

# Failure of the Roth theorem for solvable groups of exponential growth

V. Bergelson and A. Leibman

*vitaly@math.ohio-state.edu*    *leibman@math.ohio-state.edu*

Department of Mathematics

The Ohio State University

Columbus, OH 43210, USA

*January 23, 2018*

## Abstract

We show that for any finitely generated solvable group of exponential growth one can find a measure preserving action for which the multiple recurrence theorem fails, and a measure preserving action for which the ergodic Roth theorem fails. This contrasts the positive results established in [L] and [BL] for nilpotent group actions.

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $T$  and  $S$  be invertible measure preserving transformations of a probability measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . The following two facts were recently established in [L] and [BL]:

(a) (multiple recurrence) if  $T$  and  $S$  generate a nilpotent group then for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(A \cap T^n A \cap S^n A) > 0$ ;

(b) (convergence) if  $T$  and  $S$  generate a nilpotent group then for any  $f, g \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,

$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r-l} \sum_{n=l}^{r-1} f(T^n x)g(S^n x)$  exists in  $L^2$ -norm.

(For  $S = T^2$ , (b) reduces to Furstenberg's *ergodic Roth theorem* ([F1],[F2]), while the statement (a), via Furstenberg's correspondence principle ([F2]), implies the combinatorial Roth theorem, namely the fact that any set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  having positive upper density  $\bar{d}(E) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E \cap \{1, \dots, r\}|}{r}$  contains arithmetic progressions of length 3.) These *nilpotent* results

naturally lead to the following question: do the statements (a) and (b) remain true if the group generated by  $T$  and  $S$  is not virtually nilpotent? (A group is *virtually nilpotent* if it has a nilpotent subgroup of finite index.) In [BL] we brought some examples showing that (a) and (b) may fail if  $T$  and  $S$  generate a solvable group. (An example of similar nature pertaining to non-recurrence in topological setup appears already in Furstenberg's book, [F2] p. 40. See also [Be], page 283, for an example involving a non-solvable group.)

---

Supported by the NSF Grants DMS-0070566 and DMS-0345350. The second author was also supported by the Sloan Research Foundation, Grant 739538, and by the OSU Seed Grant.

The counterexamples mentioned above exhibit, actually, a stronger negative behavior. Namely, in these examples even the averages  $\frac{1}{r} \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \int f(T^n x)g(S^n x) d\mu$  were shown to be divergent. Also, it was shown that it may happen that for a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ ,  $\mu(T^n A \cap S^n A) = 0$  for all  $n > 0$ . Motivated by these examples, we conjectured that *any* non-virtually nilpotent solvable group has a representation by measure preserving transformations which furnish counterexamples to (a) and (b). Note that a finitely generated solvable group has exponential growth if and only if it is not virtually nilpotent (see, for example, [R]). The goal of this paper is to affirm the conjectures made in [BL] by showing that for solvable groups of exponential growth the Roth-type theorems fail in a strong way:

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated solvable group of exponential growth.*

(A) (non-recurrence) *There exist a measure preserving action  $T$  of  $G$  on a finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , elements  $a_1, a_2 \in G$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  such that*

$$T(a_1^n)A \cap T(a_2^n)A = \emptyset$$

for all  $n \neq 0$ .

(B) (non-convergence) *For any sequence of intervals  $\{[l_m, r_m]\}_{m=1}^\infty$  with  $r_m - l_m \rightarrow \infty$  there exist a measure preserving action  $T$  of  $G$  on a finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , elements  $a_1, a_2 \in G$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r_m - l_m} \sum_{n=l_m}^{r_m-1} \mu(T(a_1^n)A \cap T(a_2^n)A)$$

does not exist.

We will actually be proving the following fact, from which Theorem 1.0 clearly follows:

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated solvable group of exponential growth. For any partition  $R \cup P = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  there exist an action  $T$  of  $G$  on a probability measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  of positive measure such that  $T(b_0)A \cap T(b_n)A = \emptyset$  whenever  $n \in R$  and  $\mu(T(b_0)A \cap T(b_n)A) \geq \frac{1}{6}$  for any  $n \in P$ .*

The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.0 is a purely algebraic fact (Theorem 1.0 below) which allows us to reduce the construction of a counterexample to the case where the group  $G$  is of one of the two “standard” types. Such a reduction is possible because of the following observation:

**Lemma.** *Let  $\tilde{G}$  be either a subgroup or a factor-group of a group  $G$ . For any measure preserving action  $T$  of  $\tilde{G}$  on a probability measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  and elements  $a_1, a_2 \in \tilde{G}$  there exist a measure preserving action  $S$  of  $G$  on a probability measure space  $(Y, \mathcal{D}, \nu)$ , a set  $B \in \mathcal{D}$  and elements  $b_1, b_2 \in G$  such that  $\nu(S(b_1^n)B \cap S(b_2^n)B) = \mu(T(a_1^n)A \cap T(a_2^n)A)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

Indeed, if  $\tilde{G}$  is a factor-group of  $G$  and  $\eta: G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  is the factor map, we take  $(Y, \mathcal{D}, \nu) = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,  $S = T \circ \eta$ ,  $B = A$ ,  $b_1 \in \eta^{-1}(a_1)$  and  $b_2 \in \eta^{-1}(a_2)$ . If  $\tilde{G}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , we take  $S$  to be the action of  $G$  induced by  $T$ : we define  $Y = \{\varphi: G \rightarrow X : \varphi(ba) = T(b)\varphi(a) \text{ for all } b \in \tilde{G}, a \in G\}$  and  $S(c): Y \rightarrow Y$  by  $(S(c)\varphi)(a) = \varphi(ac)$ ,  $c, a \in G$ . Then  $Y \simeq X^{\tilde{G} \setminus G}$ , and  $S$  preserves the product measure  $\nu$  on  $Y$ . The projection  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $\pi(\varphi) = \varphi(\mathbf{1}_G)$ , turns  $(X, T)$  into a factor of  $(Y, S|_{\tilde{G}})$ , and we take  $B = \pi^{-1}(A)$ ,  $b_1 = a_1$  and  $b_2 = a_2$ .

We are therefore free to replace our group  $G$  by its subgroup or a factor-group. Given a solvable group of exponential growth, we will extract from it a sub-factor-group (that is, a subgroup of a factor group) of a very special form which still has exponential growth and for which we will be able to establish Theorem 1.0. Let  $H$  be an abelian group and let  $\mathbf{a}$  be an automorphism of  $H$ . We will denote by  $\mathbf{a}[H]$  the extension of  $H$  by  $\mathbf{a}$ :  $\mathbf{a}[H]$  is the group generated by  $H$  and an additional element  $a$  such that  $a^{-1}ba = \mathbf{a}b$ ,  $b \in H$ . (The group  $\mathbf{a}[H]$  can be seen as the set  $\{a^k b : k \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in H\}$  with the product defined by  $(a^k b)(a^l c) = a^{k+l}(\mathbf{a}^l b)c$ .)  $G = \mathbf{a}[H]$  is a 2-step solvable group:  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $G/H$  is the cyclic group generated by  $aH$ . Here are the descriptions of the two types of groups, obtainable in this way, that will be utilized in the proof of Theorem 1.0:

**Type 1: lamplighter group.** Let  $p$  be a prime integer and let  $H$  be the direct sum of countably many copies of  $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/(p\mathbb{Z})$ , indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}$ :  $H = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\dots, b_{-1}, b_0, b_1, \dots$  be the natural basis of  $H$ , let  $b = b_0$ , and let  $\mathbf{a}$  be the coordinate shift on  $H$ :  $\mathbf{a}b_n = b_{n+1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Define  $G = \mathbf{a}[H]$ ; it is a solvable group of exponential growth.

**Type 2: group of affine transformations.** Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, let  $b \in V$  and let  $\mathbf{a}$  be an invertible linear transformation of  $V$  for which  $b$  is cyclic:  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathbf{a}^n b : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = V$ . Let  $G$  be the group of affine transformations of  $V$  generated by  $\mathbf{a}$  and the translation by  $b$ . In this case  $G = \mathbf{a}[H]$ , where  $H$  is the group generated by the vectors  $\mathbf{a}^n b$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $G$  is nilpotent iff the transformation  $\mathbf{a}$  is unipotent:  $(\mathbf{a} - \text{Id}_V)^m = 0$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $G$  is virtually nilpotent iff  $\mathbf{a}^d$  is unipotent for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will say that the automorphism  $\mathbf{a}$  is *almost unipotent on  $H$*  if  $\mathbf{a}^d$  is unipotent on  $H$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will say that  $G$  is of type 2 if  $\mathbf{a}$  is not almost unipotent on  $H$  and so,  $G$  is a solvable group of exponential growth.

**Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated solvable group of exponential growth. Then  $G$  has a sub-factor-group of either type 1 or of type 2.*

Theorem 1.0 is proved in the next section; Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.0.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.0.

We first introduce some notation. Let  $G$  be a group. The subgroup of  $G$  generated by (the union of) subsets  $S_1, \dots, S_k \subseteq G$  will be denoted by  $\langle S_1, \dots, S_k \rangle$ . For  $a, b \in G$  let  $[b, a] = b^{-1}a^{-1}ba$  and  $[b, a]_m = [[b, a]_{m-1}, a]$  for  $m = 2, 3, \dots$ . We will say that  $a \in G$  is *Engel with respect to  $b \in G$*  if there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[b, a]_m = \mathbf{1}_G$ , and that  $a$  is *Engel with respect to  $S \subseteq G$*  if  $a$  is Engel with respect to each  $b \in S$ . When  $a$  is Engel with

respect to  $G$  it is said to be an *Engel element* of  $G$ .

**Theorem.** (See, for example, [Sch]VI.8.g) *The Engel elements of a solvable group  $G$  form the maximal locally nilpotent normal subgroup of  $G$ , the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of  $G$ .*

(A group is *locally nilpotent* if all of its finitely generated subgroups are nilpotent.)

We will say that  $a \in G$  is *almost Engel with respect to  $b \in G$*  if there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a^d$  is Engel with respect to  $b$ , and that  $a$  is *almost Engel with respect to  $S \subseteq G$*  if  $a$  is almost Engel with respect to each  $b \in S$ .

Let  $F$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . We will not distinguish between an element  $a \in G$  and the coset  $aF \in G/F$ . We will say that  $a$  is (almost) *Engel with respect to  $b \in G$  modulo  $F$*  if  $a$  is (almost) Engel with respect to  $b$  in  $G/F$ , that is, if there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[b, a]_m \in F$  (respectively,  $[b, a^d]_m \in F$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Let  $G$  have solvability class  $r$  and let  $G_1, \dots, G_r$  be the commutator subgroups of  $G$ :  $G_1 = G$  and  $G_{i+1} = [G_i, G_i]$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , with  $G_{r+1} = \{1_G\}$ . We will prove the following:

**Proposition.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated solvable group of class  $r$  such that for any  $a \in G$  and any  $i \leq r$ ,  $a$  is almost Engel with respect to  $G_i$  modulo  $G_{i+1}$ . Then  $G$  is virtually nilpotent.*

In Lemmas 2.0–2.0 below we keep the assumptions of Proposition 2.0, namely that for any  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , any  $a \in G$  is almost Engel with respect to any  $b \in G_i \setminus G_{i+1}$  modulo  $G_{i+1}$ . For each  $a \in G$  and  $b \in G_i \setminus G_{i+1}$  we may therefore fix  $d(a, b), m(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[b, a^{d(a,b)}]_{m(a,b)} \in G_{i+1}$ .

**Lemma.** *If  $a \in G$  is Engel with respect to  $b \in G$ , then  $a^k$  is Engel with respect to  $b$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Proof.**  $a$  is Engel with respect to the solvable group  $H = \langle a, b \rangle$ , and by Theorem 2.0, Engel elements of  $H$  form a group. ■

**Lemma.** *Let  $a \in G$ , let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $G$  and let  $H = \langle a, S \rangle$ . There exists a finite set  $S' \subseteq [H, H]$  such that the group  $H' = \langle S, S' \rangle$  is normal in  $H$ .*

**Proof.** We put  $R_1 = R_{-1} = S \cup S^{-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
R_i &= \left\{ [c, a^{d(a,c)}]_{m(a,c)} : c \in R_{i-1} \right\}, \quad i = 2, \dots, r+1; \\
P_i &= \left\{ [c, a^{d(a,c)}]_n : c \in R_i, \quad n = 1, \dots, m(a,c) - 1 \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r; \\
S_i &= \left\{ [c, a^k], \quad [[c, a^{d(a,c)}]_n, a^k] : c \in R_i, \quad n = 1, \dots, m(a,c) - 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, d(a,c) - 1 \right\}, \\
&\hspace{25em} i = 1, \dots, r; \\
R_{-i} &= \left\{ [c, a^{-d(a^{-1},c)}]_{m(a^{-1},c)} : c \in R_{-(i-1)} \right\}, \quad i = 2, \dots, r+1; \\
P_{-i} &= \left\{ [c, a^{-d(a^{-1},c)}]_n : c \in R_{-i}, \quad n = 1, \dots, m(a^{-1},c) - 1 \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r; \\
S_{-i} &= \left\{ [c, a^{-k}], \quad [[c, a^{-d(a^{-1},c)}]_n, a^{-k}] : c \in R_{-i}, \quad n = 1, \dots, m(a^{-1},c) - 1, \right. \\
&\hspace{15em} \left. k = 1, \dots, d(a^{-1},c) - 1 \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r;
\end{aligned}$$

and  $S' = \bigcup_{i=2}^r (R_i \cup R_{-i}) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^r (S_i \cup P_i \cup S_{-i} \cup P_{-i})$ . We have  $S' \subseteq [H, H]$ . Also note that, by the definition of  $d(a, c)$  and  $m(a, c)$ , we have  $R_2, R_{-2} \in G_2$ ,  $R_3, R_{-3} \in G_3$ , etc. In particular,  $R_{r+1} = R_{-(r+1)} = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$ .

We have to show that  $H' = \langle S, S' \rangle$  is normal in  $H$ . Every element  $b$  of  $H'$  has form

$$b = a^{k_0} c_1 a^{k_1} c_2 \dots a^{k_{r-1}} c_r a^{k_r}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $c_1, \dots, c_r \in S \cup S^{-1}$  and  $k_0, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\sum_{i=0}^r k_i = 0$ .

We will check that all elements of the form (2.1) are in  $H'$ ; clearly, this will imply the normality of  $H$ . It suffices to show that for any  $c \in S \cup S^{-1} = R_1$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  one has  $ca^k = a^k h$  with  $h \in H'$ , that is,  $a^{-k} ca^k \in H'$ .

Assume that  $k > 0$ ; for  $k < 0$  the proof is similar (one simply replaces  $a$  by  $a^{-1}$  and  $R_i, P_i, S_i$  by the corresponding  $R_{-i}, P_{-i}, S_{-i}$ ). We will prove by induction on  $k$  that for any  $i \leq r$  and any  $c \in R_i \cup P_i$  one has  $a^{-k} ca^k \in H'$ . Let  $c \in R_i \cup P_i$ ; put  $d = d(a, c)$  if  $c \in R_i$  and  $d = d(a, c')$  if  $c \in P_i$  is obtained as  $[c', a^{d(a,b)}]$  with  $c' \in R_i$ . If  $k < d$ , we have  $a^{-k} ca^k = c[c, a^k]$  with  $[c, a^k] \in S_i$ . If  $k \geq d$ , we have  $a^{-k} ca^k = a^{-(k-d)} c [c, a^d] a^{k-d} = a^{-(k-d)} c a^{k-d} a^{-(k-d)} [c, a^d] a^{k-d}$ . By induction on  $k$ ,  $a^{-(k-d)} c a^{k-d} \in H'$ . Also,  $[c, a^d] \in P_i$  or  $\in R_{i+1}$ , and again, by induction on  $k$ ,  $a^{-(k-d)} [c, a^d] a^{k-d} \in H'$ . ■

Let us remind that a group  $H$  is *polycyclic* if it possesses a finite series  $\{\mathbf{1}_H\} = H_{m+1} \subset H_m \subset \dots \subset H_1 = H$  such that for each  $j$ ,  $H_{j+1}$  is a normal subgroup of  $H_j$  and  $H_j/H_{j+1}$  is cyclic. Among solvable groups, the polycyclic groups are characterized by the property that any subgroup of a polycyclic group is finitely generated.

**Lemma.**  $G$  is polycyclic.

**Proof.** We will prove that every finitely generated subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  (in particular,  $G$  itself) is polycyclic. Let  $H \subseteq G_i$  and  $H = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k, S \rangle$  with  $S \subseteq G_{i+1}$ ,  $|S| < \infty$ . By Lemma 2.0 there exists a finite  $S' \subseteq G_{i+1}$  such that  $H' = \langle a_2, \dots, a_k, S, S' \rangle$  is normal in  $H$ . By the double induction on decreasing  $i = r, r-1, \dots$  and increasing  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $H'$  is polycyclic. Since  $H/H'$  is cyclic (it is generated by  $a_1$ ),  $H$  is also polycyclic. ■

**Lemma.** If an element  $a \in G$  is Engel with respect to  $G_i$  modulo  $G_{i+1}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then  $a$  is Engel with respect to  $G$ .

**Proof.** Take any  $b \in G$ . Since  $a$  is Engel with respect to  $G_1$  modulo  $G_2$ , there exists  $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[b, a]_{m_1} \in G_2$ . Since  $a$  is Engel with respect to  $G_2$  modulo  $G_3$ , there exists  $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[b, a]_{m_1+m_2} = [[b, a]_{m_1}, a]_{m_2} \in G_3$ . And so on, till  $[b, a]_{m_1+\dots+m_r} = \mathbf{1}_G$ . ■

**Lemma.** Let  $F \subseteq H$  be normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $H/F$  is abelian and finitely generated. If  $a \in G$  is Engel modulo  $F$  with respect to a set of generators of  $H/F$ , then  $a$  is Engel with respect to  $H$  modulo  $F$ .

**Proof.** The mapping  $b \mapsto [b, a]$  induces a self-homomorphism  $\tau: H/F \rightarrow H/F$ , and  $\tau^m(b) = [b, a]_m \text{ mod } F$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  be generators of  $H/F$ , let  $m_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , be such that  $[b_j, a]_{m_j} \in F$ , and let  $m = \max\{m_1, \dots, m_s\}$ . Then  $\tau^m(b_j) = [b_j, a]_m = \mathbf{1}_{H/F}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , and so, is trivial on  $H/F$ . ■

**Proof of Proposition 2.0.** Since  $G$  is polycyclic by Lemma 2.0, every subgroup of  $G$  is finitely generated. Take  $i \leq r$ ; let  $G_i$  be generated by  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  and let  $d_i = \prod_{j=1}^s d(a, b_j)$ . By Lemma 2.0,  $a^{d_i}$  is Engel modulo  $G_{i+1}$  with respect to  $b_1, \dots, b_s$ . By Lemma 2.0,  $a^{d_i}$  is Engel with respect to  $G_i$  modulo  $G_{i+1}$ .

Now let  $d = \prod_{i=1}^r d_n$ . By Lemma 2.0,  $a^d$  is Engel with respect to  $G_i$  modulo  $G_{i+1}$  for every  $i$ . By Lemma 2.0,  $a^d$  is Engel with respect to  $G$ .

Let  $E$  be the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of  $G$  (see Theorem 2.0 above).  $E$  is a locally nilpotent group, and is finitely generated by Lemma 2.0; hence,  $E$  is nilpotent. We have shown that for any  $a \in G$  there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a^d \in E$ , that is, all elements of  $G/E$  have finite orders. Since  $G/E$  is polycyclic, this implies that  $G/E$  is finite. Hence,  $G$  is virtually nilpotent. ■

**Proof of Theorem 1.0.** Let  $G$  be a finitely generated solvable group of exponential growth. By Proposition 2.0 there exist  $a \in G$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b \in G_i$  such that  $a$  is not almost Engel with respect to  $b$  modulo  $G_{i+1}$ . Put  $\tilde{G} = \langle a, b \rangle / G_{i+1}$ . Clearly, the group  $H = \langle a^{-n} b a^n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$  is normal in  $\tilde{G}$ , and  $\tilde{G}/H = \langle a \rangle$ . Since  $b \in G_i$  and  $G_i$  is normal in  $G$ ,  $H \subseteq G_i / G_{i+1}$  and so, is abelian. The element  $a$  acts on  $H$  by conjugation,  $c \mapsto a^{-1} c a$  for  $c \in H$ . Let us use additive notation for  $H$  and denote the action of  $a$  on  $H$  by  $\mathbf{a}$ :  $\mathbf{a}c = a^{-1} c a$ ,  $c \in H$ . This turns  $H$  into a  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{-1}]$ -module; as such,  $H$  is spanned by a single element  $b$  and so, has rank 1. Since  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{-1}]$  is a Noetherian ring,  $H$  is a Noetherian module. In  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $a$  is not almost Engel with respect to  $b$ ; in additive notation this means that  $(\mathbf{a}^d - \text{Id}_H)^m b \neq 0$  for all  $m, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so,  $\mathbf{a}$  is not almost unipotent on  $H$ .

If  $H$  has torsion, we represent  $H$  as a tower  $0 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_k = H$ , where for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $N_i = H_i / H_{i-1}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{-1}]$ -module of rank 1 and either is torsion free or is annihilated by a prime integer  $p$ :  $pN_i = 0$ . (Such a tower exists since  $H$  is Noetherian.) If  $\mathbf{a}$  were almost unipotent on each of  $N_1, \dots, N_k$ , then  $\mathbf{a}$  would be almost unipotent on  $H$ . Let us replace  $H$  by one of  $N_1, \dots, N_k$  on which  $\mathbf{a}$  is not almost unipotent, and denote by  $b$  a generator of  $H$  over  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{-1}]$ . We have two cases:

1)  $H$  is annihilated by a prime integer  $p$ :  $pH = 0$ . Then  $H$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -vector space. Put  $b_n = \mathbf{a}^n b$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\dots, b_{-1}, b_0, b_1, \dots$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  then, since  $\mathbf{a}$  is an automorphism of  $H$ ,  $H$  has finite dimension over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and so, is finite. In this case  $\mathbf{a}$  is almost unipotent, since some its power is identical. Hence, there is no relations between  $b_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and so,  $H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{-1}]$ . The group  $\langle H, a \rangle = \mathbf{a}[H]$  is therefore a group of type 1, a lamplighter group.

2)  $H$  is torsion-free. Again, let  $b_n = \mathbf{a}^n b$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $\dots, b_{-1}, b_0, b_1, \dots$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $H \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{-1}]$ ; by factorizing  $H$  by  $2H$  we turn it into  $\mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^{-1}]$ , and  $\langle H, a \rangle = \mathbf{a}[H]$  into the corresponding lamplighter group. If  $b_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $V = H \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is finite dimensional. Since  $H$  has no torsion, the natural mapping  $H \rightarrow V$  is an embedding. It follows that the action of  $\mathbf{a}$  on  $V$  is not almost unipotent and so, the group  $\langle H, a \rangle = \mathbf{a}[H]$  is of type 2. ■

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.0.

In light of Lemma 1.0 and Theorem 1.0, the proof of Theorem 1.0 is reduced to the

case where  $G$  is a group of either type 1 or 2. In both cases  $G = \mathbf{a}[H]$ , where  $H$  is an abelian group and  $\mathbf{a}$  is an automorphism of  $H$  possessing a cyclic element  $b \in H$ . Denoting the element of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{a}$  by  $a$ , we have  $\mathbf{a}c = a^{-1}ca$  for any  $c \in H$ .

We take  $a_1 = a^d$ ,  $a_2 = ba^db^{-1}$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  put  $b_n = \mathbf{a}^{dn}b = a^{-dn}ba^{dn}$ , with a nonzero integer  $d$  to be specified later. Then for any measure preserving action  $T$  of  $G$  on a measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} T(a_1^n)A \cap T(a_2^n)A &= T(a_1^n)(A \cap T(a_1^{-n}a_2^n)A) = T(a_1^n)(A \cap T(a^{-dn}ba^{dn}b^{-1})A) \\ &= T(a_1^n)(A \cap T(b_nb^{-1})A) = T(a_1^n)(A \cap T(b_nb_0^{-1})A), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

When dealing solely with  $H$  we will use the additive notation, so that  $b_nb_0^{-1}$  becomes  $b_n - b_0$ .

Let  $R \cup P$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . In view of Lemma 1.0 it is enough to construct a measure preserving action  $T$  of  $H$  and a set  $A$  of positive measure such that

$$A \cap T(b_n - b_0)A = \emptyset \text{ for } n \in R \text{ and } \mu(A \cap T(b_n - b_0)A) \geq \frac{1}{6} \text{ for } n \in P. \quad (3.1)$$

We define  $T$  to be an action of  $H$  by rotations on  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , identified with  $[0, 1)$  and equipped with the standard Lebesgue measure, and  $A = [0, \frac{1}{3})$ . Namely, let  $T(c)x = x + \alpha(c)$ ,  $c \in H$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{S}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a homomorphism from  $H$  to  $\mathbb{S}$ , that is, a character of  $H$ . Denote  $\alpha_n = \alpha(b_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the condition (3.1) takes the form

$$|\alpha_n - \alpha_0| \geq \frac{1}{3} \text{ for } n \in R \text{ and } |\alpha_n - \alpha_0| \leq \frac{1}{6} \text{ for } n \in P, \quad (3.2)$$

where for  $x \in \mathbb{S}$  we denote  $|x| = \min\{x, 1 - x\}$ .

First let  $G$  have type 1, that is,  $G = \mathbf{a}[H]$  where  $H = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$  with  $p$  a prime integer, and  $\mathbf{a}$  acts on  $H$  as the coordinate shift. We put  $d = 1$ , then  $\{\dots, b_{-1}, b_0, b_1, \dots\}$  is the standard basis in  $H$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Therefore the only restriction on the choice of elements  $\alpha_n \in \mathbb{S}$  is  $p\alpha_n = 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . To satisfy (3.2), we put  $\alpha_n = 0$  for  $n = 0$  and  $n \in P$ , and  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $p = 2$ ,  $\alpha_n = \frac{p-1}{2p}$  if  $p \geq 3$  for  $n \in R$ .

Now assume that  $G$  is of type 2, that is, assume that  $\mathbf{a}$  is a non-almost unipotent automorphism of a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $V$ ,  $b \in V$  is cyclic for  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $H = \langle \mathbf{a}^n b \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $p(t) = m_r t^r + m_{r-1} t^{r-1} + \dots + m_0$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\mathbf{a}^d$ , which we normalize so that  $m_0, \dots, m_r$  are integers,  $\gcd(m_0, \dots, m_r) = 1$  and  $m_r > 0$ .

We say that a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $\mathbb{S}$  is *admissible* if  $\alpha_n = \alpha(b_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for some character  $\alpha$  of  $H$ .  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is admissible if  $\alpha_n$  satisfy every relation with integer coefficients that  $b_n$  satisfy. Let  $k_{-N}, \dots, k_N$  be integers and let  $q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2N} k_i t^{N+i}$ . Then one has  $k_{-N}b_{-N} + \dots + k_N b_N = k_{-N}\mathbf{a}^{-N}b + \dots + k_N \mathbf{a}^N b = 0$  iff  $q(\mathbf{a}^d)b = 0$ . Since  $b$  is cyclic for  $\mathbf{a}$  this implies  $q(\mathbf{a}^d) = 0$ , and thus  $q(t) = p(t)q_1(t)$ , where  $q_1$  has integer coefficients since the content of  $p(t)$  is 1. It follows that  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is admissible iff  $\alpha_n$  satisfy the induction relation

$$m_r \alpha_{n+r} + m_{r-1} \alpha_{n+r-1} + \dots + m_0 \alpha_n = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We consider two cases.

**Case 1:** all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{a}$  have modulus 1. After an appropriate choice of  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  we may assume that  $m_r = m(d)_{r(d)} \geq 3$ . Indeed, the assumption that  $\mathbf{a}$  is not almost unipotent means that not all eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{a}$  are roots of unity. The Kronecker lemma (which states: *an algebraic integer of modulus 1 whose every conjugate has modulus 1 is a root of unity*) implies that there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{a}$  that is not an algebraic integer. Let  $M$  be the set of algebraic integers contained in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ .  $M$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, and for any value of  $d$  we have  $m(d)_{r(d)}\lambda^d \in M$ . Thus, if  $m(d)_{r(d)} \leq 2$  for all  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , then all powers  $\lambda^d$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , of  $\lambda$  are contained in the finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\frac{1}{2}M$ , which contradicts the choice of  $\lambda$ .

Since all roots of  $p(t)$  have modulus 1 we have  $|m_0| = m_r \geq 3$ . For  $n = 0, \dots, r-1$  put  $\alpha_n = 0$  if  $n = 0$  or  $n \in P$  and  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $n \in R$ . Then we can choose by induction  $\alpha_n$  for  $n \geq r$  and  $n < 0$  according to (3.3) each in the corresponding interval of length  $\frac{1}{3}$  in order that (3.2) be satisfied.

**Case 2:**  $\mathbf{a}$  has an eigenvalue of modulus  $\neq 1$ . By taking  $d$ , either positive or negative, large enough we get that  $p(t)$  has a root  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \geq 7$ . The following lemma, with  $\delta = \frac{1}{12}$ ,  $\beta_n = 0$  for  $n = 0$  and  $n \in P$  and  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $n \in R$ , yields the desired sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Lemma.** *Let  $\delta > 0$  and assume that  $p(t)$  has a root  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| \geq 1 + \frac{1}{2\delta}$ . Then for every sequence  $\{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $\mathbb{S}$  there exists an admissible sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $|\alpha_n - \beta_n| \leq \delta$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Proof.** In view of the compactness of the set of admissible sequences with respect to the pointwise convergence it is enough to show that, given  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an admissible sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $|\alpha_n - \beta_n| \leq \delta$  for  $-N \leq n \leq N$ .

Build a finite sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=-N}^N$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$|z_n - z_{n-1}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|^n \text{ for } -N < n \leq N \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^n z_n) \bmod 1 = \beta_n \text{ for } -N \leq n \leq N$$

in the following way. Choose first  $z_{-N}$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda^{-N} z_{-N}) \bmod 1 = \beta_{-N}$ . Assuming that  $z_{-N}, \dots, z_{n-1}$  are defined take  $y_n \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|y_n - \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^n z_{n-1})| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $y_n \bmod 1 = \beta_n$ . Define  $z_n = z_{n-1} + \lambda^{-n}(y_n - \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^n z_{n-1}))$ , then  $|z_n - z_{n-1}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|^n$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda^n z_n) \bmod 1 = \beta_n$ .

Now take  $z = z_N$  and put  $\alpha_n = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda^n z) \bmod 1 \in \mathbb{S}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is then admissible, and we have

$$|\lambda^n z - \lambda^n z_n| \leq |\lambda^n(z - z_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|\lambda|^{-1} + \dots + |\lambda|^{-(N-n)}) \leq \frac{1}{2(|\lambda| - 1)} \leq \delta,$$

and so  $|\alpha_n - \beta_n| \leq \delta$  for  $-N \leq n \leq N$ . ■

**Acknowledgment.** We thank Barak Weiss for reading the manuscript and making valuable remarks. We are very much indebted to F. Parreau, who has practically rewritten Section 3, thereby simplifying and improving the paper. We are also thankful to B. Host for his help.



## Bibliography

- [Be] B. Berend, Joint ergodicity and mixing, *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* **45** (1985), 255-284.
- [BL] V. Bergelson and A. Leibman, A nilpotent Roth theorem, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **147** N2 (2002), 429-470.
- [F1] H. Furstenberg, Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi on arithmetic progressions, *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* **31** (1977), 204-256.
- [F2] H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [L] A. Leibman, Multiple recurrence theorem for measure preserving actions of a nilpotent group, *Geometric and Functional Analysis* **8** (1998), 853-931.
- [R] J. Rosenblatt, Invariant measures and growth conditions, *Transactions of AMS* **193** (1974), 33-53.
- [Sch] E. Schenkman, *Group Theory*, D. van Nostrand Company, Princeton, New Jersey, 1965.