diagram $D$ as $i$ and $j$ vary over all integers, only a finite number of these groups are nonzero.

Theorem 2. For an oriented link L, isomorphism classes of abelian groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$ do not depend on the choice of a diagram $D$ of $L$ and are invariants of $L$.

Proof. Set $c=0$ in the proof of Theorem 1.
For a diagram $D$ of the link $L$, denote the isomorphism classes of $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$ by $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(L)$. Denote by $\overline{\mathscr{C}}^{i}(D)$ (respectively, $\mathscr{C}^{i}(D)$ ) the $i$ th group of the complex $\overline{\mathscr{C}}(D)$ (respectively, $\mathscr{C}(D)$ ) and by $\overline{\mathscr{C}}_{j}^{i}(D)$ (respectively, $\mathscr{C}_{j}^{i}(D)$ ) the $j$ th graded component of $\overline{\mathscr{C}}^{i}(D)$ (respectively, $\mathscr{C}^{i}(D)$ ), so that $\overline{\mathscr{C}}^{i}(D)=\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathscr{C}}_{j}^{i}(D)$ (respectively, $\left.\mathscr{C}^{i}(D)=\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{C}_{j}^{i}(D)\right)$. For a diagram $D$ denote by $\mathscr{H}^{i}(D)$ the graded abelian group $\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$. In other words, $\mathscr{H}^{i}(D)$ is the $i$ th cohomology group of $\mathscr{C}(D)$. Denote by $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{i}(D)$ the $i$ th cohomology group of the complex $\overline{\mathscr{C}}(D)$ and by $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{i, j}(D)$ the $j$ th graded component of $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{i}(D)$, so that $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{i}(D)=\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathscr{H}}^{i, j}(D)$.
7.2. Properties of $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}$ : Euler characteristic, change of orientation. The Kauffman bracket of an oriented link $L$ is equal to the graded Euler characteristic of the cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(L)$, as stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 27. For an oriented link L,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(L)=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i} q^{j} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}\right) \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(L)$ is the scaled Kauffman bracket (see Section 2.4).
The proof is completely analogous to that of formula (47). The statements and proofs of Propositions 22-24 transfer without change to the case of cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}$, as indicated below.

Let $L$ be an oriented link and $L^{\prime}$ a component of $L$. Denote by $l$ the linking number of $L^{\prime}$ with its complement $L \backslash L^{\prime}$ in $L$. Let $L_{0}$ be the link $L$ with the orientation of $L^{\prime}$ reversed.

Proposition 28. For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is an equality of isomorphism classes of abelian groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(L_{0}\right)=\mathscr{H}^{i+2 l, j+2 l}(L) . \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 29. Let $K$ and $K_{1}$ be oriented knots and $(-K)$ be $K$ with the reversed orientation. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(K \# K_{1}\right)=\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left((-K) \# K_{1}\right) . \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to Proposition 24 we can prove the following.
Proposition 30. For an oriented link L,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(L)=0 \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $j+1 \equiv \mathrm{~cm}(L)(\bmod 2)$.
7.3. Cohomology of the mirror image. Let $L$ be an oriented link and denote by $L^{!}$ the mirror image of $L$. Let $D$ be a diagram of $L$ with $n$ crossings, $\Phi$ the set of these crossings, and $D^{!}$the corresponding diagram of $L^{!}$, as shown in Figure 56.

If $M$ is a graded abelian group, $M=\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{j}$, define the dual graded abelian group $M^{*}$ by $\left(M^{*}\right)_{j}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(M_{-j}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$. The dual map $f^{*}: N^{*} \rightarrow M^{*}$ of a map $f: M \rightarrow N$ is defined as the dual of $f$ in the sense of linear algebra.

For $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$ denote by $\widetilde{L}$ the complement $\mathscr{I} \backslash \mathscr{L}$. Let $\mathscr{V}$ be a commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube over the category $\mathscr{A} \mathscr{B}$ of graded abelian groups and grading-preserving homomorphisms. Define the dual cube $\mathscr{V}^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{V}^{*}(\mathscr{L})=(\mathscr{V}(\widetilde{\mathscr{L}}))^{*} \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The structure map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{a}^{\mathscr{V}^{*}}: \mathscr{V}^{*}(\mathscr{L}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}^{*}(\mathscr{L} a) \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\mathscr{V}^{*}$ is the dual of the structure map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{a}^{\mathscr{V}}: \mathscr{V}(\widetilde{\mathscr{L}} \backslash a) \longrightarrow \mathscr{V}(\widetilde{\mathscr{L}}) \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\mathscr{V}$.
Denote by $\{s\}$ the automorphism of the category $\mathscr{A} \mathscr{B}$ that shifts the grading down by $s$. If $\mathscr{V}$ is a commutative $\mathscr{\mathscr { T }}$-cube over $\mathscr{A} \mathscr{B}$, denote by $\mathscr{V}\{s\}$ the commutative $\mathscr{\mathscr { C }}$-cube $\mathscr{V}$ with the grading of each group $\mathscr{V}(\mathscr{L})$ shifted down by $s$.

Proposition 31. Let $D$ be a diagram with $n$ crossings and $D!$ the dual diagram. Then the commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $\mathscr{V}_{D!}\{-n\}$ is isomorphic to the dual $\left(\mathscr{V}_{D}\right)^{*}$ of the $\mathscr{I}$ cube $\mathscr{V}_{D}$.

Proof. Introduce a basis $\left\{\mathbf{1}^{*}, X^{*}\right\}$ in the abelian group $\mathscr{A}^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}(\mathscr{A}, \mathbb{Z})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}^{*}(\mathbf{1})=0, \quad \mathbf{1}^{*}(X)=1, \quad X^{*}(\mathbf{1})=1, \quad X^{*}(X)=0 \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $m^{*}, \Delta^{*}$ maps dual to $\Delta$ and $m$, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m^{*}: \mathscr{A}^{*} \otimes \mathscr{A}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}^{*} \\
& \Delta^{*}: \mathscr{A}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathscr{A}^{*} \otimes \mathscr{A}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, in the basis $\left\{\mathbf{1}^{*}, X^{*}\right\}$, these maps are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m^{*}\left(\mathbf{1}^{*} \otimes X^{*}\right)=m^{*}\left(X^{*} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{*}\right)=X^{*} \\
& m^{*}\left(\mathbf{1}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{*}\right)=\mathbf{1}^{*} \\
& m^{*}\left(X^{*} \otimes X^{*}\right)=0 \\
& \Delta^{*}\left(\mathbf{1}^{*}\right)=\mathbf{1}^{*} \otimes X^{*}+X^{*} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{*}, \\
& \Delta^{*}\left(X^{*}\right)=X^{*} \otimes X^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, under the isomorphism $\mu: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}^{*}$ of graded abelian groups, given by
$\mu(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}^{*}$ and $\mu(X)=X^{*}$, maps $m, \Delta$ become $m^{*}, \Delta^{*}$.
Note that the $\widetilde{\mathscr{L}}$-resolution $D(\widetilde{\mathscr{L}})$ of diagram $D$ and the $\mathscr{L}$-resolution $D^{!}(\mathscr{L})$ of $D^{!}$ are isomorphic. Let $k$ be the number of circles in $D(\widetilde{\mathscr{L}})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}(D(\widetilde{\mathscr{L}}))=\mathscr{F}\left(D^{!}(\mathscr{L})\right)=\mathscr{A}^{\otimes k} \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, via $\mu$, we can identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}(D(\widetilde{\mathscr{L}}))=\left(\mathscr{A}^{*}\right)^{\otimes k}=\left(\mathscr{F}\left(D^{!}(\mathscr{L})\right)\right)^{*} . \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu$ maps $m, \Delta$ to $m^{*}, \Delta^{*}$, we see that after suitable shifts (recall that $\mathscr{V}_{D}(\mathscr{L})$ is equal to $\mathscr{F}(D(\mathscr{L}))$ shifted up by $|\mathscr{L}|)$, the identification (163) extends to an isomorphism of $\mathscr{T}$-cubes $\mathscr{V}_{D^{!}}\{-n\}$ and $\left(\mathscr{V}_{D}\right)^{*}$.

Given a complex $C$ of graded abelian groups and grading-preserving homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow C^{i} \xrightarrow{d^{i}} C^{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots, \tag{164}
\end{equation*}
$$

define the dual complex $C^{*}$ by $\left(C^{*}\right)^{i}=\left(C^{-i}\right)^{*}$, the differential $\left(d^{*}\right)^{i}$ being the dual of the differential $d^{-i-1}$ of $C$.

From the last proposition we easily obtain the following.
Proposition 32. The complex $\mathscr{C}\left(D^{!}\right)$is isomorphic to the dual of the complex $\mathscr{C}(D)$.

Corollary 11. For an oriented link $L$ and integers $i$, $j$, there are equalities of isomorphism classes of abelian groups

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(L^{!}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & =\mathscr{H}^{-i,-j}(L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}  \tag{165}\\
\operatorname{Tor}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(L^{!}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{Tor}\left(\mathscr{H}^{1-i,-j}(L)\right), \tag{166}
\end{align*}
$$

where Tor stands for the torsion subgroup.
Note that this corollary provides a necessary condition for a link to be amphicheiral.
7.4. Cohomology of the disjoint union and connected sum of knots. Pick diagrams $D_{1}, D_{2}$ of oriented links $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and consider a diagram $D_{1} \sqcup D_{2}$ of the disjoint union $L_{1} \sqcup L_{2}$. We then have an isomorphism of cochain complexes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{C}\left(D_{1} \sqcup D_{2}\right)=\mathscr{C}\left(D_{1}\right) \otimes \mathscr{C}\left(D_{2}\right) \tag{167}
\end{equation*}
$$

of free graded abelian groups. From the Künneth formula we derive the following.
Proposition 33. There is a short split exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(D_{1}\right) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{k-i, m-j}\left(D_{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{k, m}\left(D_{1} \sqcup D_{2}\right) \\
\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(D_{1}\right), \mathscr{H}^{k-i+1, m-j}\left(D_{2}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$
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Corollary 12. For each $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is an equality of isomorphism classes of abelian groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{H}^{k, m}\left(L_{1} \sqcup L_{2}\right)= & \bigoplus_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(L_{1}\right) \otimes \mathscr{H}^{k-i, m-j}\left(L_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \bigoplus_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(L_{1}\right), \mathscr{H}^{k-i+1, m-j}\left(L_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D_{1}, D_{2}$ be diagrams of oriented knots $K_{1}, K_{2}$, as in Figure 57. Consider diagrams $D_{3}, D_{4}$, and $D_{5}$ of oriented links $K_{1} \sqcup K_{2}, K_{1} \# K_{2}$, and $K_{1} \#\left(-K_{2}\right)$. By resolving the central double point of $D_{5}$, we get a short exact sequence of complexes of graded abelian groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathscr{C}}\left(D_{3}\right)[-1]\{-1\} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathscr{C}}\left(D_{5}\right) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathscr{C}}\left(D_{4}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{168}
\end{equation*}
$$

After shifts, we obtain an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}\left(D_{3}\right)[-1]\{-1\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}\left(D_{5}\right)[-1]\{-2\} \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}\left(D_{4}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

which induces, for every integer $j$, a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$
\begin{align*}
\cdots & \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i-1, j-1}\left(D_{3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i-1, j-2}\left(D_{5}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(D_{4}\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i, j-1}\left(D_{3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i, j-2}\left(D_{5}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i+1, j}\left(D_{4}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots . \tag{170}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the diagrams $D_{3}, D_{4}$, and $D_{5}$ represent oriented links $K_{1} \sqcup K_{2}, K_{1} \# K_{2}$, and $K_{1} \#\left(-K_{2}\right)$, respectively, then in view of Proposition 29 , we obtain the next proposition.

Proposition 34. For oriented knots $K_{1}, K_{2}$, the isomorphism classes of the abelian groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{2}\right), \mathscr{H e}^{i, j}\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right)$ can be arranged into long exact sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i-1, j-1}\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i-1, j-2}\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i, j-1}\left(K_{1} \sqcup K_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i, j-2}\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{i+1, j}\left(K_{1} \# K_{2}\right) \longrightarrow . \tag{171}
\end{align*}
$$

7.5. A spectral sequence. Let $D$ be an plane diagram of a link. In this section we construct a spectral sequence whose $E_{1}$ term is made of groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}(D)$ and which converges to cohomology groups $H^{i, j}(D)$.
Due to the direct sum decomposition $R=\oplus_{k \geq 0} c^{k} \mathbb{Z}$ of abelian groups, we have an abelian group decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j}^{i}(D)=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} C_{j-2 k}^{i}(D), \tag{172}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{j}^{i}(D)$ and $\mathscr{C}_{j}^{i}(D)$ are defined as in Sections 4.2 and 7.1, respectively. Let us fix a $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $d$ the differential in the weight- $j$ subcomplex of the complex $C(D)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{d} C_{j}^{i-1}(D) \xrightarrow{d} C_{j}^{i}(D) \xrightarrow{d} C_{j}^{i+1}(D) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots . \tag{173}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\partial$ the differential in the complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathscr{C}_{j-2 k}^{i-1}(D) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathscr{C}_{j-2 k}^{i}(D) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathscr{C}_{j-2 k}^{i+1}(D) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots, \tag{174}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we suppress the dependence of $\partial$ on $k$. Under the identification (172), the differential $d$ becomes a differential of the complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathscr{C}_{j-2 k}^{i}(D) \xrightarrow{d} \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathscr{C}_{j-2 k}^{i+1}(D) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots . \tag{175}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a bigraded abelian group

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\bigoplus_{k \geq 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathscr{C}_{j-2 k}^{i}(D), \tag{176}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set the grading of $\mathscr{C}_{j-2 k}^{i}(D)$ to $(i,-k)$. We thus have a bigraded abelian group $C$ and two maps, $d$ and $\partial$, from $C$ to $C$. Map $\partial$ is bigraded of degree $(1,0)$ while $d$ is only graded relative to the first grading. However, we can decompose

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\partial+\widetilde{\partial}, \tag{177}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\partial}$ has grading $(1,-1)$ and satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\partial} \widetilde{\partial} & =0,  \tag{178}\\
\partial \widetilde{\partial}+\widetilde{\partial} \partial & =0 . \tag{179}
\end{align*}
$$

Besides, since $\partial$ is a differential, $\partial \partial=0$. Therefore, $H^{i, j}(D)$ is equal to the $i$ th cohomology group of the total complex of the bicomplex $(C, \partial, \widetilde{\partial})$. Group $\mathscr{H}^{s, j-2 k}(D)$ is equal to the $s$ th cohomology group of the subcomplex (relative to the differential $\partial$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathscr{C}^{i-1, j-2 k}(D) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathscr{C}^{i, j-2 k}(D) \xrightarrow{\partial} C^{i+1, j-2 k}(D) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \tag{180}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $C$. Therefore, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we get a spectral sequence whose $E_{1}$ term is given by cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{s, j-2 k}(D), s \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$, and which converges to cohomology groups $H^{i, j}(D)$. In the few cases where we managed to compute cohomology groups, we have $H^{i, j}(D)=\oplus_{k \geq 0} \mathscr{H}^{i, j-2 k}(D)$ and, consequently, the spectral sequence degenerates at $E_{1}$. We have no idea whether this is true for any diagram $D$.
7.6. Examples. Perhaps the graded groups $\mathscr{H}^{i}(D)$ are easier to compute than $H^{i}(D)$. The latter are computed via the complex $C(D)$ of free graded $R$-modules, and a complex for $\mathscr{H}^{i}(D)$ is obtained by tensoring $C(D)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ over $R$, so that free graded $R$-modules become free abelian groups of the same rank. In practice, the computation of $\mathscr{H}^{i}(D)$ faces the problem of effectively simplifying complexes of abelian groups of exponentially high rank. The shortcut for the computation of $H^{i}(D)$ described in Section 6.2 works equally well for groups $\mathscr{H}^{i}(D)$, with Proposition 25 generalized to complexes $\overline{\mathscr{C}}(D)$. This easily leads to a computation of cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(T_{2, k}\right)$ of the $(2, k)$ torus link $T_{2, k}$, oriented as in Section 6.2.

Proposition 35. Cohomology groups $\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(T_{2, k}\right), k>1$ are isomorphic to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{H}^{0,-k}\left(T_{2, k}\right)=\mathbb{Z}, & \\
\mathscr{H}^{0,2-k}\left(T_{2, k}\right)=\mathbb{Z}, & \\
\mathscr{H}^{-2 j-1,-4 j-2-k}\left(T_{2, k}\right)=\mathbb{Z} & \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{k-1}{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
\mathscr{H}^{-2 j,-4 j-k}\left(T_{2, k}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2} & \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{k-1}{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
\mathscr{H}^{-2 j,-4 j+2-k}\left(T_{2, k}\right)=\mathbb{Z} & \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq \frac{k-1}{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
\mathscr{H}^{-k,-3 k}\left(T_{2, k}\right)=\mathbb{Z} & \text { for even } k, \\
\mathscr{H}^{-k, 2-3 k}\left(T_{2, k}\right)=\mathbb{Z} & \text { for even } k, \\
\mathscr{H}^{i, j}\left(T_{2, k}\right)=0 & \text { for all other values of } i \text { and } j .
\end{aligned}
$$

7.7. An application to the crossing number

Definition 3. A plane diagram $D$ with the set $\mathscr{I}$ of double points is called + adequate if for each double point $a$ the diagram $D(\mathscr{I} \backslash\{a\})$ has one circle less than $D(\mathscr{F})$.

Definition 4. A plane diagram $D$ with the set $\mathscr{I}$ of double points is called adequate if for each double point $a$ the diagram $D(\{a\})$ has one circle less than $D(\emptyset)$.

Definition 5. A plane diagram $D$ is called adequate if it is both + and -adequate.
These definitions are from [LT] and [T].
Proposition 36. Let $D$ be a diagram with $n$ crossings. Then $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{0}(D) \neq 0$ if and only if $D$ is -adequate and $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{n}(D) \neq 0$ if and only if $D$ is +adequate.

Proof. The differential $\partial^{0}: \overline{\mathscr{C}}^{0}(D) \rightarrow \overline{\mathscr{C}}^{1}(D)$ is not injective and, hence, $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{0}(D) \neq$ 0 if and only if $D$ is -adequate. We can proceed similarly for $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{n}(D)$ and +adequate diagrams. (Groups $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{i}(D)$ are defined at the end of Section 7.1.)

Definition 6. Homological length $\mathrm{hl}(L)$ of an oriented link $L$ is the difference between the maximal $i$ such that $\mathscr{H}^{i}(L) \neq 0$ and the minimal $i$ such that $\mathscr{H}^{i}(L) \neq 0$.

Denote by $c(L)$ the crossing number of $L$. It is the minimal number of crossings in a plane diagram of $L$.

Proposition 37. For an oriented link $L$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(L) \geq \mathrm{hl}(L) \tag{181}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $D$ be a diagram of $L$ with $c(L)$ crossings. Then $\overline{\mathscr{C}}^{i}(D)=0$ for $i<0$ and for $i>\operatorname{hl}(L)$. Consequently, $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{i}(D)=0$ for $i<0$ and $i>\operatorname{hl}(L)$.

Corollary 13. Let $D$ be an adequate diagram with $n$ crossings of a link $L$. Then $c(L)=n$.

Proof. By Proposition 36, $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{0}(D) \neq 0$ and $\overline{\mathscr{H}}^{n}(D) \neq 0$. Therefore, $c(L) \geq \mathrm{hl}(L) \geq$ $n$. But since $D$ is an $n$-crossing diagram of $L$, the crossing number of $L$ is $n$.

Corollary 13 was originally obtained by Thistlethwaite (see [T, Corollary 3.4]) through the analysis of the 2 -variable Kauffman polynomial (not to be confused with the Kauffman bracket).

## 8. Invariants of $(1,1)$-tangles

8.1. Graded A-modules. In Section 2.2 we define algebra $A$ as a free module of rank 2 over the ring $R=\mathbb{Z}[c]$, generated by $\mathbf{1}$ and $X$, with the multiplication rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
11=1, \quad 1 X=X 1=X, \quad X^{2}=0 \tag{182}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gradings of $\mathbf{1}$ and $X$ are equal to 1 and -1 , respectively, so that the multiplication in $A$ is a graded map of degree -1 .

Definition 7. A graded $A$-module $M$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded abelian group $M=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{i}$, together with group homomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
X: M_{i} \longrightarrow M_{i-2}, & i \in \mathbb{Z},  \tag{183}\\
c: M_{i} \longrightarrow M_{i+2}, & i \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{184}
\end{align*}
$$

that satisfy relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
X c=c X \quad \text { and } \quad X^{2}=0 \tag{185}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 8. A homomorphism of graded $A$-modules $M$ and $N$ is a grading-preserving homomorphism of abelian groups $f: M \rightarrow N$ that intertwines the action of $X$ and $c$ in $M$ and $N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X f=f X, \quad c f=f c \tag{186}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $A-\bmod _{0}$ the category whose objects are graded $A$-modules and whose morphisms are grading-preserving homomorphisms of graded $A$-modules. Note that $A-\bmod _{0}$ is an abelian category. Denote by $\{n\}$ the automorphism of $A$-mod that shifts the grading down by $n$. Let $A$-mod be the category of graded $A$-modules and graded maps. $A$-mod has the same objects as $A-\bmod _{0}$ but more morphisms.

Given a graded $A$-module $M$, define the multiplication map

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{M}: A \otimes_{R} M \longrightarrow M \tag{187}
\end{equation*}
$$

by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{M}(\mathbf{1} \otimes t)=t, \quad m_{M}(X \otimes t)=X t, \quad t \in M \tag{188}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multiplication map $m_{M}$ is a degree ( -1 ) map with the grading on $A \otimes_{R} M$ defined as the product grading of gradings of $A$ and $M$.

To a graded $A$-module $M$ associate a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{M}: M \longrightarrow A \otimes M \tag{189}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that all tensor products are over $R=\mathbb{Z}[c]$ ) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{M}(t)=X \otimes t+\mathbf{1} \otimes X t+c X \otimes X t, \quad t \in M \tag{190}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Delta_{M}$ equips $M$ with the structure of a cocommutative comodule over $A$. Map $\Delta_{M}$ has degree -1 . The following relation between $\Delta_{M}$ and $m_{M}$ is straightforward to check:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{M} m_{M}=\left(\operatorname{Id}_{A} \otimes m_{M}\right)\left(\Delta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)=\left(m \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{M}\right)\left(\operatorname{Id}_{A} \otimes \Delta_{M}\right) \tag{191}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\iota_{M}$ the map $M \rightarrow A \otimes M$ given by $\iota_{M}(t)=\mathbf{1} \otimes t$ for $t \in M$.
Introduce an $A$-module structure on $A^{\otimes n} \otimes M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(x \otimes y)=x \otimes a y \quad \text { for } a \in A, x \in A^{\otimes n}, y \in M \tag{192}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a y$ means $m_{M}(a \otimes y)$. Then $m_{M}, \Delta_{M}$, and $\iota_{M}$, after appropriate shifts by $\{1\}$ or $\{-1\}$, are maps of graded $A$-modules.

Proposition 38. For any graded A-module $M$ we have direct sum decompositions of $A \otimes M$, considered as a graded $A$-module:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \otimes M=\Delta_{M} M \oplus \iota_{M} M  \tag{193}\\
& A \otimes M=\iota_{M} M \oplus\left(\Delta_{M}-\iota_{M} m_{M} \Delta_{M}\right) M  \tag{194}\\
& A \otimes M=\Delta_{M} M \oplus\left(\iota_{M}-c \iota_{M} m_{M} \Delta_{M}\right) M \tag{195}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let us check (194), for instance. We have a decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \otimes M=(\mathbf{1} \otimes M) \oplus(X \otimes M) \tag{196}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $p$ the projection $A \otimes M \rightarrow X \otimes M$, orthogonal to $\mathbf{1} \otimes M$. Since $\iota_{M} M=$ $1 \otimes M$, it suffices to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(\Delta_{M}-\iota_{M} m_{M} \Delta_{M}\right): M \longrightarrow X \otimes M \tag{197}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an $A$-module isomorphism. This map is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \longmapsto X \otimes(1+c X) t, \quad \text { where } t \in M \tag{198}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse map is

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \otimes t \longmapsto(1-c X) t \tag{199}
\end{equation*}
$$

Decompositions (193) and (195) can be verified analogously.
8.2. Nonclosed $(1+1)$-cobordisms. Let $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ be the category whose objects are 1 dimensional manifolds that are unions of a finite number of circles and one interval. An ordering of the ends of this interval is fixed. Morphisms between objects $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ are oriented surfaces whose boundary is the union of $\alpha, \beta$ and two intervals that join corresponding ends of the intervals of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. An example is depicted in Figure 58. This surface represents a morphism from an interval to a union of a circle and an interval.

We require that a surface can be presented as a composition of disjoint unions of surfaces $S_{2}^{1}, S_{1}^{2}, S_{0}^{1}, S_{1}^{0}, S_{2}^{2}, S_{1}^{1}$, defined in Section 2.3, and surfaces $T_{1}, T_{2}$, depicted in Figure 59. We compose morphisms in this category by concatenating surfaces.

Category $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ is a module category over $\mathcal{M}$, defined in Section 2.3. The bifunctor $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1}$ is defined on objects and morphisms by taking disjoint unions.


Figure 58


Figure 59

Recall from the previous section that $A$-mod denotes the category of graded $A$-modules and graded homomorphisms. Given a graded $A$-module $M$, define a monoidal functor

$$
F_{M}: M_{1} \longrightarrow A-\bmod
$$

by assigning the graded $A$-module $A^{\otimes n} \otimes M$ to a union of $n$ circles and one interval, maps $\Delta_{M}$ and $m_{M}$ (defined in the previous section) to the elementary surfaces $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{M}\left(T_{1}\right)=\Delta_{M}, \quad F_{M}\left(T_{2}\right)=m_{M} \tag{200}
\end{equation*}
$$

To the other six elementary surfaces $S_{2}^{1}, S_{1}^{2}, S_{0}^{1}, S_{1}^{0}, S_{2}^{2}, S_{1}^{1}$ (in Section 2.3) associate the same maps as for the functor $F$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
F_{M}\left(S_{2}^{1}\right)=m, & F_{M}\left(S_{1}^{2}\right)=\Delta, & F_{M}\left(S_{0}^{1}\right)=\iota  \tag{201}\\
F_{M}\left(S_{1}^{0}\right)=\epsilon, & F_{M}\left(S_{2}^{2}\right)=\text { Perm }, & F_{M}\left(S_{1}^{1}\right)=\mathrm{Id}
\end{array}
$$

8.3. (1, 1)-tangles. A $(1,1)$-tangle is a proper smooth embedding $e: T \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \times$ $[0,1]$ of a finite collection $T$ of circles and one interval $[0,1]$ into $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times[0,1]$ such that the boundary points of $[0,1]$ go to the corresponding boundary component of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times[0,1]:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\{0\}, \quad e(1) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times\{1\} \tag{202}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two ( 1,1 )-tangles are called equivalent if they are isotopic via an isotopy that fixes the boundary. Oriented $(1,1)$-tangles are $(1,1)$-tangles with a chosen orientation of each component, the orientation of $[0,1]$ always chosen in the direction from 0 to 1 .


Figure 60. Diagram $D$ and two resolutions

Define a marked oriented link (in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) as an oriented link with a marked component. Obviously, there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between marked oriented links and oriented $(1,1)$-tangles: the closure of a $(1,1)$-tangle is a marked oriented link. Denote this map from oriented $(1,1)$-tangles to oriented marked links by cl .
8.4. Invariants. A plane diagram $D$ of an oriented $(1,1)$-tangle $L$ is a generic projection of $L$ onto $\mathbb{R} \times[0,1]$. If $D$ is a plane diagram of an oriented (1, 1)-tangle, define $x(D)$ and $y(D)$ in the same way as for plane diagrams of oriented links (see Section 2.4).

Fix a graded $A$-module $M$. Let $n$ be the number of double points of $D$, so that $n=x(D)+y(D)$ and $\mathscr{I}$ is the set of double points of $D$. To $M$ and $D$ associate a commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V_{D}^{M}$ over the category $A$ - $\bmod _{0}$ of graded $A$-modules as follows.

For $\mathscr{L} \subset \mathscr{I}$ the $\mathscr{L}$-resolution $D(\mathscr{L})$ of $D$ consists of a disjoint union of circles and an interval. The functor $F_{M}$ (see Section 8.2) assigns a graded $A$-module to $D(\mathscr{L})$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D}^{M}(\mathscr{L})=F_{M}(D(\mathscr{L}))\{-|\mathscr{L}|\} \tag{203}
\end{equation*}
$$

Maps between $V_{D}^{M}(\mathscr{L})$ for various subsets $\mathscr{L}$ are defined by the procedure completely analogous to the one described in Section 4.2. Due to shifts $\{-|\mathscr{L}|\}$, these maps of graded $A$-modules are grading-preserving, rather than just graded maps, so that $V_{D}^{M}$ is a commutative cube over $A-\bmod _{0}$.

Example. See the diagram $D$ and its resolutions depicted in Figure 60. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{D}^{M}(\emptyset) & =A \otimes M, \\
V_{D}^{M}(\mathscr{F}) & =M\{-1\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the structure map $V_{D}^{M}(\emptyset) \longrightarrow V_{D}^{M}(\mathscr{I})$ is the multiplication map $m_{M}: A \otimes M \rightarrow$ $M\{-1\}$.

Next we transform the commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube $V_{D}^{M}$ into a skew-commutative $\mathscr{I}$-cube by putting minus signs in front of some structure maps of $V_{D}^{M}$ or, equivalently, by
tensoring it with $E_{\mathscr{G}}$. Denote by $\bar{C}_{M}(D)$ the complex $\bar{C}\left(V_{D}^{M} \otimes E_{\mathscr{G}}\right)$ of graded $A$ modules. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{M}(D)=\bar{C}_{M}(D)[x(D)]\{y(D)-2 x(D)\} \tag{204}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the $i$ th cohomology group of the complex $C_{M}(D)$ by $H^{i}(D, M)$. These cohomology groups are graded $A$-modules. Denote the $j$ th graded component of $H^{i}(D, M)$ by $H^{i, j}(D, M)$

Theorem 3. For a graded $A$-module $M$, an oriented (1,1)-tangle $L$, and a diagram $D$ of $L$, isomorphism classes of graded A-modules $H^{i}(D, M)$ do not depend on the choice of $D$ and are invariants of $L$.

Our proof of Theorem 1 immediately generalizes without essential modifications to a proof of Theorem 3. Proposition 38 is used to establish direct sum decompositions of $C_{M}(D)$, analogous to decompositions of $C(D)$, for suitable $D$, given by Propositions 11, 14, 18(1), and 21(1).

Cohomology groups $H^{i}(D)$, defined in Section 4.2, are a special case of groups $H^{i}(D, M)$, as the next proposition explains.

Proposition 39. Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented $(1,1)$-tangle $L$ and denote by $\operatorname{cl}(D)$ the associated diagram of the marked oriented link $\operatorname{cl}(L)$. Considering $A$ as a graded A-module, we have a canonical isomorphism of cohomology groups (as graded $R$-modules)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(D, A) \cong H^{i}(\operatorname{cl}(D)), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{205}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a finitely generated graded $A$-module $M$, define the graded Euler characteristic $\widehat{\chi}(M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\chi}(M)=\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(M_{j} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right) . \tag{206}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 40. Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $A$-module, $L$ an oriented (1, 1)-tangle, and $D$ a diagram of $L$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{K(\operatorname{cl}(L)) \widehat{\chi}(M)}{q+q^{-1}}=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i} q^{j} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(H^{i, j}(D, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}\right) \tag{207}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, the Kauffman bracket of $\operatorname{cl}(L)$ is proportional to the Euler characteristic of groups $H^{i, j}(D, M)$.

Given two graded $A$-modules $M, N$ and a grading-preserving homomorphism $f$ : $M \rightarrow N$, it induces a map of commutative cubes $V_{D}^{M} \rightarrow V_{D}^{N}$, which, in turn, induces a map of complexes $C_{M}(D) \rightarrow C_{N}(D)$ and a map of cohomology groups $H^{i}(D, M) \rightarrow$ $H^{i}(D, N)$. So, in fact, each diagram $D$ of an oriented long link defines functors $H_{D}^{i}$
from the category $A-\bmod _{0}$ of graded $A$-modules to itself, $H_{D}^{i}(M)=H^{i}(D, M)$. If two diagrams $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are related by a Reidemeister move, constructions of Section 5 extend to the functor isomorphism $H_{D_{1}}^{i} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{D_{2}}^{i}$. Let us frame this observation into a proposition.

Proposition 41. For an oriented (1,1)-tangle $L$ and a diagram $D$ of $L$ isomorphism classes of functors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{D}^{i}: A-\bmod _{0} \longrightarrow A-\bmod _{0} \tag{208}
\end{equation*}
$$

do not depend on the choice of $D$ and are invariants of $L$.
Oriented long links with one component correspond one-to-one to oriented knots in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Thus, Proposition 41 gives invariants of oriented knots in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Moreover, if a diagram $D$ represents an oriented knot and $D^{\prime}$ is the diagram obtained from $D$ by reversing the orientation of the underlying curve, there is a natural in $M$ isomorphism $H^{i}(D, M)=H^{i}\left(D^{\prime}, M\right)$. Consequently, for knots, isomorphism classes of functors $H_{D}^{i}$ do not depend on the orientation, and $H_{D}^{i}$ provide "functor-valued" invariants of nonoriented knots. Of course, these invariants depend on how the ambient 3-space is oriented.

Let $D$ be the 3-crossing diagram of the left-hand trefoil (knot $T_{2,3}$ in the notation of Section 6.2). The functors $H_{D}^{i}$ are written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{D}^{-3}(M) & =\operatorname{ker} 2 X(M)\{8\} \\
H_{D}^{-2}(M) & =(M / 2 X M)\{6\} \\
H_{D}^{0}(M) & =M\{2\} \\
H_{D}^{i}(M) & =0 \quad \text { for all other values of } i
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{ker} 2 X(M)=\{t \in M \mid 2 X t=0\}$.
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